

Mick Thomas

From: Randy Kauffman [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 1:01 PM
To: maggie@rischpisca.com
Cc: mchristian@mch-lawyer.com; Mick Thomas
Subject: Protective Order

Mr. Risch,

Regardless of what Mr. Christian assumes our “primary interest” are, the law does not require our information to be subject to a Protective Order. We do not want to set precedent.

Thank you,

Randy & Thana Kauffman

Sent from my iPhone

Mick Thomas

From: Michael Christian <mchristian@mch-lawyer.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 12:19 PM
To: Randy Kauffman; maggie@rischpisca.com
Cc: Mick Thomas
Subject: RE: Protective Order

Jason --

I am not sure where this leaves us. AM agreed to provide the information within the scope of 332(4), subject to an appropriate protective order given the proprietary nature of the materials and the language of the statute. The fact that the information is limited to two wells does not make it any less proprietary, or change any of the interests which supported the entry of the protective order. Mr. Kauffman's response makes it clear that the Kauffmans' primary interest is in distributing the information to third parties -- which makes the protective order all the more appropriate.

If the Kauffmans refuse to accept the information pursuant to the protective order, I respectfully suggest their complaint is rendered moot and may be dismissed.

Michael Christian
MARCUS, CHRISTIAN, HARDEE & DAVIES, LLP
737 N. 7th Street
Boise, ID 83702
(208) 342-3563
mchristian@mch-lawyer.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail is intended only for the personal and confidential use of the individual(s) named as recipients and is covered by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. §§ 2510-2521. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential and/or protected from disclosure under applicable law including, but not limited to, the attorney client privilege and/or work product doctrine. If you are not the intended recipient of this transmission, please notify the sender immediately by telephone. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission, disclose its contents or take any action in reliance on the information it contains.

-----Original Message-----

From: Randy Kauffman [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 11:42 AM
To: maggie@rischpisca.com
Cc: Michael Christian <mchristian@mch-lawyer.com>; Mick Thomas <mthomas@idl.idaho.gov>
Subject: Protective Order

Mr. Risch,

In response to Mr. Christian's email; and, in accordance with the Protective Order item #5, we are requesting relief from the Protective Order as a whole. Since Alta Mesa has agreed to give us redacted information, there should be no need for a Protective Order. Until the Protective Order is withdrawn, we further request Mr. Christian refrain from sending us said information.

Thank you,

Randy & Thana Kauffman

Sent from my iPhone

Mick Thomas

From: Randy Kauffman [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 11:42 AM
To: maggie@rischpisca.com
Cc: mchristian@mch-lawyer.com; Mick Thomas
Subject: Protective Order

Mr. Risch,

In response to Mr. Christian's email; and, in accordance with the Protective Order item #5, we are requesting relief from the Protective Order as a whole. Since Alta Mesa has agreed to give us redacted information, there should be no need for a Protective Order. Until the Protective Order is withdrawn, we further request Mr. Christian refrain from sending us said information.

Thank you,

Randy & Thana Kauffman

Sent from my iPhone

Mick Thomas

From: Michael Christian <mchristian@mch-lawyer.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 10:38 AM
To: Randy Kauffman
Cc: Maggie Mallea; Mick Thomas
Subject: Re: Docket No. CC-2017-OGR-01-002

Randy:

To short circuit this somewhat: AM is working now to redact information from the relevant documents not related to the wells in which you have a royalty interest. When that is done I will email you a PDF of the redacted documents, subject to the confidentiality requirement of the protective order. I anticipate this will be some time on Wednesday.

Mike Christian

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 24, 2018, at 9:13 AM, Randy Kauffman [REDACTED] wrote:

Mr. Risch,

We strongly disagree with your decision and Order of Protection. We believe you have misinterpreted the intent of the law. We appeal to you to reconsider your decision and Order of Protection.

Thank you,

Randy & Thana Kauffman

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 20, 2018, at 6:19 PM, Maggie Mallea <maggie@rischpisca.com> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Attached to this email are copies of the *Order Regarding Discovery and Protective Order* that were mailed out to all parties today.

Thank you,

Maggie Mallea
Risch♦Pisca, PLLC
LAW AND POLICY
407 W. Jefferson
Boise, Idaho 83702
(208) 345-9929 Phone
(208) 345-9928 Fax
www.rischpisca.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is protected by the attorney/client privilege and is for the exclusive use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and is confidential. Persons who read, use or disclose such information with unauthorized individuals may face penalties under state and federal law. There is no waiver of any attorney/client or work product privilege by the transmission of this message.

If you are not the addressee or an employee or agent of the addressee responsible for delivering it to the addressee, please do not read, use, disclose, copy or distribute this message and do not take any action in reliance upon it. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by telephone to arrange for its return.

<7.20.18 Order Regarding Discovery.pdf>

<7.20.18 Protective Order.pdf>

Mick Thomas

From: Randy Kauffman [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, July 24, 2018 9:13 AM
To: Maggie Mallea
Cc: mchristian@mch-lawyer.com; Mick Thomas
Subject: Re: Docket No. CC-2017-OGR-01-002

Mr. Risch,

We strongly disagree with your decision and Order of Protection. We believe you have misinterpreted the intent of the law. We appeal to you to reconsider your decision and Order of Protection.

Thank you,

Randy & Thana Kauffman

Sent from my iPhone

On Jul 20, 2018, at 6:19 PM, Maggie Mallea <maggie@rischpisca.com> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Attached to this email are copies of the *Order Regarding Discovery* and *Protective Order* that were mailed out to all parties today.

Thank you,

Maggie Mallea
Risch♦Pisca, PLLC
LAW AND POLICY
407 W. Jefferson
Boise, Idaho 83702
(208) 345-9929 Phone
(208) 345-9928 Fax
www.rischpisca.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is protected by the attorney/client privilege and is for the exclusive use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed and is confidential. Persons who read, use or disclose such information with unauthorized individuals may face penalties under state and federal law. There is no waiver of any attorney/client or work product privilege by the transmission of this message.

If you are not the addressee or an employee or agent of the addressee responsible for delivering it to the addressee, please do not read, use, disclose, copy or distribute this message and do not take any action in reliance upon it. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by telephone to arrange for its return.

<7.20.18 Order Regarding Discovery.pdf>

<7.20.18 Protective Order.pdf>