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| 23 | 23 reasonable. I am not addressing today what terms are |
| 24 | 24 in fact just and reasonable. That is a question for a |
| 25 | 25 future evidentiary hearing held at a later date. |
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| 1 (Beginning of audio file.) | 1 I have allowed briefing and submittal of |
| 2 THE HEARING OFFICER: We are now on the record | 2 affidavits on this issue of the scope of factors used |
| 3 in Docket No. Contested Case -- or CC-2022-OGR-01-002. | 3 to determine just and reasonable, and I plan to take |
| 4 It's now 9:01, 9:02 a.m., Thursday, October 13th, 2022. | 4 only arguments at this hearing. |
| 5 We're at the Fruitland City Hall in Fruitland, Idaho. | 5 I want to again clarify that the deadline |
| 6 This is the time set to determine the | 6 for upcoming uncommitted owners to respond to the |
| 7 factors I will consider when determining whether the | 7 application has not passed, and they can still |
| 8 terms and conditions of an integration order are just | 8 participate in the future evidentiary hearing on this |
| 9 and reasonable as provided in Idaho Code 47-320 sub (1) | 9 integration application. Witnesses and evidence may be |
| 10 for the spacing unit consisting of Section 30, Township | 10 submitted at that evidentiary hearing as well. |
| 118 North, Range 4 West, Boise Meridian, Payette County, | 11 We'll proceed with the hearing as follows: |
| 12 Idaho. | 12 I will first hear arguments from the applicant, Snake |
| 13 My name's Mick Thomas. I am the division | 13 River Oil and Gas. This will be followed by arguments |
| 14 administrator for minerals, navigable waterways, and | 14 from uncommitted owners within the proposed units, |
| 15 oil and gas within the Idaho Department of Lands | 15 afterward I will accept arguments from the Idaho |
| 16 presiding over and conducting this hearing today | 16 Department of Lands, then Snake River Oil and Gas will |
| 17 pursuant to Idaho Code 47-328. | 17 have an opportunity for rebuttal. |
| 18 Before I continue, I want to let everyone | 18 After argument is complete, I will accept |
| 19 know that I have reviewed all of the briefs and the | 19 public comment. I will limit these comments to five |
| 20 comments. I will give equal weight to written and oral | 20 minutes. I'll first take public comments from those |
| 21 comments and arguments. | 21 appearing in person, and then public comments from |
| 22 Some housekeeping: This hearing is in | 22 anyone who participates via Zoom. |
| 23 person with a virtual component via Zoom. The hearing | 23 Again, I would like for anyone who speaks |
| 24 is being recorded in Zoom, as required by IDAPA | 24 to state your name for the record. If you are here as |
| 25 04.11.01.651. We also have a backup recording device | 25 a representative, please indicate your own name, as |
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| 1 well as those who you are representing. I may ask | 1 judgment in Kye versus Schultz [phonetic]. And the |
| 2 clarifying | 2 applicant is unaware of any site-sp |
| 3 Taking appearances in the order as I' | 3 here that compel any additional or different factors. |
| 4 describ | 4 The uncommitted mineral inte |
| 5 | 5 their -- the briefing that they have submitted appear |
| 6 yourself and who you rep | 6 to confuse the purposes and function of integration |
| 7 | 7 proceedings with those related to well permit |
| 8 Michael Christian. I represent the applicant, Sna | 8 applications, which already cover many of the subjects |
| 9 River Oil and Gas. | 9 raised by the uncommitted owners. |
| 10 THE HEARING | 10 They also ignore that several subjects they |
| 11 Mr. Pio | 11 raise are already covered in the Act section on |
| 12 identify yourself | 12 integration section, 47-320. Section 320 sub (1) |
| 13 MR. PIOTROWSKI: James Piotrowsk | 13 actually provides for the issuance of an integration |
| 14 Durand, PLLC, here representing Steven and Rob | 14 order for the drilling of a well or wells in a unit. |
| 15 Bishop, Amie and Jason Echevarria, Rex Wils | 15 It does not limit the operator to a single well in the |
| 16 Patricia and Greg Fleshman, as well as Citizens Allied | 16 unit. That issue has also already been decided by the |
| 17 for Integrity and | 17 Commission in a previous proceeding. |
| 18 THE HEARING OFFICER: T | 18 Section 320 does not require the |
| 19 Ms. Kaufmann, can you please identify | 19 designation of a specific well or well location in the |
| 20 you -- identify yourself and | 20 integration order. The details of any proposed well |
| 21 MS. KAUFMANN: Sure. My name | 21 and its drilling and operation are addressed at length |
| 22 Kaufmann. I'm a deputy attorney general, and I'm here | 22 in the oil and gas rules, specifically at Rules 200 and |
| 23 today for | 23310 through 420. |
| 24 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. | 24 Likewise, permission for and the details |
| 25 Are there other uncommitted mineral | 25 well treatments are already covered in the rules, |
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| 1 interest owners in the proposed unit th | 1 specifically at Rules 210 and 211. |
| 2 participate today, either in the room or via Zoom? | 2 The remainder of the issues raised by the |
| 3 Okay. Thank you. For the record, no one | 3 uncommitted owners are already covered either by the |
| 4 came forward there | 4 factors -- by the factors that have been proposed by |
| 5 Let's begin with the argument | 5 the Department and Snake River. |
| 6 invite Snake River Oil and Gas to provide argumen | 6 The process arguments they make are either |
| 7 Go forward, Mr. Christian. | 7 contrary to the clear terms of the Act or already |
| 8 MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank y | 8 covered by the factors proposed by the Department and |
| 9 Administrator, again, Michael Christian | 9 the applicant. Most, if not all, of the interests |
| 10 represent the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas. | 10 alleged in their brief are either outside the scope of |
| 11 I will start with a general observation | 11 integration under the statute or already covered by |
| 12 that the Commission and the Departmen | 12 other areas of the Act and rules as I've just |
| 13 authority and the obligation to -- to encourage the | 13 discussed. |
| 14 development of hydrocarbon resources under the Act | 14 Nothing in the judgment in Kye versus |
| 15 while protecting correlative rights and preventing | 15 Schultz requires a granular examination of every facet |
| 16 waste. And as you're aware under the Act that the Act | 16 of the oil and gas business, including postproduction |
| 17 dictates that in the event of a conflict, the | 17 transportation, processing, and marketing before |
| 18 prevention of waste is paramount. | 18 mineral interests may be integrated. |
| 19 Snake River concurs with the Dep | 19 I don't believe that the uncommitted owners |
| 20 regarding the factors that should be | 20 have submitted any authority regarding the |
| 21 reaching an integration order. The factors that had | 21 consideration of the various noneconomic factors |
| 22 been proposed by Snake River and the Department appear | 22 unrelated to the purposes of the Act in describing just |
| 23 to be identical. | 23 and reasonable terms of an integration order. |
| 24 The same factors have been used in previous | 24 Similar terms do not appear to be included |
| 25 integration proceedings in the aftermath of the | 25 in or discussed in other states' integration orders and |

1 cases. To the contrary, other states' integration or
2 pooling orders are often standardized and incorporate
3 the terms related to preventing waste and the
4 development of the resource and protecting correlative
5 rights. For example, often using a joint operating
6 agreement based on an APL Form 610, in so long as the
7 operator's complied with the various and statutory
8 prerequisites and scope similar to the application for
9 integration here.
Likewise, evaluation of non-mineral
property values is not an appropriate consideration in
determining just and reasonable terms of integration.
It is unrealistic for operators to have to prove future
financial values of every property in the unit when
seeking integration, effectively having to prove a
negative in the future.
Again, the Act does not appear to provide
the Commission with authority to police private,
non-mineral property interests. I will note surface
owner protections are contained within the Act at
21 Section 47-334. They include specific procedures and
22 requirements, but do not provide authority for the
23 Commission to vary from them.
24 With respect to the mineral owners' due
25 process arguments, appropriate parties to this matter

Page 11
1 are currently being afforded due process, not only by
2 the procedure set forth in the Act and the rules, but
3 also by adjustments made to the proceedings on this
4 application specifically.
5 For every integration application
6 submitted, public comments can be submitted to the
7 Department of Lands to review prior to permit approval
8 or denial. And while they can't participate as a -- in
9 a proceeding as a party, public witnesses can submit
0 written or oral statements or exhibits at a hearing under the AG's rules.

For this matter, the preliminary hearing on
factors to be considered to reach just and reasonable terms and conditions has been moved obviously here to
Fruitland. There's an expanded briefing schedule provided for by the Administrator, which has allowed interested parties an even greater opportunity to be heard. Ultimately, to the extent an interested party wants to be heard, an opportunity is available to do so.

The Commission is bound by its duty to
22 regulate the exploration for and production of oil and
23 gas to prevent the waste of oil and gas and to protect
24 correlative rights, which mirrors the stated purpose of
25 the Act itself. And I would direct you to Section 315

1 sub (1) of the Act. Again, the responsibility of the
2 Department and the Commission to prevent waste is
3 paramount.
4 Due process in this context does not equate
5 to essentially a free-for-all to theorize about the
6 supposed effects of oil and gas development in general.
7 More appropriate venues exist for policy questions,
8 specifically the legislature.
9 Rather the focus under the Act remains on
10 issuing an integration order that promotes economic
11 development, prevents waste, and protects correlative
12 rights. Mineral interest owners are free to present
13 evidence regarding site-specific impacts to them from
14 the proposed integration and to the proposed terms and
15 conditions related to that evidence to the extent
16 consistent with the Act and the Commission's
17 jurisdiction under it.
18 The factors -- I would submit the factors
19 proposed by the Department and the applicant are
20 sufficient for that purpose.
21 Thank you.
22 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Christian.
23 I have a few questions for you.
24 MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes.
25 THE HEARING OFFICER: You -- I think you covered

1 a few of these in your opening just now, but I want to
2 ask them specifically.
3 Could you share what industry of standards
4 are when setting just and reasonable terms?
5 MR. CHRISTIAN: Broadly speaking, industry
6 standards would be whether -- in the context of
7 integration, would be, for example, whether a form or
8 joint operating agreement that's proposed is in wide
9 use in the industry.
10 In this case I think it's generally
11 understood, for example, that Form 6 to the APL --
12 Form 610 is very widely used in the industry and relied
13 upon by other states, commissions, and regulators as a
14 form they use in pooling or integration proceedings.
Likewise, whether a form of lease that's
16 proposed is -- is of a form that is common in the
17 industry would be another question to ask. And, you
18 know, the broad term that's used is a producer's 80
19 acres allow different versions of that.
20 But -- but the applicant has in the past,
21 and should in the future, put on evidence that a form
22 of lease is both widely used in the area in the
23 industry already on voluntary terms, and perhaps widely
24 used by it elsewhere and by other operators.
25 Thank you for your question.
1 and completed in a separate source of supply.

And so to the extent you want to impose a factor, I suppose that would be it is does the -- does the applicant propose or intend to drill wells to -- if they intend to drill more than one well, do they intend to drill wells to separate sources of supply?

Which I think would be generally something
for them to answer in the context of integration,
although I think the question of the technical details
of that would be more appropriately answerable in the well permit application process.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Christian. One more question.
MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes, sir.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Should factors used to
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1 determine just and reasonable terms consider the use of
2 an uncommitted owner's lands, including whether a well
3 bore or pipeline may physically cross that land of an 4 uncommitted mineral interest owner?

MR. CHRISTIAN: I think it's appropriate to --
that's a factor that could be considered, I think, for
surface uses, for pipe -- for either pipeline or well
bore. I mean I think it's -- that falls under the
rubric of encouragement of development and prevention
of waste in correlative -- and protection of
correlative resources if -- if -- if product -- if
hydrocarbons would be wasted, absent the ability for a
well bore to cross under an integrated party's land,
then -- then the prevention of waste would be paramount
and the -- that traverse should be allowed.
So I don't think it's an unreasonable
factor to consider, but I think it has to be viewed in
the context of the purposes of the Act.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Christian.
Those are all the questions I have.
MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning,
Mr. Piotrowski. I'd ask for you to unmute, please, and
invite you to provide argument at this time.
MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Thomas.

1 reasonable if you can't control anything about the price.
I want your Lexus. I'm willing to pay one dollar for it. And if the statute says you must give it to me for one dollar, then that is statutorily required. It is not just nor reasonable.

That is precisely the position my clients
find themselves in. You're telling them that you, on
behalf of the Commission, are going to set just and
reasonable terms when you don't have the power. You
haven't been given the power to affect economic terms.
You have also now been told, and the
position that Snake River takes, and the position that
the Commission takes, is that you may not address
surface tread path, that that's not something that is
allowed to be considered in just and reasonable terms.
You are being told that the number of wells
that will be drilled -- in other words, the number of
times that my clients will suffer a trespass, you
cannot address that as part of just and reasonable terms of the Snake River [unintelligible].

They tell you that well treatment
[unintelligible], you can't address those, that that is to be addressed in some other proceeding.

They will tell you that the appropriate

1 bonding level for this project is not something that you can address in setting just and reasonable terms.
Instead Snake River tells you all of those
items are either entirely established as a matter of
statute or should be addressed only in the well drilling permit process.
Well, the well drilling permit process does not require just and reasonable terms. It does not require fairness to the mineral owners. And so that's not an answer. It doesn't address the real issue here.

The real issues here are what is the gas
worth? How much should the owner of that gas get,
versus the out-of-state corporation that wants to come
in and extract it from under our property? What
surface protections will there be against trespass?
What subsurface protections will there be against
trespass? What protections will be provided in the
event of an accident in the drilling or extraction
process?
All of these things are necessary to
deciding whether integration is just and reasonable.
And yet you've been denied the power to
determine any of it. It's not your fault, Mr. Thomas.
It's the legislators' fault, as Mr. Christian properly
5 pointed out. They have set up a statutory system that
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doesn't give you the power to determine whether -whether the terms are just and reasonable.

So let me address what would be necessary, at a bare minimum, to set just and reasonable terms in the compelled, forced economic transaction.

First of all, you'd have to address the
royalty amount. But you're not allowed to. Why 1 A --
why 12 -and-a-half percent? Why not 15 percent? Why
not 25 percent?
Those are reasonable questions. And if you
are decided what is a just price for my clients'
mineral interest, you'd ask those questions, you'd
develop answers to those questions, yet you are not
allowed to do so.
You address the bonus payment, because this is an economic transaction. This is a sale. And it is not a sale between two willing arm's length transaction participants. And so in the absence of an arm's length transaction, you have to decide what is just.

Well, what was just to one property owner
21 is not necessarily just to another. And yet you are
22 not allowed to determine a just or reasonable bonus
23 payment.
24 You would address the number of wells. The
25 terms of a deal that call for a single trespass across

1 somebody's property are quite different from the terms
2 of a deal that would allow continuous or multiple
3 trespasses across a person's property.
4 If I sell an easement for a single use, if
I tell, for instance, a utility company, yes, you may
cross my land one time to access other property to do
something you need to do, and you gave me $\$ 10$ for that
one-time access, it is not then reasonable to say,
well, that $\$ 10$ is also all that you get for permanent
10 and continuous access for the next 30 years. That's just neither just nor reasonable.

Likewise, the number of wells is relevant. If you tell me I want to trespass on your property and take your minerals via a well, now that's one thing. If you tell me you want to drill ten wells around my house, that's entirely a different matter, and that would require different just and reasonable terms. And yet, Mr. Christian would have you believe you have no power to address that. And he may be right. The Commission believes you have no power to address that.

The process here is intended not to elevate correlative rights over property rights. It is not to elevate developed over all other interests. The purpose of the statute is to establish that -- or is to
25 encourage development, but to encourage development of
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1 our hydrocarbon resources at economically reasonable 2 basis.
3 If the cost of extracting the minerals
4 exceeds the value of the minerals, Snake River Oil and
5 Gas has the option to say, oh, no, we're not going to
do that. We're not going to drill that well because it
is not economically -- well, it's not profitable.
My clients don't have that right. They had
that right until Snake River filed this application.
10 They had the right to say no, and that's not
1 economically profitable. My one-eighth royalty and a
12 hundred dollar bonus payment isn't going to make up for
13 the loss that's been suffered.
14 But you, Mr. Thomas, are being asked to
15 decide under what terms will one party to this
16 transaction be denied the right to say that's not
17 profitable, and yet you haven't been given the tools to 18 do so.
19 So in addition to the arguments we raised
20 in our brief, I would simply point out that if the
21 determination of you as the Hearing Officer, and
22 ultimately the Commission, because it is a Commission
23 decision, not yours, if that decision is that a
24 one-eighth royalty is all we got, a $\$ 100$ bonus payment
25 is all we get, we don't get any limits on the number of

1 wells, we don't get surface protection, we don't get 2 subsurface protection, we don't get paid for trespass 3 on our property, if that's the decision to be made, is 4 that none of those things will be addressed in any 5 proceeding, then you're implementing an 6 unconstitutional statute.

The fact is that unless the Commission has the power to address each and every one of these matters, it has not engaged in due process of law. It
has instead engaged in the application and enforcement
of a statute that strips people of property right
without due process allowed.
Thank you very much.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Christian
sic]. I'm taking a few notes, and then I have a few
questions for you.
Would you mind waiting a moment?
MR. PIOTROWSKI: Sure.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
I'm sorry. I think I called you
"Mr. Christian" accidentally.
MR. PIOTROWSKI: I knew what you meant.
THE HEARING OFFICER: That may have been
egregious. I apologize for that.
MR. PIOTROWSKI: No, no.

1 THE HEARING OFFICER: And just while we're on the topic of humor, I drive a Toyota truck, not a 3 Lexus. That would be great to have, by the way. But my truck is quite reliable.

Back on focus here. Mr. Piotrowski, I have one question that you -- first question that you kind of alluded to in your statement just now and in your brief, but I want to clarify: Snake River Oil and Gas and the Department have proposed using the same factors used in prior integration, specifically Docket No.
CC-2022-OGR-01-001 and Docket No. CC-2021-OGR-01-002.
Do you agree with these factors? And if
not, what is your alternative proposal?
MR. PIOTROWSKI: No, we don't agree that those factors are sufficient or adequate.

Our alternative proposal is that the --
well, [unintelligible], and it is that the Commission
consider the economic -- all economic and noneconomic
factors that reflect a bond, the justness and the
reasonableness of forcing someone to sell part of their home against their will.

Let's be very clear that that's what the
23 statute does. And to that extent, every factor that
24 will relate to that forced sale, that compelled
25 participation in a process which some property owners

1 find objectionable need to be considered
2 And so if you're not going to consider the economic effect of the oil extraction, if you're not going to consider appropriate bonding and assurance levels, and if you're not going to consider the value of the royalty and the bonus payment, I don't believe you can determine just and reasonable items.

THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Piotrowski.
Additional question: In your -- in your
opening brief, page -- I think it was page 8 , heading B, topic B, just and reasonable terms should all -- you wrote -- you titled it, "Just and reasonable terms should also ensure the property owner's reasonable expectations, current property use," et cetera.

Would you mind clarifying a little bit what you mean by "reasonable expectations"?

MR. PIOTROWSKI: Certainly. My clients, at various different times for each of them, made a decision on how to invest their money. Buying a home is not merely about finding a residence. Buying a home is the single most important economic activity that most American families will ever engage in.

I have never -- I mean I'm a, quote, "reasonably successful person" by economic standards, I have never purchased anything more expensive than the
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home I live in. And that has been true in every home I've lived in. When I lived in cheap homes, and when I lived in more expensive, it is always the biggest single investment a typical American family makes.

That -- the value of that home is not just in providing a place to lay one's head. And not only is a home a person's castle, to use the old saying, but
it reflects the assumption that if smart decisions are made, if you buy a good home in a reasonable location and you take reasonably good care of it that you will get to enjoy the market for real estate, which we at the moment is -- well, over the last five years in Idaho has been quite -- I'm not even sure what word to use.
Let's just say that people are seeing massive gains in the value of their homes. Those are retirement funds. In addition to being a place to lay one's head, it is an investment in one's own future.

And so the reasonable expectation of a
person buying a home in rural Fruitland is that they will get to enjoy peace and quiet, clean air, clean water, and own an asset that will in all probability continue to appreciate over the course of the ownership, such that that home can then be used at some future point to allow one to retire, to pay for
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long-term care services is a very frequent use of those funds.

And yet, these expectations, these
reasonable expectations of both owning a home and owning a major investment can be damaged by an outsider
forcing my clients to sell a portion of their home.
Now, that portion may be underground. It is still a portion of their home.
And in fact, it's not always underground.
Snake River will ask you to approve surface wells
[unintelligible]. And so the reasonable expectation to
the homeowner in Idaho are that you can put up a fence
and keep people off your property, including Snake
River Oil and Gas.
All of these things are the reasonable
expectation of the property owners in Idaho, and they
should all be addressed if we're to determine whether
certain terms are just and reasonable.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Piotrowski.
I have one more question for you: Are the
21 four member -- are the four property owners mentioned
22 in your brief and at the opening of your statement
23 today, are those four property owners CAIA members?
24 MR. PIOTROWSKI: I'm not sure of their current
25 membership status, but I would assume so. They have
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1 asked me to represent them, and I did not -- I did not
ask that question as part of whether I would represent them.
THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
5 And do you have any -- I think I know the
6 answer to this, but just for clarity: Do you have any
additional clients in this unit that are CAIA members?
MR. PIOTROWSKI: I don't know the answer to that.
THE HEARING OFFICER: All right.
Mr. Piotrowski, thank you very much. That will be all.
And I'll ask again, while I did just do in
the intro, are there any uncommitted mineral interest
owners in this basin unit in the room or on Zoom who
would like to speak at this time?
All right. There are none.
At this time I would invite the Department
to provide argument.
Ms. Kaufmann, you can unmute.
MS. KAUFMANN: Thank you, Mr. Thomas, and good morning.
As you know, I think the Department of
23 Lands provided an opening brief and remarks, and at
24 this time we're just going to stand on those remarks.
25 We don't have anything in addition to add.

1 THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Kaufmann.
2 I, in turn, have no additional questions
3 for the Department at this time.
4 MS. KAUFMANN: Thank you.
5 THE HEARING OFFICER: At this point I would 6 allow Snake River to step forward, Mr. Christian, and 7 offer rebuttal.
8 MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Administrator.
9 Briefly, Mr. Piotrowski's remarks and his
10 view of the just and reasonable scheme on behalf of his
11 clients really ignores the 90 -- roughly 90 percent of
12 the owners in the unit who have voluntarily leased and
13 wish to develop and the impact on their interests.
14 And it is -- it is not true that -- that
15 some of the interests that he's described of -- of
16 uncommitted interest owners, or any property owner, are
17 not considered. You know, as I've described already,
18 the -- the adequacy and safety of well drilling and
19 operation and well treatments are -- are addressed in
20 the rules. And there are -- and there are
21 opportunities -- opportunities for parties who think
22 their interests are being affected to, you know,
23 request notice and a hearing on a subject. Or they're 4 required, frankly.
25 So there are already opportunities in other

1 areas for owners to participate, have a reasonable
2 opportunity to be heard on a subject, and ensure
3 that -- that their interests are protected in a number
4 of ways that just aren't part of the integration
5 process.
I -- I would also note that his -- his
continued description of the bonus and royalty as
only -- I think his suggestion is that the bonus and
the royalty only buy the oil and gas and that there are
10 these other things that he calls trespasses, which are
11 uncompensated. And that's just not true.
Certainly in the voluntary leasing context,
which is the analogue, your bonus and your royalty buy a lease. They don't just buy the oil and gas. And the bundle of rights that's purchased in the lease includes subsurface access for the purpose of development and, depending on the terms of the lease, surface access for the purpose of development. So it is not true that those things are not compensated.

And certainly in the past the applicant has put on evidence that the bonus being paid to owners to be integrated per the statute is the equal to the
23 highest bonus paid to any voluntary lessor in the unit
24 prior to application, which goes to the subject of just
25 compensation.
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| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | The applicant has also put on evidence that |
| 2 | the royalty being paid to all or virtually all of the |
| 3 | owners in the unit is equal to the bonus that's being |
| 4 | proposed in the form of lease submitted with the |
| 5 | integration application. |
| $6 \quad$ So it isn't true that there is no |  |
| 7 | consideration of uncommitted owners' economic and other |
| 8 | interests. |
| 9 | But again, I submit to you at the end of |
| 10 | the day that the uncommitted owners are -- they ignore |
| 11 | that the just and reasonable process is interested in |
| 12 | the rights of all parties involved, not just the |
| 13 | uncommitted mineral interest owners. |
| 14 | Thank you. |
| 15 | THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Christian. |
| 16 | At this point arguments are complete. I |
| 17 | would invite those first in person who wish to make |
| 18 | public comment to step forward and do so. |
| 19 | Specifically, Ms. Higby [phonetic], if |
| 20 | you'd like me to bring the laptop back to you, you |
| 21 | can -- I'll do that for you. |
| 22 | MS. HIGBY: No, thank you. |
| 23 | THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. |
| 24 | So is there anyone in the room who would |
| 25 | like to provide public comment? |

All right. Thank you.
I don't believe we have anyone else on Zoom from the public. I'll check again. All we have is Mr. Piotrowski and Ms. Kaufmann.

So there's no public comment offered at this just and reasonable hearing.

Okay. I don't know if anybody else has anything left to say, but I think I've pretty much flushed that out among the group.

So with that, it is currently 9:40 a.m.
This hearing is concluded. I'll take the -- I'll take the -- I'll take the -- I'll take the...
(End of audio file.)
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| 3:24 | 27:13 | 22:9 | 10:25;11:12;16:1;18:4;20:16 |
| identical (1) | invest (1) | lay (2) | matters (1) |
| 7:23 | 24:19 | 25:6,17 | 22:9 |
| identify (5) | investment (3) | lease (6) | may (10) |
| 4:9;6:5,12,19,20 | 25:4,18;26:5 | 13:15,22;29:14,15,17;30:4 | 4:6;5:9;6:1;9:18;15:3;17:14; |
| ignore (2) | invite (4) | leased (1) | 20:5,19;22:23;26:7 |
| 8:10;30:10 | 7:6;15:24;27:17;30:17 | 28:12 | mean (3) |
| ignores (1) | involved (1) | leasing (1) | 15:8;24:16,23 |
| 28:11 | 30:12 | 29:12 | meant (1) |
| impact (1) | issuance (1) | left (1) | 22:22 |
| $28: 13$ | $8: 13$ | $31: 8$ | member (1) |
| impacts (1) | issue (3) | legislators' (1) | $26: 21$ |


| members (2) | name's (1) | OGCC (1) | 10:20;15:4;18:12;19:20; |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26:23;27:7 | 3:13 | 4:18 | 28:16 |
| membership (1) | nature (1) | ogccidahogov/administrative-hearings (1) | owners (21) |
| 26:25 | 16:25 | 4:19 | 5:6,14;7:1;8:4,9;9:3,19; |
| mentioned (1) | navigable (1) | oil (20) | 12:12;18:9;23:25;26:16,21,23; |
| 26:21 | 3:14 | 3:15;5:13,16;6:9;7:6,10; | 27:14;28:12,16;29:1,21;30:3, |
| merely (1) | necessarily (1) | 8:22;9:16;11:22,23;12:6;16:11, | 10,13 |
| 24:20 | 19:21 | 16,18;21:4;23:8;24:3;26:14; | owners' (2) |
| Meridian (1) | necessary (2) | 29:9,14 | 10:24;30:7 |
| 3:11 | 18:20;19:3 | old (1) | owner's (2) |
| Michael (2) | need (2) | 25:7 | 15:2;24:13 |
| 6:8;7:9 | 20:7;24:1 | one (13) | ownership (1) |
| Mick (1) | negative (1) | 7:3;14:15,23;17:3,5;19:20; | 25:24 |
| 3:13 | 10:16 | 20:6,14;21:15;22:8;23:6;25:25; | owning (2) |
| microphone (1) | neither (1) | 26:20 | $26: 4,5$ |
| $4: 6$ | 20:11 | one-eighth (2) |  |
| microphones (1) | next (1) | 21:11,24 | $\mathbf{P}$ |
| 4:3 | 20:10 | one's (3) |  |
| mind (2) | none (2) | 25:6,18,18 | page (2) |
| 22:17;24:15 | 22:4;27:16 | one-time (1) | 24:10,10 |
| mineral (10) | noneconomic (2) | 20:8 | paid (4) |
| 6:25;8:4;9:18;10:24;12:12; | 9:21;23:18 | only (6) | 22:2;29:21,23;30:2 |
| $15: 4 ; 18: 9 ; 19: 12 ; 27: 13 ; 30: 13$ | non-mineral (2) | 5:4;11:1;18:5;25:6;29:8,9 | paramount (3) |
| minerals (4) | 10:10,19 | oOo- (1) | 7:18;12:3;15:14 |
| 3:14;20:14;21:3,4 | nor (3) | 31:14 | part (4) |
| minimum (1) | 16:14;17:6;20:11 | opening (4) | 17:20;23:20;27:2;29:4 |
| 19:4 | North (1) | 13:1;24:10;26:22;27:23 | participants (1) |
| minutes (1) | 3:11 | operating (2) | 19:18 |
| 5:20 | note (2) | 10:5;13:8 | participate (4) |
| mirrors (1) | 10:19;29:6 | operation (2) | 5:8;7:2;11:8;29:1 |
| 11:24 | notes (1) | 8:21;28:19 | participates (1) |
| modify (1) | 22:15 | operator (1) | 5:22 |
| 16:23 | notice (2) | 8:15 | participation (1) |
| moment (2) | 4:20;28:23 | operators (2) | $23: 25$ |
| $22: 17 ; 25: 12$ | number (7) | 10:13;13:24 | particularly (1) |
| money (1) | 14:5;17:17,18;19:24;20:12; | operator's (1) | 16:10 |
| 24:19 | 21:25;29:3 | 10:7 | parties (4) |
| More (7) <br> 12:7;14:15,20,23;24:25;25:3; | 0 | opportunities (3) $28: 21,21,25$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10: 25 ; 11: 17 ; 28: 21 ; 30: 12 \\ & \operatorname{party}(\mathbf{3}) \end{aligned}$ |
| $26: 20$ |  | opportunity (4) | 11:9,18;21:15 |
| morning (3) | objectionable (1) | 5:17;11:17,19;29:2 | party's (1) |
| 15:22;16:8;27:21 | 24:1 | option (1) | 15:13 |
| Most (3) | obligation (1) | 21:5 | passed (1) |
| 9:9;24:21,22 | 7:13 | oral (3) | 5:7 |
| move (1) | observation (1) | 3:20;11:10;16:3 | past (2) |
| 4:14 | 7:11 | order (7) | 13:20;29:20 |
| moved (1) | obviously (1) | 3:8;6:3;7:21;8:14,20;9:23; | path (1) |
| 11:14 | 11:14 | 12:10 | 17:15 |
| much (4) | October (1) | orders (2) | Patricia (1) |
| 18:12;22:13;27:11;31:8 | 3:4 | 9:25;10:2 | 6:16 |
| multiple (2) | off (1) | out (4) | pay (2) |
| 14:10;20:2 | 26:13 | 16:2;18:25;21:20;31:9 | 17:3;25:25 |
| must (1) | offer (1) | out-of-state (1) | Payette (1) |
| 17:4 | 28:7 | 18:13 | 3:11 |
| mute (2) | offered (2) | outside (1) | payment (7) |
| 4:2,5 | 16:20;31:5 | 9:10 | 16:14,15;19:15,23;21:12,24; |
| muted (1) | OFFICER (24) | outsider (1) | 24:6 |
| 4:7 | 3:2;6:10,18,24;12:22,25; | 26:5 | peace (1) |
| N | $14: 1,22,25 ; 15: 19,22 ; 21: 21 ;$ 22:14,19,23;23:1;24:8;26:19; | over (6) $3: 16: 4: 15: 20: 22,23: 25: 12,23$ | 25:21 |
| N | 22:14,19,23;23:1;24:8;26:19; $27: 4,10 ; 28: 1,5 ; 30: 15,23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 3:16;4:15;20:22,23;25:12,23 } \\ & \text { own (5) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { people (3) } \\ 22: 11 ; 25: 15 ; 26: 13 \end{array}$ |
| name (4) | often (2) | 5:25;16:16,18;25:18,22 | per (1) |
| 4:10;5:24,25;6:21 | 10:2,5 | owner (5) | 29:22 |


| percent (4) | preliminary (1) | 24:13,14;26:13,16,21,23;28:16 | 3:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19:8,8,9;28:11 | 11:12 | proposal (2) | Rather (1) |
| perhaps (1) | prerequisites (1) | 23:13,16 | 12:9 |
| 13:23 | 10:8 | propose (1) | reach (1) |
| permanent (1) | present (1) | 14:14 | 11:13 |
| 20:9 | 12:12 | proposed (14) | reaching (1) |
| permission (1) | presiding (1) | 5:14;7:1,22;8:20;9:4,8;12:14, | 7:21 |
| 8:24 | 3:16 | 14,19;13:8,16;14:4;23:9;30:4 | real (3) |
| permit (5) | presumably (1) | protect (1) | 18:10,11;25:11 |
| 8:7;11:7;14:21;18:6,7 | 16:17 | 11:23 | really (1) |
| person (6) | pretty (1) | protected (1) | 28:11 |
| 3:23;4:8;5:21;24:24;25:20; | 31:8 | 29:3 | reasonable (42) |
| 30:17 | prevent (2) | protecting (2) | 3:9;4:23,24;5:3;9:23;10:12; |
| person's (2) | 11:23;12:2 | 7:15;10:4 | 11:13;13:4;14:3;15:1;16:10; |
| 20:3;25:7 | preventing (2) | protection (3) | 17:1,6,10,16,20;18:2,8,21;19:2, |
| physically (1) | 7:15;10:3 | 15:10;22:1,2 | 4,10,22;20:8,11,17;21:1;24:7, |
| 15:3 | prevention (3) | protections (4) | 11,12,13,16;25:9,19;26:4,11, |
| Piotrowski (19) | 7:18;15:9,14 | 10:20;18:15,16,17 | 15,18;28:10;29:1;30:11;31:6 |
| 6:11,13,13,13,18;15:23,25; | prevents (1) | protects (1) | reasonableness (1) |
| 22:18,22,25;23:5,14;24:8,17; | 12:11 | 12:11 | 23:20 |
| 26:19,24;27:8,11;31:4 | previous (2) | prove (2) | reasonably (2) |
| Piotrowski's (1) | 7:24;8:17 | 10:13,15 | 24:24;25:10 |
| 28:9 | price (4) | provide (7) | rebuttal (2) |
| pipe (1) | 16:19,23;17:2;19:11 | 4:13;7:6;10:17,22;15:24; | 5:17;28:7 |
| 15:7 | prior (3) | 27:18;30:25 | record (5) |
| pipeline (2) | 11:7;23:10;29:24 | provided (4) | 3:2;4:16;5:24;6:6;7:3 |
| 15:3,7 | private (1) | 3:9;11:16;18:17;27:23 | recorded (1) |
| place (2) | 10:18 | provides (1) | 3:24 |
| 25:6,17 | probability (1) | 8:13 | recording (3) |
| plan (2) | 25:22 | providing (1) | 3:25;4:1,12 |
| 5:3;7:1 | procedure (1) | 25:6 | reflect (1) |
| please (9) | 11:2 | proximity (1) | 23:19 |
| $4: 2,3 ; 5: 25 ; 6: 5,11,19 ; 15: 23$ | procedures (1) | 4:11 | reflects (1) |
| $16: 5,12$ | $10: 21$ | public (9) | 25:8 |
| PLLC (1) | proceed (1) | 5:19,20,21;11:6,9;30:18,25; | regarding (3) |
| 6:14 | $5: 11$ | 31:3,5 | 7:20;9:20;12:13 |
| podium (2) | proceeding (4) | purchased (2) | regulate (1) |
| $4: 10,13$ | 8:17;11:9;17:24;22:5 | 24:25;29:15 | $11: 22$ |
| point (4) | proceedings (4) | purpose (5) | regulators (1) |
| 21:20;25:25;28:5;30:16 | 7:25;8:7;11:3;13:14 | 11:24;12:20;20:24;29:16,18 | 13:13 |
| pointed (1) | process (14) | purposes (3) | relate (1) |
| 18:25 | 9:6;10:25;11:1;12:4;14:21; | $8: 6 ; 9: 22 ; 15: 18$ | 23:24 |
| points (1) | 18:6,7,19;20:21;22:9,12;23:25; | pursuant (1) | related (3) |
| $16: 8$ police (1) | 29:5;30:11 | $3: 17$ put (4) | 8:7;10:3;12:15 |
| police (1) | processing (1) $9: 17$ | put (4) <br> 13:21; | relevant (1) 20:12 |
| policy (1) | producer's (1) |  | reliable (1) |
| 12:7 | 13:18 | Q | 23:4 |
| pooling (2) | product (1) |  | relied (1) |
| 10:2;13:14 | 15:11 | quiet (1) | 13:12 |
| portion (3) | production (1) | 25:21 | remainder (1) |
| 26:6,7,8 | 11:22 | quite (3) | 9:2 |
| position (4) | profitable (3) | 20:1;23:4;25:13 | remains (1) |
| 16:11;17:7,13,13 | 21:7,11,17 | quote (1) | 12:9 |
| possible (1) | project (1) | 24:23 | remarks (3) |
| $4: 12$ | $18: 1$ |  | $27: 23,24 ; 28: 9$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { postproduction (1) } \\ & 9: 16 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { promotes (1) } \\ 12: 10 \end{array}$ | R | $\underset{4: 5}{\operatorname{reminded}(1)}$ |
| power (7) | properly (1) | raise (2) | repeat (1) |
| 17:10,11;18:22;19:1;20:19, | 18:24 | 8:11;16:7 | 16:2 |
| 20;22:8 | property (20) | raised (3) | represent (7) |
| precisely (1) | 10:11,14,19;18:14;19:20; | $8: 9 ; 9: 2 ; 21: 19$ | 6:6,8,12,20;7:10;27:1,2 |
| 17:7 | 20:1,3,6,13,22;22:3,11;23:25; | Range (1) | representative (1) |


| 5:25 |  | someone (1) | 3:9;8:12;12:1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| representing (2) | S | 23:20 | subject (3) |
| 6:1,14 |  | sorry (1) | 28:23;29:2,24 |
| request (1) | safety (1) | 22:20 | subjects (2) |
| 28:23 | 28:18 | source (1) | $8: 8,10$ |
| require (4) | sale (3) | 14:11 | submit (3) |
| 8:18;18:8,9;20:17 | 19:16,17;23:24 | sources (1) | $11: 9 ; 12: 18 ; 30: 9$ |
| required (3) | same (2) | $14: 16$ | submittal (1) |
| 3:24;17:6;28:24 | 7:24;23:9 | spacing (2) | $5: 1$ |
| requirements (1) | saying (1) | $3: 10 ; 14: 9$ | submitted (9) |
| 10:22 | 25:7 | speak (3) | 5:10;8:5;9:20;11:6,6;16:1,4 |
| $\underset{9.15}{\text { requires (1) }}$ | schedule (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:3,10;27:15 } \\ & \text { speaking (2) } \end{aligned}$ | 6;30:4 |
| 9:15 residence (1) | $11: 15$ scheme (1) | speaking (2) $6: 2 ; 13: 5$ | subsurface (3) $18: 16 ; 22: 2 ; 29: 16$ |
| 24:20 | 28:10 | speaks (1) | successful (1) |
| resource (1) | Schultz (2) | 5:23 | 24:24 |
| 10:4 | 8:1;9:15 | specific (3) | suffer (1) |
| resources (3) | scope (4) | $8: 19 ; 10: 21 ; 14: 3$ | 17:19 |
| 7:14;15:11;21:1 | $4: 21 ; 5: 2 ; 9: 10 ; 10: 8$ | specifically (7) | suffered (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { respect (1) } \\ 10: 24 \end{gathered}$ | Section (7) | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 22 ; 9: 1 ; 11: 4 ; 12: 8 ; 13: 2 ; \\ & 23: 10 ; 30: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21: 13 \\ \text { sufficient (2) } \end{gathered}$ |
| respond (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 10 ; 8: 11,12,12,18 ; 10: 21 ; \\ & 11: 25 \end{aligned}$ | stand (1) | $12: 20 ; 23: 15$ |
| 5:6 | seeing (1) | 27:24 | suggestion (1) |
| responsibility (1) | 25:15 | standardized (1) | 29:8 |
| $12: 1$ | seeking (1) | 10:2 | supply (2) |
| retire (1) | 10:15 | standards (3) | 14:11,16 |
| 25:25 | sell (4) | 13:3,6;24:24 | suppose (1) |
| retirement (1) 25.17 | 16:19;20:4;23:20;26:6 | start (1) | 14:13 |
| 25:17 review (1) | separate (2) | $7: 11$ state (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { supposed (1) } \\ & 12: 6 \end{aligned}$ |
| 11:7 | September (1) | 5:24 | Sure (4) |
| reviewed (1) | $4: 20$ | stated (1) | 6:21;22:18;25:13;26:24 |
| 3:19 | services (1) | 11:24 | surface (7) |
| $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{Rex}(1) \\ 6: 15 \end{gathered}$ | 26:1 | statement (2) | 10:19;15:7;17:15;18:15; |
|  |  | 23:7;26:22 | $22: 1 ; 26: 10 ; 29: 17$ |
| ```right (10) 4\cdot10:20\cdot19:21:8,9,10,16.``` | 3:6;11:2;16:2,11;17:9;18:25; | statements (1) | system (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4: 10 ; 20: 19 ; 21: 8,9,10,16 \\ & 22: 11: 27: 10,16: 31: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $19: 4$ | 11:10 | 18:25 |
| rights (8) | 13:4;18:2several (1) | $\begin{gathered} 13: 13 \\ \text { states' (2) } \end{gathered}$ | T |
| 7:15;10:5;11:24;12:12; |  |  |  |
| 20:22,22;29:15;30:12 | 8:10 | 9:25;10:1 | technical (1) |
| River (20) | share (1)$13: 3$ | stating (1) | 14:19 |
| 5:13,16;6:9;7:6,10,19,22;9:5; |  | $4: 9$ | telling (1) |
| $16: 11,17,18 ; 17: 13,21 ; 18: 3$ | sic] (1) | status (1) | 17:8 |
| 21:4,9;23:8;26:10,14;28:6 | 22:15 | 26:25 | tells (1) |
| Robin (1) | Similar (2) | statute (9) | 18:3 |
| $\begin{gathered} 6: 14 \\ \text { room (3) } \end{gathered}$ | 9:24;10:8simply (1) | 9:11;16:13;17:4;18:5;20:24; | ten (1) |
|  |  | $22: 6,11 ; 23: 23 ; 29: 22$ | $20: 15$ |
| 7:2;27:14;30:24 | $21: 20$ | statutorily (1) | term (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { roughly (1) } \\ 28: 11 \end{gathered}$ | single (5) | $\begin{gathered} 17: 5 \\ \text { statutory (2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13: 18 \\ \text { terms (31) } \end{gathered}$ |
| royalty (9) | site-specific (2) | 10:7;18:25 | $3: 8 ; 4: 23 ; 9: 7,23,24 ; 10: 3,12$ |
| 16:14;19:7;21:11,24;24:6; | $8: 2 ; 12: 13$ | step (2) | $11: 14 ; 12: 14 ; 13: 4,23 ; 14: 4,5$ |
| 29:7,9,13;30:2 | slightly (1) | 28:6;30:18 | 15:1;16:9;17:10,11,16,21;18:2, |
| $\underset{15.9}{\text { rubric (1) }}$ | 16:7 | Steven (1) | 8;19:2,4,25;20:1,17;21:15; |
| 15:9 Rules (8) | smart (1) | $6: 14$ still (2) | 24:11,12;26:18;29:17 |
| Rules (8) $8: 22,22,25 ; 9: 1,12 ; 11: 2,11$; | 25:8 Snake (20) | still (2) 5:7;26:7 | theorize (1) $12: 5$ |
| 28:20 | Snake (20) $5: 12,16 ; 6: 8 ; 7: 6,10,19,22 ; 9: 5 ;$ | strips (1) | therein (1) |
| rural (1) | $16: 11,17,18 ; 17: 13,21 ; 18: 3$ | 22:11 | 16:3 |
| 25:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:4,9;23:8;26:10,13;28:6 } \\ & \text { somebody's (1) } \\ & 20: 1 \end{aligned}$ | stuff (1) | Thomas (6) |
|  |  | $4: 14$ | $3: 13 ; 15: 25 ; 16: 13 ; 18: 23$ |
|  |  |  | 21:14;27:20 |


| Thursday (1) | 7:14,16;9:11;11:11;12:9,17; | 3:23 | 24:12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 3: 4 \\ \text { times (2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:8,13;18:14;21:15 } \\ & \text { underground }(2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { virtually (1) } \\ 30: 2 \end{array}$ | Y |
| 17:19;24:18 | 26:7,9 | voluntarily (1) |  |
| titled (1) | understood (1) | 28:12 | years (2) |
| 24:12 | 13:11 | voluntary (3) | 20:10;25:12 |
| today (5) | unit (11) | 13:23;29:12,23 | Z |
| 3:16;4:23;6:23;7:2;26:23 | 3:10;7:1;8:14,16;10:14;14:9; | W |  |
| 17:12,17 | units (1) |  | Zoom (7) |
| tools (1) | 5:14 | $\begin{gathered} \text { waiting (1) } \\ 22: 17 \end{gathered}$ | $3: 23,24 ; 4: 2 ; 5: 22 ; 7: 2 ; 27: 14$ |
| 21:17 | unless (1) |  |  |
| topic (2) | 22:7 | $\begin{gathered} 22: 17 \\ \text { wants (3) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 23:2;24:11 | unmute (3) | $11: 19 ; 16: 18 ; 18: 13$ | 0 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Township (1) } \\ 3: 10 \end{gathered}$ | $6: 11 ; 15: 23 ; 27: 19$ <br> unrealistic (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { waste }(\mathbf{8}) \\ & 7: 16,18 ; 10: 3 ; 11: 23 ; 12: 2,11 \\ & 15: 10,14 \end{aligned}$ | 04.11.01.651 (1) |
| Toyota (1) | 10:13 |  | 3:25 |
| 23:2 transaction (9) | unreasonable (1) 15:16 | $15: 10,14$ wasted (1) | 1 |
| 16:15,21,22,25;19:5,16,17, | unrelated (1) | water (1) |  |
| 19;21:16 | 9:22 | 25:22 | 1 (3) |
| transportation (1) | up (5) | waterways (1) | 3:9;8:12;12:1 |
| 9:17 | 4:10,13;18:25;21:12;26:12 | 3:14 | 12-and-a-half (1) |
| traverse (1) | upcoming (1) | way (2) | 19:8 |
| 15:15 | 5:6 | 16:23;23:3 | 13th (1) |
| tread (1) | upon (1) | ways (1) | $\begin{array}{r} 3: 4 \\ 15(1) \end{array}$ |
| 17:15 | 13:13 | 29:4 |  |
| treatment (1) | use (8) | $4: 17,18$ | 19:8 |
| 17:22 | 13:9,14;15:1;20:4;24:14; |  |  |
| treatments (2) | 25:7,14;26:1 | $\begin{gathered} \text { weight (1) } \\ 3: 20 \end{gathered}$ | 19:7 |
| 8:25;28:19 | used (12) |  | 2 |
| trespass (6) | 4:21,22;5:2;7:24;13:12,18, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { wells (12) } \\ & 8: 14 ; 14: 4,5,10,14,16 ; 17: 17 \\ & 19: 24 ; 20: 12,15 ; 22: 1 ; 26: 10 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 17: 19 ; 18: 15,17 ; 19: 25 ; 20: 13 ; \\ & 22: 2 \end{aligned}$ | uses (1) |  | 200 (1) |
| trespasses (2) | 15:7 | West (1) | 8:22 |
| 20:3;29:10 | using (2) | 3:11 <br> whatsoever (1) | 2022 (2) |
| truck (2) | 10:5;23:9 |  | 3:4;4:20 |
| 23:2,4 | utility (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { whatsoever (1) } \\ \text { 16:24 } \end{gathered}$ | 210 (1) |
| true (5) | 20:5 | wide (1) 13:8 | 9:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:1;28:14;29:11, } 18 ; 30: 6 \\ & \text { turn (2) } \end{aligned}$ | V |  | 211 (1) 9.1 |
| 4:4;28:2 |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { widely (3) } \\ 13: 12,22,23 \end{array}$ | 25 (1) |
| two (1) | value (4) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { willing (2) } \\ & 17: 3 ; 19: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 19:9 |
| 19:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:4;24:5;25:5,16 } \\ & \text { values }(\mathbf{2}) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| typical (1) |  | Wilson (1) | 3 |
| 25:4 | $\begin{array}{r} 10: 11,14 \\ \text { various (3) } \end{array}$ | 6:15 |  |
| $\mathbf{U}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { various (3) } \\ & 9: 21 ; 10: 7 ; 24: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $28: 13 ; 30: 17$ | 30 (2) |
|  | vary (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { within (4) } \\ & 3: 15 ; 5: 14 ; 10: 20 ; 14: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 310 (1) |
| Ultimately (2) | 10:23 |  | 8:23 |
| 11:18;21:22 | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { venues (1) } \\ 12: 7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 15 ; 5: 14 ; 10: 20 ; 14: 9 \\ & \text { without (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 315 (1) |
| unable (1) |  | 22:12 | 11:25 |
| 4:14 | versions (1)13:19 | Witnesses (2)5:9;11:9 | 320 (2) |
| unaware (1) |  |  | 8:12,18 |
| 8:2 | versus (3) | 5:9;11:9 word (1) |  |
| uncommitted (14) | via (4) | 25:13 | 4 |
| 5:6,14;6:25;8:4,9;9:3,19; |  | words (1) |  |
| 15:2,4;27:13;28:16;30:7,10,13 | 3:23;5:22;7:2;20:14 | 17:18 | 4 (1) |
| uncompensated (1) | view (1) | worth (1) | 3:11 |
| 29:11 | 28:10 | $18: 12$ | 420 (1) |
| unconstitutional (1) | viewed (1) | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { written }(3) \\ 3: 20 ; 11: 10 ; 16: 3 \end{array}$ | 8:23 |
| $22: 6$ | $15: 17$ |  | 47-320 (2) |
| under (10) | virtual (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 3: 20 ; 11: 10 ; 16: 3 \\ & \text { wrote (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 3:9;8:12 |



