## **Post Drilling/Annual Well Site Inspection Form**

Section 1: General Information					
Operation Data	Inspection Data				
Operator Name	Inspector Name				
Snake River Oil + Gas, LLC	James Thum				
Well Name	Area Office				
ML Investments #2-3, USWN 11-075-20029 Authorized Contact: Dan Johanek (208)707-7867	Boise / Director's Inspection Date				
112 N. Plymouth, New Plymouth ID; Tyler Hartung (208)	9/29/2022 10:35 AM				
412-5475	<i>7/27/2022</i> 10.3371141				
County	Report Date				
Payette	10/3/2022				
Inspector's Signature: /signed/ James Thum	Inspection Summary:				
	Operation appeared to be in compliance at the time of the inspection.				
	Issues of concern identified at the time of the				
Date of Signature: 10/3/2022	inspection.				
<b>Location Description:</b> 3698 feet NNW from Little Willow	Gathering Facility, 4649 Little Willow Road. Google Maps				
location Latitude 44.060772, Longitude -116.807007. Mike Shafer, LW contact through T. Hartung. Well currently S/I					
Weather: Sunny, hazy smoke 65 degrees F. SE wind 5-7 m	ph				
Scope of Inspection (check all that apply and, or, were veri	fied during the inspection):				
	Vellhead 🖂 Meters 🖂 Other: Separator unit				
If well site, is the well a multiple zone completion?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No				
Section 2: Pits	IDAPA 20.07.02.230				
1. Are pits located on site?	🗌 Yes 🖾 No				
A. If yes;					
i. Permitted as:	Short-term pit 🗌 Long term pit				
ii. Use Corresponding Pit Inspection Form and					
ii. Ose corresponding i it inspection i orm and	attach with this hispection.				
Section 3: Identification of Wells	IDAPA 20.07.02.300				
1. Is a lease access road sign visible where the principa	al lease road enters the lease? Xes 🗌 No				
A. If yes;					
i. Does the sign show:					
a. The name of the lease?	🖂 Yes 🥅 No				
b. The name of the owner or operator?	Yes No				
c. The Section, Township and Range?	Yes 🗌 No				
2. Is a legible well site sign visible near the well?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No				
A. If yes;					
i. Does the well site sign identify the;					
a Operator?	$\bigvee$ Vec $\mid$ $\neg$ No				
a. Operator?	$\bigvee$ Yes $\Box$ No				
<ul><li>a. Operator?</li><li>b. Permit number?</li><li>c. Well name?</li></ul>	⊠ Yes □ No ⊠ Yes □ No ⊠ Yes □ No				

	d.	Emergency telephone number?	Xes No
3.	For multiple	e completions, is there a sign for each well head connection?	🛛 N/A 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
a			
Section 20.07.(		n Operations	IDAPA
1.	Is the well s	ite fenced? A if the well has not been completed and fencing is not erected)	N/A Yes No
	i. Was th	e fence installed within 60 days of completing the facility?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	ii. Does tl	he fence appear to:	
	a.	Maintain safe working conditions?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	b.	Secure the well site?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	с.	Prevent access by wildlife and livestock? See comment section	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
2.	Is there less	than 5% vegetation on site? See comment section	🗌 Yes 🖂 No
3.	Has it been A. If No;	more than six months since the removal of the drilling rig?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
		chemicals stored and maintained in accordance with all licable MSDS requirements?	N/A Yes No
	ii. Are	all materials related to operations palletized?	N/A Yes No
	iii. Do	all vehicles or materials on the site appear to be in use?	N/A Yes No
	iv. Is the	ne site free from all trash, debris, or scrap metal on site?	🗌 Yes 🗌 No
		If no, is all trash, debris and scrap metal pending removal kept n a wind proof container and appear emptied regularly?	🔀 N/A 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
		If trash or debris constitutes a fire hazard, is it removed to at least 00 feet from the facility, tanks or separators?	🛛 N/A 🗌 Yes 🗌 No
	1	Are all debris and waste materials including, but not limited to, concrete, sack bentonite and other drilling mud additives, sand, plastic, pipe, and cable associated with the drilling and completion operations removed and disposed of properly?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
		Are all disturbed areas affected by drilling or subsequent operations, except areas reasonably needed for production operations or subsequent drilling operations within twelve months, reclaimed and revegetated to approximately the pre-drilling condition (in accordance with IDAPA 20.07.02.510.04-07 or to the condition specified in an agreement with the surface owner.	🔀 Yes 🗌 No
Section		-	IDAPA
Section 20.07.0	n 5: Acciden )2.302		
1.	Is the emerg	gency response plan available for use or inspection?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	A. If yes, d	loes the operation appear to be consistent with the response plan?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No

	2.	Is the location free of evidence of recent fires?	Yes No
		A. If no, have they been properly reported?	$\square$ N/A $\square$ Yes $\square$ No
		Ask for a spill prevention and countermeasures plan (SPCC can be located in company office). Are they aware of it?	🏹 Yes 🗌 No
	U	Si ee ean de located in company office). Are they aware of it?	
Soct	ion	1 6: Chokes	IDAPA
		2.312	IDAIA
	1.	Are all flowing wells equipped with adequate chokes to properly control flow?	🗌 N/A 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
		7: Measurement of Gas	IDAPA
		2.402	
		Is the site a natural gas well?	Yes No
		A. If yes, is there a standard industry meter approved by the American Gas Associat and capable of recording accurately the volume of natural gas produced at each v	
		B. If no, is there another methodology being utilized that has been approved by	
		the Department?	N/A Yes No
		a. If yes, describe:	
2	2.	Separator location and Meter System Location:	
		Well Site Little Willow Gathering Facility Other:	
		8: Meters	IDAPA
	7.02	2.410	IDAPA
20.0	7.02	8: Meters	IDAPA
20.0	7.02	2.410	IDAPA
20.0	7.02	8: Meters 2.410 Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:	IDAPA
20.0	<b>7.0</b> 2 1.	<ul> <li>8: Meters</li> <li>2.410</li> <li>Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:</li> <li>Coriolis Measuring System for Liquids <a>Orifice Measuring System for Gas</a></li> </ul>	IDAPA
20.0	<b>7.0</b> 2 1. 2.	8: Meters         2.410         Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:         Image: Coriolis Measuring System for Liquids Image: Orifice Measuring System for Gas         Image: Other:	
20.0	<b>7.0</b> 2 1. 2. 3.	8: Meters         2.410         Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:         Image: Coriolis Measuring System for Liquids         Image: Other:         Image: Other:         Image: Are meter fittings of adequate size to measure gas efficiently?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
20.0	<b>7.0</b> 2 1. 2. 3. 4.	8: Meters         2.410         Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:            \[             Coriolis Measuring System for Liquids	⊠ Yes □ No □ Yes ⊠ No
20.0	7.02 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	8: Meters         2.410         Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:            \[             Coriolis Measuring System for Liquids	<ul> <li>∑ Yes □ No</li> <li>□ Yes ⊠ No</li> <li>⊠ Yes □ No</li> <li>□ N/A ⊠ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
20.0	7.02 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	8: Meters         2.410         Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:            \[         \] Coriolis Measuring System for Liquids         \[         \] Orifice Measuring System for Gas         \[         \] Other:          Are meter fittings of adequate size to measure gas efficiently?         Are meters accessible and viewable?         Are valves installed so pressures can be readily obtained on both casing and tubing?	<ul> <li>∑ Yes □ No</li> <li>□ Yes ⊠ No</li> <li>∑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
20.0 Sect 20.0	7.02 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. <b>ion</b> 7.02	8: Meters         2.410         Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:         □ Coriolis Measuring System for Liquids □ Orifice Measuring System for Gas         □ Other:         Are meter fittings of adequate size to measure gas efficiently?         Are meters accessible and viewable?         Are valves installed so pressures can be readily obtained on both casing and tubing?         Are yearly meter calibration records available for inspection?         9: Tank Batteries	<ul> <li>∑ Yes □ No</li> <li>□ Yes ⊠ No</li> <li>⊠ Yes □ No</li> <li>□ N/A ⊠ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
20.0 Sect 20.0	7.02 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. <b>ion</b> 7.02	8: Meters         2.410         Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:            \[         \] Coriolis Measuring System for Liquids         \[         \] Orifice Measuring System for Gas         \[         \] Other:         \[         \] Are meter fittings of adequate size to measure gas efficiently?         Are meters accessible and viewable?         Are valves installed so pressures can be readily obtained on both casing and tubing?         Are yearly meter calibration records available for inspection?          9: Tank Batteries         2.420	<ul> <li>Yes □ No</li> <li>Yes ⊠ No</li> <li>Yes □ No</li> <li>Yes □ No</li> <li>N/A ☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
20.0 Sect 20.0	7.02 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. <b>ion</b> 7.02	8: Meters         2.410         Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:            \[         \[             Coriolis Measuring System for Liquids         \[             Orifice Measuring System for Gas         \[             Other:         Are meter fittings of adequate size to measure gas efficiently?         Are meters accessible and viewable?         Are valves installed so pressures can be readily obtained on both casing and tubing?         Are yearly meter calibration records available for inspection?          9: Tank Batteries         2.420         Are there tank batteries located on site?	<ul> <li>Yes □ No</li> <li>Yes ⊠ No</li> <li>Yes □ No</li> <li>Yes □ No</li> <li>N/A ☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul>
20.0 Sect 20.0	7.02 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. <b>ion</b> 7.02	8: Meters         2.410         Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:	<ul> <li>Yes □ No</li> <li>Yes ⊠ No</li> <li>Yes □ No</li> <li>Yes □ No</li> <li>N/A ☑ Yes □ No</li> <li>IDAPA</li> <li>Yes ☑ No</li> </ul>
20.0 Sect 20.0	7.02 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. <b>ion</b> 7.02	8: Meters         2.410         Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:            Coriolis Measuring System for Liquids             Other:	<ul> <li>∑ Yes □ No</li> <li>□ Yes □ No</li> <li>□ Yes □ No</li> <li>□ N/A □ Yes □ No</li> </ul> IDAPA IVes □ No □ Yes □ No
20.0 Sect 20.0	7.02 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. <b>ion</b> 7.02	8: Meters         2.410         Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:	<ul> <li>∑ Yes □ No</li> <li>□ Yes ⊠ No</li> <li>○ Yes □ No</li> <li>○ Yes □ No</li> <li>□ N/A ☑ Yes □ No</li> </ul> IDAPA IVes □ No □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No
20.0 Sect 20.0	7.02 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. <b>ion</b> 7.02	8: Meters         2.410         Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:            \[             Coriolis Measuring System for Liquids	○       Yes       No
20.0 Sect 20.0	7.02 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. <b>ion</b> 7.02	8: Meters         2.410         Type of Hydrocarbon Measuring Systems:            Coriolis Measuring System for Liquids             Other:	○       Yes       ○       No         ○       Yes       ○       No         ○       Yes       ○       No         ○       N/A       Yes       ○       No         □       N/A       Yes       ○       No         □       Yes       ○       No

C.	Are	all	tanks containing produced fluids or crude oil surrounded by tank dikes?	Yes No
D.	Are	all	tanks equipped to receive produced fluids surrounded by tank dikes?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	i.		If yes; Separator unit surrounded by con	tainment dike
	;	a.	Do the dikes have a capacity of at least 1 ½ times the volume of the largest tank?	Yes 🗌 No
	1	b.	Is all piping and manmade improvements that perforate the dike wall or tank battery floor sealed to a minimum radius of 12" from outside edge of the piping or improvement?	🔀 Yes 🗌 No
		c.	Are valves and quick-connect couplers at least 18" from inside wall of tank dike?	🛛 Yes 🗌 No
		d.	Is vegetation on top and outside surface properly maintained?	🗌 Yes 🛛 No
			Is a ladder or other permanent device installed over the tank dike to access the containment reservoir?	🖂 Yes 🗌 No
			Is containment reservoir free of vegetation, storm water, produced fluids, other oil and gas field related debris, trash or flammable material?	🗌 Yes 🔀 No
E.	Do d	lra	in lines have a valve installed, closed and capped off if not in use?	Yes No

## Section 10: Inspection Comments

**Comments and Issues of Concern:** Two entrance gates along southeast pad perimeter; southern gate was open on arrival. Well pad and separator dike show evidence of livestock encroachment; separator dike is disturbed by livestock footprints and numerous locations. Separator unit appears to be excavated at various places by animal burrowing activity.

Vegetation on well pad >10%, most prominent in area of well head, path from well head to separator unit, on and within the separator dike containment.

Well is currently producing but shut in on day of inspection; last reported production July 2022 (current). Scavenger unit with MSDS documentation has been removed (likely moved to a Harmon well site).

Surface casing: NA (gauge removed) Production casing: NA Tubing string: 522.9 psi (digital reading)

## Section 11: Attachments

List any and all attachments including photos, samples, documents, etc:

Well head looking NNE, inner safety fence, scavenger unit base. Separator for ML Investments 1-3 background right.



Well head right foreground, separator unit and SCADA transmitter left backgournd



Separator unit and tank dike looking south. Note footprints of livestock traffic on and within containment dike.



