### BEFORE THE IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF LANDS

In the Matter of the Application ) of Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC ) Docket No. to Integrate the Spacing Unit ) CC-2021-0GR-01-001 Consisting of the SE 1/4 of ) Section 10, the SW 1/4 of Section ) 11, NW 1/4 of Section 14, and the ) NE 1/4 of Section 15, Township 8 ) North, Range 5 West, Boise ) Meridian, Payette County, Idaho. ) ) Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC, ) ) Applicant. )

## BEFORE

HEARING OFFICER: MICK THOMAS

Date: August 12, 2021, 9:14 a.m.

Location: Fruitland City Hall

200 S. Whitley Drive

Fruitland, Idaho

**REPORTED BY:** 

COLLEEN P. DOHERTY, CSR 345

Notary Public

25

# In the Matter of the Application of Snake River Oil and Gas LLC to Integrate the Spacing Unit...

1	MICK THOMAS HEARING OFFICER	1	INDEX.	_
2		2	TESTIMONY OF RICHARD BROWN	PAGE
3	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	3	Direct Examination by Mr. Christian	12
4	For Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC:	4	Cross-Examination by Mr. Piotrowski	26
5	SMITH & MALEK	5	Cross-Examination by Ms. Vega	40
6	BY MR. MICHAEL R. CHRISTIAN	6	Redirect Examination by Mr. Christian	46
7	101 South Capitol Boulevard, Suite 930	7	Examination by The Hearing Officer	48
8	Boise, Idaho 83702	8	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Christian	55
9	mike@smithmalek.com	9	Recross-Examination by Mr. Piotrowski	56
10	For the Idaho Department of Lands:	10	Recross-Examination by Ms. Vega	57
11	OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL	11	TESTIMONY OF WADE MOORE, III	
12	BY MS. JOY VEGA	12	Direct Examination by Mr. Christian	59
13	Post Office Box 83720	13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Piotrowski	67
14	Boise, Idaho 83720-0010	14	Examination by The Hearing Officer	69
15	joy.vega@ag.idaho.gov - And -	15		
16	OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL	16	EXHIBITS	
17	BY MS. KRISTINA FUGATE	17	DESCRIPTION PREMARKED ADM	ITTED
18	Post Office Box 83720	18	Exhibit SR 1 ***	75
19	Boise, Idaho 83720-0010	19	Exhibit IDL 1 ***	74
20	kristina.fugate@ag.idaho.gov	20		
21		21		
22		22		
23		23		
24		24		
25		25		
	Page 3		F	Page 5
1	Page 3 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued):			Page 5
1	Page 3 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued):	1	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo	•
	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued):	2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording.	•
2		2 3	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started.	ou can
2 3	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA:	2 3 4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor	ou can
2 3 4	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC	2 3 4 5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I	vu can re we
2 3 4 5	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely)	2 3 4 5 6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoon	vu can re we n, or
2 3 4 5 6	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoon computer right now, so that their audio does not come	ou can re we n, or e
2 3 4 5 6 7	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoon computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a question	ou can re we n, or e
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoon computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a question Thanks a ton, I really appreciate that. We had some	re we n, or e on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoon computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a question	n, or e on. ne of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoom computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a question Thanks a ton, I really appreciate that. We had some delays this morning, because we wanted to change some	re we n, or e on. ne of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoon computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a question Thanks a ton, I really appreciate that. We had some delays this morning, because we wanted to change som the audio around because we had to change some of the	re we n, or e on. ne of the I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoon computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a question Thanks a ton, I really appreciate that. We had some delays this morning, because we wanted to change some the audio around because we had to change some of t audio around to make sure we got a good record, and	re we n, or e on. ne of the I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoom computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a question Thanks a ton, I really appreciate that. We had some delays this morning, because we wanted to change some the audio around because we had to change some of t audio around to make sure we got a good record, and think that's been resolved. I don't think anyone on the outside will be affected by the decisions we made. First, we are now on the record for Docket No.	n, or e on. ne of the I e
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoon computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a question Thanks a ton, I really appreciate that. We had some delays this morning, because we wanted to change some the audio around because we had to change some of t audio around to make sure we got a good record, and think that's been resolved. I don't think anyone on the outside will be affected by the decisions we made. First, we are now on the record for Docket No. CC-2021-OGR-01-001. It's now 9:20, 9:21, Thursda	re we n, or e on. ne of the I e
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoon computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a questic Thanks a ton, I really appreciate that. We had some delays this morning, because we wanted to change some the audio around because we had to change some of t audio around to make sure we got a good record, and think that's been resolved. I don't think anyone on the outside will be affected by the decisions we made. First, we are now on the record for Docket No. CC-2021-OGR-01-001. It's now 9:20, 9:21, Thursda August 12th of 2021. We are at the Fruitland City Ha	re we n, or e on. ne of the I e
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoon computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a questic Thanks a ton, I really appreciate that. We had some delays this morning, because we wanted to change som the audio around because we had to change some of t audio around to make sure we got a good record, and think that's been resolved. I don't think anyone on the outside will be affected by the decisions we made. First, we are now on the record for Docket No. CC-2021-OGR-01-001. It's now 9:20, 9:21, Thursda August 12th of 2021. We are at the Fruitland City Ha in Fruitland, Idaho. This is approximately the time set	re we n, or e on. ne of the I e
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoon computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a questio Thanks a ton, I really appreciate that. We had some delays this morning, because we wanted to change some the audio around because we had to change some of t audio around to make sure we got a good record, and think that's been resolved. I don't think anyone on the outside will be affected by the decisions we made. First, we are now on the record for Docket No. CC-2021-OGR-01-001. It's now 9:20, 9:21, Thursda August 12th of 2021. We are at the Fruitland City Ha in Fruitland, Idaho. This is approximately the time sa for the evidentiary hearing as provided in Idaho Code	re we n, or e on. ne of the I e
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoon computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a questic Thanks a ton, I really appreciate that. We had some delays this morning, because we wanted to change som the audio around because we had to change some of t audio around to make sure we got a good record, and think that's been resolved. I don't think anyone on the outside will be affected by the decisions we made. First, we are now on the record for Docket No. CC-2021-OGR-01-001. It's now 9:20, 9:21, Thursda August 12th of 2021. We are at the Fruitland City Ha in Fruitland, Idaho. This is approximately the time se for the evidentiary hearing as provided in Idaho Code 47-328(3) for the spacing unit consisting of the	n, or e on. ne of the I e y, all et
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoon computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a questic Thanks a ton, I really appreciate that. We had some delays this morning, because we wanted to change some the audio around because we had to change some of t audio around to make sure we got a good record, and think that's been resolved. I don't think anyone on the outside will be affected by the decisions we made. First, we are now on the record for Docket No. CC-2021-OGR-01-001. It's now 9:20, 9:21, Thursda August 12th of 2021. We are at the Fruitland City Ha in Fruitland, Idaho. This is approximately the time se for the evidentiary hearing as provided in Idaho Code 47-328(3) for the spacing unit consisting of the Southeast quarter of Section 10, Southwest quarter of	n, or e on. ne of the I e y, all et
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoom computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a questic Thanks a ton, I really appreciate that. We had some delays this morning, because we wanted to change som the audio around because we had to change some of t audio around to make sure we got a good record, and think that's been resolved. I don't think anyone on the outside will be affected by the decisions we made. First, we are now on the record for Docket No. CC-2021-OGR-01-001. It's now 9:20, 9:21, Thursda August 12th of 2021. We are at the Fruitland City Ha in Fruitland, Idaho. This is approximately the time se for the evidentiary hearing as provided in Idaho Code 47-328(3) for the spacing unit consisting of the Southeast quarter of Section 10, Southwest quarter of Section 11, Northwest quarter of Section 14, and the	re we n, or e on. ne of the I e all et e
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (Continued): For Non-Consenting Owners and CAIA: PIOTROWSKI DURAND, PLLC BY MR. JAMES M. PIOTROWSKI (Present Remotely) 1020 West Main Street, Suite 440 Boise, Idaho 83702	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good morning. Yo start the recording. MR. GUZZO: The recording been started. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Befor get into this too far. There is some housekeeping. I would like for everyone to mute their phone, or Zoon computer right now, so that their audio does not come over unless you are speaking or being asked a questic Thanks a ton, I really appreciate that. We had some delays this morning, because we wanted to change some the audio around because we had to change some of t audio around to make sure we got a good record, and think that's been resolved. I don't think anyone on the outside will be affected by the decisions we made. First, we are now on the record for Docket No. CC-2021-OGR-01-001. It's now 9:20, 9:21, Thursda August 12th of 2021. We are at the Fruitland City Ha in Fruitland, Idaho. This is approximately the time se for the evidentiary hearing as provided in Idaho Code 47-328(3) for the spacing unit consisting of the Southeast quarter of Section 10, Southwest quarter of	re we n, or e on. ne of the I e all et e

Page 2

25 My name is Mick Thomas. I'm the division

## Evidentiary Hearing August 12, 2021

Page 4

Oil	and Gas LLC to Integrate the Spacing Unit		August 12, 2021
	Page 6		Page 8
	-		-
	administrator over minerals and navigable waters and oil		individual mineral interest owners in this case. But
	and gas, presiding over and conducting this hearing		he'll continue to speak as he always would. But I have
	today pursuant to the Idaho Code 47-328.		determined that CAIA cannot participate or object in
4	Some housekeeping, as many of you know the		that capacity.
	COVID 19 response has changed some of the typical	5	1 1 0
	aspects of a hearing of this type. This hearing is in		Snake River Oil and Gas, uncommitted owners within the
	person, with a virtual via Zoom component. We also have		unit, and then the IDL. The opening comments will be
	a call-in number that was sent out. This hearing is		limited to five minutes, afterward the hearing will
9	being recorded in Zoom as required by IDAPA 04.01.651.		follow. I will first hear evidence from the applicant,
	We also have a backup recording device for this hearing.		Snake River, and this will be followed by evidence from
	People who are in person will come to the podium and		uncommitted owners within the proposed unit. Afterward
12	speak as needed.		I will accept evidence from the Idaho Department of
13	This hearing is being recorded by a court		Lands. After the presentation of evidence is complete,
	reporter. So I ask that everyone here be sure to speak		I will allow closing arguments.
	loudly and clearly. Please limit side conversations. If you haven't done so already, please silence your cell	15	And then I will provide for recesses from time to time as needed. I have this hearing scheduled for
	phones. For those of you on Zoom, please mute your		all day today and tomorrow if we need it. If not, you
17	microphones when you are not speaking. If there is a		know, we use recesses as needed. And I will definitely
18 19	disturbance, you will be reminded to mute your		if we go into the lunch period, I will have a one hour
	microphone. If the disturbance continues, you may be		lunch recess at 12:00.
	muted or disconnected.	20	So moving into opening statements,
22	Documents in this record, Docket No.		Mr. Christian, you are at the podium. I may turn the
	CC-2021-OGR-01-001 are on the OGCC website at		monitor around a little bit to see you. But will you
	OGCC.idaho.gov/administrative/hearings. Exhibits and		please identify yourself, and who you represent, and
	witness lists were submitted by Tuesday, August 10th, at		feel free to have an opening statement.
	······································		
	Page 7		Page 9
1	-	1	
	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the	1	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator.
2	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same	2	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the
2 3	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page	2 3	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend
2 3 4	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom	2 3 4	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to
2 3 4 5	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my	2 3 4 5	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as
2 3 4 5 6	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct	2 3 4 5 6	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to
2 3 4 5 6	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on
2 3 4 5 6 7	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed. As my June 23rd, 2021 notice indicates, this hearing is to receive evidence and testimony regarding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to be determined to establish that the form of lease and
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed. As my June 23rd, 2021 notice indicates, this hearing is to receive evidence and testimony regarding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to be determined to establish that the form of lease and form of joint operating agreement submitted with the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed. As my June 23rd, 2021 notice indicates, this hearing is to receive evidence and testimony regarding Snake River's March 26, 2021 integration application. I will use the factors articulated in my orders determining just and reasonable factors to determine	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to be determined to establish that the form of lease and form of joint operating agreement submitted with the application materials contained just and reasonable terms and conditions of integration. I did at our pre-hearing conference raise the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed. As my June 23rd, 2021 notice indicates, this hearing is to receive evidence and testimony regarding Snake River's March 26, 2021 integration application. I will use the factors articulated in my orders determining just and reasonable factors to determine whether the terms of an integration order fulfill the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to be determined to establish that the form of lease and form of joint operating agreement submitted with the application materials contained just and reasonable terms and conditions of integration. I did at our pre-hearing conference raise the question as to whether the parties could stipulate to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed. As my June 23rd, 2021 notice indicates, this hearing is to receive evidence and testimony regarding Snake River's March 26, 2021 integration application. I will use the factors articulated in my orders determining just and reasonable factors to determine whether the terms of an integration order fulfill the just and reasonable requirement of Idaho Code 47-321.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to be determined to establish that the form of lease and form of joint operating agreement submitted with the application materials contained just and reasonable terms and conditions of integration. I did at our pre-hearing conference raise the question as to whether the parties could stipulate to what I called at the time, a timely integration, by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed. As my June 23rd, 2021 notice indicates, this hearing is to receive evidence and testimony regarding Snake River's March 26, 2021 integration application. I will use the factors articulated in my orders determining just and reasonable factors to determine whether the terms of an integration order fulfill the just and reasonable requirement of Idaho Code 47-321. The order determining just and reasonable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to be determined to establish that the form of lease and form of joint operating agreement submitted with the application materials contained just and reasonable terms and conditions of integration. I did at our pre-hearing conference raise the question as to whether the parties could stipulate to what I called at the time, a timely integration, by which I mean, stipulate that the applicant has
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed. As my June 23rd, 2021 notice indicates, this hearing is to receive evidence and testimony regarding Snake River's March 26, 2021 integration application. I will use the factors articulated in my orders determining just and reasonable factors to determine whether the terms of an integration order fulfill the just and reasonable requirement of Idaho Code 47-321. The order determining just and reasonable factors was mailed to the operator. And all uncommitted	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to be determined to establish that the form of lease and form of joint operating agreement submitted with the application materials contained just and reasonable terms and conditions of integration. I did at our pre-hearing conference raise the question as to whether the parties could stipulate to what I called at the time, a timely integration, by which I mean, stipulate that the applicant has established the factors necessary to obtain an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed. As my June 23rd, 2021 notice indicates, this hearing is to receive evidence and testimony regarding Snake River's March 26, 2021 integration application. I will use the factors articulated in my orders determining just and reasonable factors to determine whether the terms of an integration order fulfill the just and reasonable requirement of Idaho Code 47-321. The order determining just and reasonable factors was mailed to the operator. And all uncommitted owners in the spacing unit on June 21st, 2021, can also	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to be determined to establish that the form of lease and form of joint operating agreement submitted with the application materials contained just and reasonable terms and conditions of integration. I did at our pre-hearing conference raise the question as to whether the parties could stipulate to what I called at the time, a timely integration, by which I mean, stipulate that the applicant has established the factors necessary to obtain an integration order, which would be essentially the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed. As my June 23rd, 2021 notice indicates, this hearing is to receive evidence and testimony regarding Snake River's March 26, 2021 integration application. I will use the factors articulated in my orders determining just and reasonable factors to determine whether the terms of an integration order fulfill the just and reasonable requirement of Idaho Code 47-321. The order determining just and reasonable factors was mailed to the operator. And all uncommitted owners in the spacing unit on June 21st, 2021, can also be found on the Idaho Department of Lands' website.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to be determined to establish that the form of lease and form of joint operating agreement submitted with the application materials contained just and reasonable terms and conditions of integration. I did at our pre-hearing conference raise the question as to whether the parties could stipulate to what I called at the time, a timely integration, by which I mean, stipulate that the applicant has established the factors necessary to obtain an integration order, which would be essentially the sufficient percent leased in the spacing unit and a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed. As my June 23rd, 2021 notice indicates, this hearing is to receive evidence and testimony regarding Snake River's March 26, 2021 integration application. I will use the factors articulated in my orders determining just and reasonable factors to determine whether the terms of an integration order fulfill the just and reasonable requirement of Idaho Code 47-321. The order determining just and reasonable factors was mailed to the operator. And all uncommitted owners in the spacing unit on June 21st, 2021, can also be found on the Idaho Department of Lands' website. I would like to say that as of early morning,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to be determined to establish that the form of lease and form of joint operating agreement submitted with the application materials contained just and reasonable terms and conditions of integration. I did at our pre-hearing conference raise the question as to whether the parties could stipulate to what I called at the time, a timely integration, by which I mean, stipulate that the applicant has established the factors necessary to obtain an integration order, which would be essentially the sufficient percent leased in the spacing unit and a sufficient resume of efforts, and that the drill site
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed. As my June 23rd, 2021 notice indicates, this hearing is to receive evidence and testimony regarding Snake River's March 26, 2021 integration application. I will use the factors articulated in my orders determining just and reasonable factors to determine whether the terms of an integration order fulfill the just and reasonable requirement of Idaho Code 47-321. The order determining just and reasonable factors was mailed to the operator. And all uncommitted owners in the spacing unit on June 21st, 2021, can also be found on the Idaho Department of Lands' website. I would like to say that as of early morning, I did respond to the response from Mr. Piotrowski that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to be determined to establish that the form of lease and form of joint operating agreement submitted with the application materials contained just and reasonable terms and conditions of integration. I did at our pre-hearing conference raise the question as to whether the parties could stipulate to what I called at the time, a timely integration, by which I mean, stipulate that the applicant has established the factors necessary to obtain an integration order, which would be essentially the sufficient percent leased in the spacing unit and a sufficient resume of efforts, and that the drill site has been leased.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed. As my June 23rd, 2021 notice indicates, this hearing is to receive evidence and testimony regarding Snake River's March 26, 2021 integration application. I will use the factors articulated in my orders determining just and reasonable factors to determine whether the terms of an integration order fulfill the just and reasonable requirement of Idaho Code 47-321. The order determining just and reasonable factors was mailed to the operator. And all uncommitted owners in the spacing unit on June 21st, 2021, can also be found on the Idaho Department of Lands' website. I would like to say that as of early morning, I did respond to the response from Mr. Piotrowski that CAIA be considered. Essentially, I denied that request	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to be determined to establish that the form of lease and form of joint operating agreement submitted with the application materials contained just and reasonable terms and conditions of integration. I did at our pre-hearing conference raise the question as to whether the parties could stipulate to what I called at the time, a timely integration, by which I mean, stipulate that the applicant has established the factors necessary to obtain an integration order, which would be essentially the sufficient percent leased in the spacing unit and a sufficient resume of efforts, and that the drill site has been leased. I would raise that again. I have a witness,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed. As my June 23rd, 2021 notice indicates, this hearing is to receive evidence and testimony regarding Snake River's March 26, 2021 integration application. I will use the factors articulated in my orders determining just and reasonable factors to determine whether the terms of an integration order fulfill the just and reasonable requirement of Idaho Code 47-321. The order determining just and reasonable factors was mailed to the operator. And all uncommitted owners in the spacing unit on June 21st, 2021, can also be found on the Idaho Department of Lands' website. I would like to say that as of early morning, I did respond to the response from Mr. Piotrowski that CAIA be considered. Essentially, I denied that request and for factors that were outlined in that, I believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to be determined to establish that the form of lease and form of joint operating agreement submitted with the application materials contained just and reasonable terms and conditions of integration. I did at our pre-hearing conference raise the question as to whether the parties could stipulate to what I called at the time, a timely integration, by which I mean, stipulate that the applicant has established the factors necessary to obtain an integration order, which would be essentially the sufficient percent leased in the spacing unit and a sufficient resume of efforts, and that the drill site has been leased. I would raise that again. I have a witness, Mr. Moore, who can speak to the resume of efforts issue
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	5:00 p.m. We can also use the exhibits posted on the OGCC website to ensure that we are looking at the same documents. Please reference the exhibit number, page number by the PDF page number. The evidence on Zoom will be displayed by Mr. Chris Gozzo, a member of my staff. The parties and witnesses should direct Mr. Gozzo to each specific exhibit page they would like displayed at the time they would like it displayed. As my June 23rd, 2021 notice indicates, this hearing is to receive evidence and testimony regarding Snake River's March 26, 2021 integration application. I will use the factors articulated in my orders determining just and reasonable factors to determine whether the terms of an integration order fulfill the just and reasonable requirement of Idaho Code 47-321. The order determining just and reasonable factors was mailed to the operator. And all uncommitted owners in the spacing unit on June 21st, 2021, can also be found on the Idaho Department of Lands' website. I would like to say that as of early morning, I did respond to the response from Mr. Piotrowski that CAIA be considered. Essentially, I denied that request	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm Michael Christian. I'm here representing the applicant, Snake River Oil and Gas, LLC. I don't intend to give you a lengthy opening statement, other than to say, I would submit that the materials we submitted as our application establish the elements necessary to integrate the spacing unit. And I anticipate putting on testimony mostly from Mr. Brown regarding the factors to be determined to establish that the form of lease and form of joint operating agreement submitted with the application materials contained just and reasonable terms and conditions of integration. I did at our pre-hearing conference raise the question as to whether the parties could stipulate to what I called at the time, a timely integration, by which I mean, stipulate that the applicant has established the factors necessary to obtain an integration order, which would be essentially the sufficient percent leased in the spacing unit and a sufficient resume of efforts, and that the drill site has been leased. I would raise that again. I have a witness,

	and Gas LLC to Integrate the Spacing Unit		August 12, 2021
	Page 10		Page 12
1	to the terms and conditions.	1	DIRECT EXAMINATION
2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much.		QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN:
3	Ms. Vega, do you have an opening statement?	3	Q. Richard, can you state your full name for the
4	MS. VEGA: No.	4	record, please?
	THE HEARING OFFICER: Good. Thank you very		A. Richard Wesley Brown.
5	much.	5	Q. And where do you live?
-		6	A. I live in Sun Valley, Idaho.
7	Mr. Piotrowski, do you have any opening	7	
	statements you would like to share?	8	Q. And you are a partner in Weiser-Brown Oil
9	MR. PIOTROWSKI: Excuse me. Yes, James	9	Company?
	Piotrowski here. The determination of factors in the	10	A. I am.
	case has already established that there is zero	11	Q. And is Weiser-Brown Oil Company the sole
	additional compensation to be made available to anybody	12	member of Snake River Oil and Gas?
	no matter what comes up at this hearing, no matter what	13	A. It is.
	decision is reached, no matter what might be just or	14	Q. Yeah. Okay. Tell me what your background is,
	reasonable. Obviously, there is very little to argue	15	your training and background?
	about here, except the fact that there is zero evidence	16	MR. PIOTROWSKI: I'm sorry to interrupt, but
	offered to date, and there will be zero evidence offered	17	I'm having a difficult time hearing the witness. Is
	today as the market value the of the leases that my	18	there a way to place the microphone closer to him?
	clients will be forced into. And for that reason the	19	THE WITNESS: How is that?
	integration order should be denied.	20	MR. PIOTROWSKI: Much better. Thank you.
21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Are there	21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Go ahead.
	any other uncommitted mineral interest owners who will	22	Q. (BY MR. CHRISTIAN) Richard, can you give me a
	be presenting today, who would like to make an opening	23	summary of your training and experience in the oil and
24	statement?	24	gas business?
25	Thank you all. In that case, we're going to	25	A. Yes, I have a degree in petroleum land
	Page 11		Page 13
1	Page 11 begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call	1	Page 13 management from the University of Texas, went to work
	-	1	
2	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call		management from the University of Texas, went to work
2 3 4	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the	2	management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and
2 3 4	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses.	2 3	management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.
2 3 4 5	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the	2 3 4	management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years. Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you
2 3 4 5	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth,	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li><li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li><li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li><li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li><li>A. Yes, I have.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A little faux pas. Well, at least you are very honorable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A little faux pas. Well, at least you are very honorable today. Thank you, Mr. Christian. You may proceed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What other states have you worked in?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A little faux pas. Well, at least you are very honorable today. Thank you, Mr. Christian. You may proceed. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What other states have you worked in?</li> <li>A. I've worked in Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A little faux pas. Well, at least you are very honorable today. Thank you, Mr. Christian. You may proceed. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm going to call Mr. Brown first. Mr. Moore is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What other states have you worked in?</li> <li>A. I've worked in Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Mississippi, Alabama, a little bit, a tiny bit</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A little faux pas. Well, at least you are very honorable today. Thank you, Mr. Christian. You may proceed. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm going to call Mr. Brown first. Mr. Moore is traveling, and I think is probably still in a rental car	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What other states have you worked in?</li> <li>A. I've worked in Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Mississippi, Alabama, a little bit, a tiny bit in Utah and in Idaho.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A little faux pas. Well, at least you are very honorable today. Thank you, Mr. Christian. You may proceed. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm going to call Mr. Brown first. Mr. Moore is traveling, and I think is probably still in a rental car as we speak. So I'm going to go out of order as a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What other states have you worked in?</li> <li>A. I've worked in Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Mississippi, Alabama, a little bit, a tiny bit in Utah and in Idaho.</li> <li>Q. And are you responsible for managing the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A little faux pas. Well, at least you are very honorable today. Thank you, Mr. Christian. You may proceed. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm going to call Mr. Brown first. Mr. Moore is traveling, and I think is probably still in a rental car as we speak. So I'm going to go out of order as a consequence. So I will call Mr. Richard Brown right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What other states have you worked in?</li> <li>A. I've worked in Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Mississippi, Alabama, a little bit, a tiny bit in Utah and in Idaho.</li> <li>Q. And are you responsible for managing the day-to-day operations of Snake River here in Idaho,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A little faux pas. Well, at least you are very honorable today. Thank you, Mr. Christian. You may proceed. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm going to call Mr. Brown first. Mr. Moore is traveling, and I think is probably still in a rental car as we speak. So I'm going to go out of order as a consequence. So I will call Mr. Richard Brown right now.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What other states have you worked in?</li> <li>A. I've worked in Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Mississippi, Alabama, a little bit, a tiny bit in Utah and in Idaho.</li> <li>Q. And are you responsible for managing the day-to-day operations of Snake River here in Idaho, including its leasing and permitting efforts?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A little faux pas. Well, at least you are very honorable today. Thank you, Mr. Christian. You may proceed. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm going to call Mr. Brown first. Mr. Moore is traveling, and I think is probably still in a rental car as we speak. So I'm going to go out of order as a consequence. So I will call Mr. Richard Brown right now. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What other states have you worked in?</li> <li>A. I've worked in Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Mississippi, Alabama, a little bit, a tiny bit in Utah and in Idaho.</li> <li>Q. And are you responsible for managing the day-to-day operations of Snake River here in Idaho, including its leasing and permitting efforts?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses.</li> <li>Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?</li> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony.</li> <li>THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A little faux pas. Well, at least you are very honorable today. Thank you, Mr. Christian. You may proceed.</li> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator.</li> <li>I'm going to call Mr. Brown first. Mr. Moore is traveling, and I think is probably still in a rental car as we speak. So I'm going to go out of order as a consequence. So I will call Mr. Richard Brown right now.</li> <li>THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much. RICHARD BROWN,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What other states have you worked in?</li> <li>A. I've worked in Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Mississippi, Alabama, a little bit, a tiny bit in Utah and in Idaho.</li> <li>Q. And are you responsible for managing the day-to-day operations of Snake River here in Idaho, including its leasing and permitting efforts?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar with the integration</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A little faux pas. Well, at least you are very honorable today. Thank you, Mr. Christian. You may proceed. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm going to call Mr. Brown first. Mr. Moore is traveling, and I think is probably still in a rental car as we speak. So I'm going to go out of order as a consequence. So I will call Mr. Richard Brown right now. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much. RICHARD BROWN, first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What other states have you worked in?</li> <li>A. I've worked in Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Mississippi, Alabama, a little bit, a tiny bit in Utah and in Idaho.</li> <li>Q. And are you responsible for managing the day-to-day operations of Snake River here in Idaho, including its leasing and permitting efforts?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar with the integration application filed in this matter?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A little faux pas. Well, at least you are very honorable today. Thank you, Mr. Christian. You may proceed. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm going to call Mr. Brown first. Mr. Moore is traveling, and I think is probably still in a rental car as we speak. So I'm going to go out of order as a consequence. So I will call Mr. Richard Brown right now. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much. RICHARD BROWN, first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What other states have you worked in?</li> <li>A. I've worked in Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Mississippi, Alabama, a little bit, a tiny bit in Utah and in Idaho.</li> <li>Q. And are you responsible for managing the day-to-day operations of Snake River here in Idaho, including its leasing and permitting efforts?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar with the integration application filed in this matter?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A little faux pas. Well, at least you are very honorable today. Thank you, Mr. Christian. You may proceed. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm going to call Mr. Brown first. Mr. Moore is traveling, and I think is probably still in a rental car as we speak. So I'm going to go out of order as a consequence. So I will call Mr. Richard Brown right now. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much. RICHARD BROWN, first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Mr. Christian, you may proceed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What other states have you worked in?</li> <li>A. I've worked in Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Mississippi, Alabama, a little bit, a tiny bit in Utah and in Idaho.</li> <li>Q. And are you responsible for managing the day-to-day operations of Snake River here in Idaho, including its leasing and permitting efforts?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar with the integration application filed in this matter?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. I want to direct you to what's been marked and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	begin with the applicant to provide testimony and call its first witness. I will offer the oath to the witnesses. Mr. Christian, do you swear or affirm that the testimony you will give to this hearing is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth? MR. CHRISTIAN: I do. Although I don't expect to give any testimony. THE HEARING OFFICER: That's exactly right. A little faux pas. Well, at least you are very honorable today. Thank you, Mr. Christian. You may proceed. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. I'm going to call Mr. Brown first. Mr. Moore is traveling, and I think is probably still in a rental car as we speak. So I'm going to go out of order as a consequence. So I will call Mr. Richard Brown right now. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you very much. RICHARD BROWN, first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified as follows: THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Mr. Christian, you may proceed. ///	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>management from the University of Texas, went to work immediately out of school as a petroleum landman, and have worked in that capacity for 40-plus years.</li> <li>Q. And in your capacity as a landman, have you had experience negotiating things like leases and surface use agreements with mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q. Is that true both in Idaho and in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. What other states have you worked in?</li> <li>A. I've worked in Texas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Arkansas, Mississippi, Alabama, a little bit, a tiny bit in Utah and in Idaho.</li> <li>Q. And are you responsible for managing the day-to-day operations of Snake River here in Idaho, including its leasing and permitting efforts?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are you familiar with the integration application filed in this matter?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am.</li> <li>Q. I want to direct you to what's been marked and submitted as Exhibit SR 1, which is the integration</li> </ul>

Oil a	nd Gas LLC to Integrate the Spacing Unit		August 12, 2021
	Page 14		Page 16
1	Q. Can you please look at Exhibit A to the	1	well are dealt with?
2	application for me, which is a	2	A. Correct.
3	A. The plat.	3	Q. Okay. And in this instance, the form of joint
4	Q. And I'm going to comment, there are page	4	operating agreement that has been submitted as part of
5	numbers in the lower left-hand corner of the exhibit in	5	the application is for the purpose of the event that an
6	red. So I'm looking at page No. 9.	6	integrated mineral interest owner elects to participate
7	A. And that is the plat.	7	in the well; right?
8	Q. Okay. Do you have it in front of you?	8	A. Correct.
9	A. I do.	9	Q. And they might participate on a consenting
10	Q. And does that plat depict the spacing unit,	10	basis or a nonconsenting basis?
11	which is the subject of this integration application?	11	A. Correct.
12	A. Yes, it does.	12	Q. Is the form of joint operating agreement
13	Q. And shaded in gray in the exhibit, are those	13	that's Exhibit C to the application similar to the form
14	the tracts which are currently uncommitted and are the	14	that is used as between Snake River Oil and Gas and its
15	subject of the integration application?	15	working interest partners?
16	A. Yes, they are.	16	A. Yes.
17	Q. There is, is there not, one tract in those	17	Q. And generally explain what working interest
18	that since the time of the application has been leased?	18	partners are in this case?
19	A. Correct.	19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And which owner is that?	20	Q. They are basically investors?
21	A. The Mussers in Tract No. 2.	21	A. Yes. And in this case, folks that we've dealt
22	Q. Down in the lower left-hand corner in the	22	with for many, many years.
23	northeast quarter of Section 15?	23	Q. Okay. And Snake River acts as the operator in
24	A. Correct.	24	other units where you are already in operation on behalf
25	Q. Is that right?	25	of all its working partners?
	Page 15		Page 17
1	A. Correct.	1	A. Correct.
2	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me</li></ul>	2	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations</li></ul>
2 3	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net</li></ul>	2 3	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li><li>A. Correct.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	A. Correct. Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> <li>Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> <li>A. In excess of 90 percent, yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> <li>Q. Some of those have been by the prior operator.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> <li>Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> <li>A. In excess of 90 percent, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you move to Exhibit C to the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> <li>Q. Some of those have been by the prior operator.</li> <li>And at that time Snake River was a working interest</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> <li>Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> <li>A. In excess of 90 percent, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you move to Exhibit C to the application in Exhibit SR 1, which begins at page number one second 14?</li> <li>A. JOA.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> <li>Q. Some of those have been by the prior operator.</li> <li>And at that time Snake River was a working interest partner, but was not the operator; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in any of those previous integrated</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> <li>Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> <li>A. In excess of 90 percent, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you move to Exhibit C to the application in Exhibit SR 1, which begins at page number one second 14?</li> <li>A. JOA.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Can you identify that for me?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> <li>Q. Some of those have been by the prior operator.</li> <li>And at that time Snake River was a working interest partner, but was not the operator; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> <li>Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> <li>A. In excess of 90 percent, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you move to Exhibit C to the application in Exhibit SR 1, which begins at page number one second 14?</li> <li>A. JOA.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Can you identify that for me?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's a model form operating agreement.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> <li>Q. Some of those have been by the prior operator.</li> <li>And at that time Snake River was a working interest partner, but was not the operator; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in any of those previous integrated</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> <li>Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> <li>A. In excess of 90 percent, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you move to Exhibit C to the application in Exhibit SR 1, which begins at page number one second 14?</li> <li>A. JOA.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Can you identify that for me?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's a model form operating agreement.</li> <li>Q. And describe for me generally what a joint</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> <li>Q. Some of those have been by the prior operator.</li> <li>And at that time Snake River was a working interest partner, but was not the operator; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in any of those previous integrated units has any integrated mineral owner elected to participate in the well yet?</li> <li>A. No, they have not.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> <li>Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> <li>A. In excess of 90 percent, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you move to Exhibit C to the application in Exhibit SR 1, which begins at page number one second 14?</li> <li>A. JOA.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Can you identify that for me?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's a model form operating agreement.</li> <li>Q. And describe for me generally what a joint operating agreement is and what its purpose is?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> <li>Q. Some of those have been by the prior operator.</li> <li>And at that time Snake River was a working interest partner, but was not the operator; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in any of those previous integrated units has any integrated mineral owner elected to participate in the well yet?</li> <li>A. No, they have not.</li> <li>Q. The form of joint operating agreement that is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> <li>Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> <li>A. In excess of 90 percent, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you move to Exhibit C to the application in Exhibit SR 1, which begins at page number one second 14?</li> <li>A. JOA.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Can you identify that for me?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's a model form operating agreement.</li> <li>Q. And describe for me generally what a joint operating agreement is and what its purpose is?</li> <li>A. A joint operating agreement dictates typically</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> <li>Q. Some of those have been by the prior operator.</li> <li>And at that time Snake River was a working interest partner, but was not the operator; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in any of those previous integrated units has any integrated mineral owner elected to participate in the well yet?</li> <li>A. No, they have not.</li> <li>Q. The form of joint operating agreement that is included as Exhibit C to the application is labeled as</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> <li>Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> <li>A. In excess of 90 percent, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you move to Exhibit C to the application in Exhibit SR 1, which begins at page number one second 14?</li> <li>A. JOA.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Can you identify that for me?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's a model form operating agreement.</li> <li>Q. And describe for me generally what a joint operating agreement is and what its purpose is?</li> <li>A. A joint operating agreement dictates typically for the working interest owners, the people that pay for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> <li>Q. Some of those have been by the prior operator.</li> <li>And at that time Snake River was a working interest partner, but was not the operator; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in any of those previous integrated units has any integrated mineral owner elected to participate in the well yet?</li> <li>A. No, they have not.</li> <li>Q. The form of joint operating agreement that is included as Exhibit C to the application is labeled as an A.A.P.L. Form 610, the 1989 version. Can you tell me</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> <li>Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> <li>A. In excess of 90 percent, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you move to Exhibit C to the application in Exhibit SR 1, which begins at page number one second 14?</li> <li>A. JOA.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Can you identify that for me?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's a model form operating agreement.</li> <li>Q. And describe for me generally what a joint operating agreement is and what its purpose is?</li> <li>A. A joint operating agreement dictates typically for the working interest owners, the people that pay for the well, how they interact, and how the well is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> <li>Q. Some of those have been by the prior operator.</li> <li>And at that time Snake River was a working interest partner, but was not the operator; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in any of those previous integrated units has any integrated mineral owner elected to participate in the well yet?</li> <li>A. No, they have not.</li> <li>Q. The form of joint operating agreement that is included as Exhibit C to the application is labeled as an A.A.P.L. Form 610, the 1989 version. Can you tell me what the A.A.P.L. is?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> <li>Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> <li>A. In excess of 90 percent, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you move to Exhibit C to the application in Exhibit SR 1, which begins at page number one second 14?</li> <li>A. JOA.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Can you identify that for me?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's a model form operating agreement.</li> <li>Q. And describe for me generally what a joint operating agreement is and what its purpose is?</li> <li>A. A joint operating agreement dictates typically for the working interest owners, the people that pay for the well, how they interact, and how the well is operated, accounting, multiple topics. But basically it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> <li>Q. Some of those have been by the prior operator.</li> <li>And at that time Snake River was a working interest partner, but was not the operator; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in any of those previous integrated units has any integrated mineral owner elected to participate in the well yet?</li> <li>A. No, they have not.</li> <li>Q. The form of joint operating agreement that is included as Exhibit C to the application is labeled as an A.A.P.L. Form 610, the 1989 version. Can you tell me what the A.A.P.L. is?</li> <li>A. American Association of Petroleum Landmen.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> <li>Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> <li>A. In excess of 90 percent, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you move to Exhibit C to the application in Exhibit SR 1, which begins at page number one second 14?</li> <li>A. JOA.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Can you identify that for me?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's a model form operating agreement.</li> <li>Q. And describe for me generally what a joint operating agreement is and what its purpose is?</li> <li>A. A joint operating agreement dictates typically for the working interest owners, the people that pay for the well, how they interact, and how the well is operated, accounting, multiple topics. But basically it concerns the working interest owners and how they work</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> <li>Q. Some of those have been by the prior operator.</li> <li>And at that time Snake River was a working interest partner, but was not the operator; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in any of those previous integrated units has any integrated mineral owner elected to participate in the well yet?</li> <li>A. No, they have not.</li> <li>Q. The form of joint operating agreement that is included as Exhibit C to the application is labeled as an A.A.P.L. Form 610, the 1989 version. Can you tell me what the A.A.P.L. is?</li> <li>A. American Association of Petroleum Landmen.</li> <li>Q. And is Form 610 a form that you are familiar</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> <li>Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> <li>A. In excess of 90 percent, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you move to Exhibit C to the application in Exhibit SR 1, which begins at page number one second 14?</li> <li>A. JOA.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Can you identify that for me?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's a model form operating agreement.</li> <li>Q. And describe for me generally what a joint operating agreement is and what its purpose is?</li> <li>A. A joint operating agreement dictates typically for the working interest owners, the people that pay for the well, how they interact, and how the well is operated, accounting, multiple topics. But basically it concerns the working interest owners and how they work together.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> <li>Q. Some of those have been by the prior operator.</li> <li>And at that time Snake River was a working interest partner, but was not the operator; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in any of those previous integrated units has any integrated mineral owner elected to participate in the well yet?</li> <li>A. No, they have not.</li> <li>Q. The form of joint operating agreement that is included as Exhibit C to the application is labeled as an A.A.P.L. Form 610, the 1989 version. Can you tell me what the A.A.P.L. is?</li> <li>A. American Association of Petroleum Landmen.</li> <li>Q. And is Form 610 a form that you are familiar with in your working in the oil and gas business in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you go to exhibit well, let me stop. Do you have an understanding of how many net mineral interest acres in the unit are currently under lease?</li> <li>A. 90-plus percent and that number is I'm having a senior moment here plus</li> <li>Q. But it's in excess of 90 percent?</li> <li>A. In excess of 90 percent, yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Could you move to Exhibit C to the application in Exhibit SR 1, which begins at page number one second 14?</li> <li>A. JOA.</li> <li>Q. Yes. Can you identify that for me?</li> <li>A. Yes, it's a model form operating agreement.</li> <li>Q. And describe for me generally what a joint operating agreement is and what its purpose is?</li> <li>A. A joint operating agreement dictates typically for the working interest owners, the people that pay for the well, how they interact, and how the well is operated, accounting, multiple topics. But basically it concerns the working interest owners and how they work</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. There have been about six integrations in this area so far; is that right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And each of those integration proceedings has essentially the same form of joint operating agreement been used?</li> <li>A. Yes, it has.</li> <li>Q. Some of those have been by the prior operator.</li> <li>And at that time Snake River was a working interest partner, but was not the operator; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And in any of those previous integrated units has any integrated mineral owner elected to participate in the well yet?</li> <li>A. No, they have not.</li> <li>Q. The form of joint operating agreement that is included as Exhibit C to the application is labeled as an A.A.P.L. Form 610, the 1989 version. Can you tell me what the A.A.P.L. is?</li> <li>A. American Association of Petroleum Landmen.</li> <li>Q. And is Form 610 a form that you are familiar</li> </ul>

	Page 18		Page 20
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 27	<ul> <li>Q. To your knowledge how long has this version of the Form 610 or earlier versions been in use in the industry?</li> <li>A. Throughout my career, and I think this 610 came out in the '50s, and it's been updated three or four times since.</li> <li>Q. In your experience is a version of the Form 610 used by most participants in the oil and gas industry across the country?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Does Weiser-Brown use a similar form in its operations in other states?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. Roughly speaking, and how many wells would you say that Weiser-Brown has been a party to this form in in other states?</li> <li>A. Probably at least a thousand.</li> <li>Q. To your knowledge is the Form 610 adopted by any oil and gas governing body in other states?</li> <li>A. I know the state of Arkansas uses this form, and I think other states, but not positive.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything about this unit which would lead you to conclude that using the proposed Form 610 would not be appropriate?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 5	<ul> <li>reference for the record if I get back to it. Is it page, I think, 69 of Exhibit SR 1. Is this form of lease similar to other leases that are in use in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes, it is.</li> <li>Q. And what's the bonus that's proposed to be paid for the integrated mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. \$100 an acre.</li> <li>Q. And what's the royalty that's proposed to be paid?</li> <li>A. One-eighth.</li> <li>Q. And what is the term that's proposed?</li> <li>A. It's proposed as a three-year term with a three-year renewal option.</li> <li>Q. Are those terms similar to the same terms in voluntary leases across the area?</li> <li>A. Yes, they are a little longer term with the other leases in the area. We have some with two-year and a five-year option, some with three and we have three and five.</li> <li>Q. Do you have some with a five-year term and say a two- or three-year option?</li> <li>A. Excuse me. And when I said two and five, five-year primary term with a two-year extension option,</li> </ul>
25	A. No.	25	yes.
	Page 19		Page 21
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Page 19</li> <li>Q. Generally speaking what is the reason for proposing this form of operating agreement for integrated mineral interest owners?</li> <li>A. It puts the interest owners on the same footing as the working interest partners and our partners in this area.</li> <li>Q. So your intent is that a mineral interest owner who elects can participate on the same basis as the existing working interest?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. There is in your form of joint operating agreement as between Snake River and its partners, the risk penalty is somewhat higher than in this form; isn't it?</li> <li>A. Correct. And with our internal partners, it's 500 percent, but in this form here, it's 300 percent.</li> <li>Q. And it's just as a matter of a statutory cap?</li> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Yeah. Can you go to Exhibit D to the application, please?</li> <li>A. Yes, I am there.</li> <li>Q. And is that the form of lease that's been proposed as part of the application?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Page 21</li> <li>Q. It's safe to say that the vast majority of the leases across the area, including in this unit are at that one-eighth royalty?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. In fact, is there any lease, volunteer lease in this unit that's above an eighth?</li> <li>A. No, there is not.</li> <li>Q. The lease form, and Ms. Vega was kind enough to point this out to me, and I think Mr. Thum did in a previous filing in another proceeding. The lease form itself only refers to consideration of, you know, \$10 and other sufficient consideration. That language is fairly common in leases that are recorded?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. But, in fact, the bonus that you are proposing be paid or the gap in the lease is \$100 an acre?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is that \$50 an acre consistent with the voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are versions of this form of lease used in</li> </ul>

Oil a	nd Gas LLC to Integrate the Spacing Unit		August 12, 2021
	Page 22		Page 24
1	Q. Is there kind of a nickname for this kind of	1	Q. And how long has either Snake River as the
2	lease?	2	operator or as a working interest partner under the
3	A. Producers 88 is one, there is multiple, but	3	previous operator been involved in operations in Idaho?
4	they are very, very similar.	4	A. About ten plus years.
5	Q. And how long has Producers 88s been around?	5	Q. About how many wells are producing right now?
6	A. 50-plus years.	6	A. I think four wells are online today this very
7	Q. Is this form of lease, in particular, is it	7	minute. But we've produced upwards of six or seven over
8	consistent with the forms of lease that you have used in	8	the course.
9	all the states that you've identified in your	9	Q. This may be a hard question to answer
10	experience?	10	A drilled
11	A. Very similar, and was used in the earlier	11	Q. This may be a hard question to answer off the
12	integrations.	12	top of your head. Do you have a rough idea of how many
13	Q. And is the form of lease similar in its terms	13	leases, voluntary leases have been involved in the units
14	to other leases in this unit?	14	that you have produced so far?
15	A. Yes.	15	A. 100 plus counting all the units.
16	Q. If you would look at page beginning of page	16	Q. Okay. Does this lease, this proposed form of
17	72, is that some special terms and conditions that are	17	lease affect the right of owners who choose not to
18	attached to the lease?	18	participate as an owner from exercising any private
19	A. Got it.	19	right of action against the operator for future harms?
20	Q. Does this lease have in item 1 of the special	20	A. No, it does not.
21	terms and conditions on page 72, does it have a	21	Q. A couple of small items. Going back to the
22	limitation on drilling activities?	22	lease, I think it's in Item 1 of the lease, itself.
23	A. Yes, it says, we shall not engage in drilling	23	There is a spot where there are two spots where a
24	operations on tracts five acres or less.	24	number of days is referenced. And do you see it, where
25	Q. Is that generally a term and condition that is	25	it's written out as 90, and then 120 days in
	Page 23		Page 25
1	-	1	
1 2	Page 23 included in other voluntary leases in the area? A. Yes.	1	parentheses?
	included in other voluntary leases in the area? A. Yes.		
2	included in other voluntary leases in the area?	2	parentheses? A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the
2 3	<ul><li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation</li></ul>	2 3	parentheses? A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?
2 3 4	<ul><li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li></ul>	2 3 4	parentheses? A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body? Q. I'm in the lease, itself.
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>parentheses?</li><li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li><li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li><li>A. Okay.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>parentheses?</li><li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li><li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li><li>A. Okay.</li><li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you summarize what those are?</li> <li>A. Well, for instance, protects the owner</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs, where the number is written out as 90, and then in (120)</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you summarize what those are?</li> <li>A. Well, for instance, protects the owner regarding well sites, roads, fences, pipelines, or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs, where the number is written out as 90, and then in (120) days.</li> <li>A. And mine says "120." But go ahead.</li> <li>Q. Do you see the word before the parentheses?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you summarize what those are?</li> <li>A. Well, for instance, protects the owner regarding well sites, roads, fences, pipelines, or utilities, installation production equipment type</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs, where the number is written out as 90, and then in (120) days.</li> <li>A. And mine says "120." But go ahead.</li> <li>Q. Do you see the word before the parentheses?</li> <li>A. Got it. Got it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you summarize what those are?</li> <li>A. Well, for instance, protects the owner regarding well sites, roads, fences, pipelines, or utilities, installation production equipment type batteries, protect the water. And the landowner if</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs, where the number is written out as 90, and then in (120) days.</li> <li>A. And mine says "120." But go ahead.</li> <li>Q. Do you see the word before the parentheses?</li> <li>A. Got it. Got it.</li> <li>Q. Is it the intent that the number should be 120</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you summarize what those are?</li> <li>A. Well, for instance, protects the owner regarding well sites, roads, fences, pipelines, or utilities, installation production equipment type batteries, protect the water. And the landowner if anything, it protects let me see. No. 2 is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs, where the number is written out as 90, and then in (120) days.</li> <li>A. And mine says "120." But go ahead.</li> <li>Q. Do you see the word before the parentheses?</li> <li>A. Got it. Got it.</li> <li>Q. Is it the intent that the number should be 120 days?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you summarize what those are?</li> <li>A. Well, for instance, protects the owner regarding well sites, roads, fences, pipelines, or utilities, installation production equipment type batteries, protect the water. And the landowner if anything, it protects let me see. No. 2 is protection of use of water.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs, where the number is written out as 90, and then in (120) days.</li> <li>A. And mine says "120." But go ahead.</li> <li>Q. Do you see the word before the parentheses?</li> <li>A. Got it. Got it.</li> <li>Q. Is it the intent that the number should be 120 days?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you summarize what those are?</li> <li>A. Well, for instance, protects the owner regarding well sites, roads, fences, pipelines, or utilities, installation production equipment type batteries, protect the water. And the landowner if anything, it protects let me see. No. 2 is protection of use of water.</li> <li>Q. In item 1 does it require the lessee to pay</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs, where the number is written out as 90, and then in (120) days.</li> <li>A. And mine says "120." But go ahead.</li> <li>Q. Do you see the word before the parentheses?</li> <li>A. Got it. Got it.</li> <li>Q. Is it the intent that the number should be 120 days?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And going down to I apologize. Let</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you summarize what those are?</li> <li>A. Well, for instance, protects the owner regarding well sites, roads, fences, pipelines, or utilities, installation production equipment type batteries, protect the water. And the landowner if anything, it protects let me see. No. 2 is protection of use of water.</li> <li>Q. In item 1 does it require the lessee to pay surface owner damages for any damage to various things,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs, where the number is written out as 90, and then in (120) days.</li> <li>A. And mine says "120." But go ahead.</li> <li>Q. Do you see the word before the parentheses?</li> <li>A. Got it. Got it.</li> <li>Q. Is it the intent that the number should be 120 days?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And going down to I apologize. Let me get the reference correct here. Item No. 8 on the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you summarize what those are?</li> <li>A. Well, for instance, protects the owner regarding well sites, roads, fences, pipelines, or utilities, installation production equipment type batteries, protect the water. And the landowner if anything, it protects let me see. No. 2 is protection of use of water.</li> <li>Q. In item 1 does it require the lessee to pay surface owner damages for any damage to various things, crops, buildings, livestock, those kinds of things?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs, where the number is written out as 90, and then in (120) days.</li> <li>A. And mine says "120." But go ahead.</li> <li>Q. Do you see the word before the parentheses?</li> <li>A. Got it. Got it.</li> <li>Q. Is it the intent that the number should be 120 days?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And going down to I apologize. Let me get the reference correct here. Item No. 8 on the same page. Do you see the setback language?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you summarize what those are?</li> <li>A. Well, for instance, protects the owner regarding well sites, roads, fences, pipelines, or utilities, installation production equipment type batteries, protect the water. And the landowner if anything, it protects let me see. No. 2 is protection of use of water.</li> <li>Q. In item 1 does it require the lessee to pay surface owner damages for any damage to various things, crops, buildings, livestock, those kinds of things?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs, where the number is written out as 90, and then in (120) days.</li> <li>A. And mine says "120." But go ahead.</li> <li>Q. Do you see the word before the parentheses?</li> <li>A. Got it. Got it.</li> <li>Q. Is it the intent that the number should be 120 days?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And going down to I apologize. Let me get the reference correct here. Item No. 8 on the same page. Do you see the setback language?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you summarize what those are?</li> <li>A. Well, for instance, protects the owner regarding well sites, roads, fences, pipelines, or utilities, installation production equipment type batteries, protect the water. And the landowner if anything, it protects let me see. No. 2 is protection of use of water.</li> <li>Q. In item 1 does it require the lessee to pay surface owner damages for any damage to various things, crops, buildings, livestock, those kinds of things?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. Has Snake River as the operator or as a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs, where the number is written out as 90, and then in (120) days.</li> <li>A. And mine says "120." But go ahead.</li> <li>Q. Do you see the word before the parentheses?</li> <li>A. Got it. Got it.</li> <li>Q. Is it the intent that the number should be 120 days?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And going down to I apologize. Let me get the reference correct here. Item No. 8 on the same page. Do you see the setback language?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. And it uses the term "200 feet." Was the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you summarize what those are?</li> <li>A. Well, for instance, protects the owner regarding well sites, roads, fences, pipelines, or utilities, installation production equipment type batteries, protect the water. And the landowner if anything, it protects let me see. No. 2 is protection of use of water.</li> <li>Q. In item 1 does it require the lessee to pay surface owner damages for any damage to various things, crops, buildings, livestock, those kinds of things?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. Has Snake River as the operator or as a working interest partner under the prior operator</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs, where the number is written out as 90, and then in (120) days.</li> <li>A. And mine says "120." But go ahead.</li> <li>Q. Do you see the word before the parentheses?</li> <li>A. Got it. Got it.</li> <li>Q. Is it the intent that the number should be 120 days?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And going down to I apologize. Let me get the reference correct here. Item No. 8 on the same page. Do you see the setback language?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. And it uses the term "200 feet." Was the intent that that should be "300 feet"?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you summarize what those are?</li> <li>A. Well, for instance, protects the owner regarding well sites, roads, fences, pipelines, or utilities, installation production equipment type batteries, protect the water. And the landowner if anything, it protects let me see. No. 2 is protection of use of water.</li> <li>Q. In item 1 does it require the lessee to pay surface owner damages for any damage to various things, crops, buildings, livestock, those kinds of things?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. Has Snake River as the operator or as a working interest partner under the prior operator experienced any problems with any lessors under a form</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs, where the number is written out as 90, and then in (120) days.</li> <li>A. And mine says "120." But go ahead.</li> <li>Q. Do you see the word before the parentheses?</li> <li>A. Got it. Got it.</li> <li>Q. Is it the intent that the number should be 120 days?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And going down to I apologize. Let me get the reference correct here. Item No. 8 on the same page. Do you see the setback language?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. And it uses the term "200 feet." Was the intent that that should be "300 feet"?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>included in other voluntary leases in the area?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So some leases have got a drilling limitation that you have taken voluntarily?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. The lease also in item 1 or elsewhere in Exhibit B to the lease, does it provide protections to surface owners for surface to surfaces?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you summarize what those are?</li> <li>A. Well, for instance, protects the owner regarding well sites, roads, fences, pipelines, or utilities, installation production equipment type batteries, protect the water. And the landowner if anything, it protects let me see. No. 2 is protection of use of water.</li> <li>Q. In item 1 does it require the lessee to pay surface owner damages for any damage to various things, crops, buildings, livestock, those kinds of things?</li> <li>A. Yes, it does.</li> <li>Q. Has Snake River as the operator or as a working interest partner under the prior operator</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>parentheses?</li> <li>A. Are you in the addendum or are you in the body?</li> <li>Q. I'm in the lease, itself.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. So I'm on page 69, item No. 1.</li> <li>A. Okie doke. Got it.</li> <li>Q. So there are places I see in that paragraphs, where the number is written out as 90, and then in (120) days.</li> <li>A. And mine says "120." But go ahead.</li> <li>Q. Do you see the word before the parentheses?</li> <li>A. Got it. Got it.</li> <li>Q. Is it the intent that the number should be 120 days?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And going down to I apologize. Let me get the reference correct here. Item No. 8 on the same page. Do you see the setback language?</li> <li>A. Yep.</li> <li>Q. And it uses the term "200 feet." Was the intent that that should be "300 feet"?</li> </ul>

	Page 26		Page 28
	Faye 20		rage zo
1	A. Yes, it can.	1	right?
2	MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have any other	2	A. Yes.
3	questions.	3	Q. Okay. And so this is a contract that affects
4	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,	4	the owners interest in their real property; correct?
5	Mr. Christian.	5	A. Yes.
6	In that case, Mr. Piotrowski, you can ask	6	Q. Now, and that \$100 bonus payment
7	questions of the witness at this time.	7	(Court Reporter technical difficulties.)
8	MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you.	8	THE REPORTER: Okay. You are going to have to
9	CROSS-EXAMINATION	9	start over because we've got somebody
	QUESTIONS BY MR. PIOTROWSKI:	_	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, go back
10	-	10	
11	Q. Mr. Brown, the model form operating agreement	11	into that. We've had to mute a couple of phones. And
12	am I correct in understanding that that only applies to	12	if you could go back that would be great.
13	individuals who choose to be working interest owners?	13	MR. PIOTROWSKI: Everyone is silent on my end.
14	A. Correct.	14	(Court Reporter technical difficulties.)
15	Q. And so for those who are either lessors or	15	THE HEARING OFFICER: So everyone can hear.
16	deemed leased that would not apply to their relationship	16	If you are not Mr. Piotrowski, or in this room, mute
17	with Snake River Oil and Gas?	17	your phone please so we don't wander into that again.
18	A. Yes, that is correct.	18	All right. Thank you.
19	THE REPORTER: I can't I'm having a hard	19	Mr. Piotrowski, please continue to exercise
20	time hearing you. "Apply to their relationship"	20	excellent patience in this and repeat your question.
21	MR. PIOTROWSKI: Apply to their relationship	21	Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) I think my last question,
22	with Snake River Oil and Gas?	22	Mr. Brown, was just to clarify indeed the amount of the
23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski,	23	bonus payments to be made is not referenced anywhere in
24	Mr. Brown standby just a moment. You can start that	24	the lease or the attachments; right?
25	again if you would like.	25	A. Correct.
	Page 27		Page 29
	-		
1	Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I	1	Q. Okay.
2	Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand	2	Q. Okay. A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that
	Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter	2 3	Q. Okay. A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.
2 3 4	Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed	2	<ul><li>Q. Okay.</li><li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li><li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the</li></ul>
2 3	Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil	2 3	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me</li> </ul>
2 3 4	Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Okay.</li><li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li><li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right? A. That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> <li>Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions consideration of \$10, but, in fact, that is not the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> <li>Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to understand if they become deemed lease, they will be</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> <li>Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions consideration of \$10, but, in fact, that is not the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> <li>Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to understand if they become deemed lease, they will be</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions consideration of \$10, but, in fact, that is not the consideration being paid pursuant to this lease. Why is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> <li>Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to understand if they become deemed lease, they will be subject to these same terms and conditions that the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions consideration of \$10, but, in fact, that is not the consideration being paid pursuant to this lease. Why is the amount of consideration not included in the lease?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> <li>Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to understand if they become deemed lease, they will be subject to these same terms and conditions that the voluntary lessors had agreed to; correct?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions consideration of \$10, but, in fact, that is not the consideration being paid pursuant to this lease. Why is the amount of consideration not included in the lease?</li> <li>A. That's a good question, and I'm going to defer</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> <li>Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to understand if they become deemed lease, they will be subject to these same terms and conditions that the voluntary lessors had agreed to; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct. And just for clarification, there</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions consideration of \$10, but, in fact, that is not the consideration being paid pursuant to this lease. Why is the amount of consideration not included in the lease?</li> <li>A. That's a good question, and I'm going to defer to Mike. It's been leases have been this way for, since I was in college, and it says \$10 and other</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. <ul> <li>This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> </ul> </li> <li>Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit? <ul> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to understand if they become deemed lease, they will be subject to these same terms and conditions that the voluntary lessors had agreed to; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct. And just for clarification, there was leases taken by three or four different outfits out</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions consideration of \$10, but, in fact, that is not the consideration being paid pursuant to this lease. Why is the amount of consideration not included in the lease?</li> <li>A. That's a good question, and I'm going to defer to Mike. It's been leases have been this way for, since I was in college, and it says \$10 and other valuable consideration, good and valuable consideration.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> <li>Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to understand if they become deemed lease, they will be subject to these same terms and conditions that the voluntary lessors had agreed to; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct. And just for clarification, there was leases taken by three or four different outfits out here, so the lease form, word for word, I'm going to say, they are very, very similar.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions consideration of \$10, but, in fact, that is not the consideration being paid pursuant to this lease. Why is the amount of consideration not included in the lease?</li> <li>A. That's a good question, and I'm going to defer to Mike. It's been leases have been this way for, since I was in college, and it says \$10 and other valuable consideration, good and valuable consideration. And a lawyer is going to have to answer that. But it's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to understand if they become deemed lease, they will be subject to these same terms and conditions that the voluntary lessors had agreed to; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct. And just for clarification, there was leases taken by three or four different outfits out here, so the lease form, word for word, I'm going to say, they are very, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Now, Snake River has identified the intended</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions consideration of \$10, but, in fact, that is not the consideration being paid pursuant to this lease. Why is the amount of consideration not included in the lease?</li> <li>A. That's a good question, and I'm going to defer to Mike. It's been leases have been this way for, since I was in college, and it says \$10 and other valuable consideration, good and valuable consideration. And a lawyer is going to have to answer that. But it's been that way in the business</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> <li>Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to understand if they become deemed lease, they will be subject to these same terms and conditions that the voluntary lessors had agreed to; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct. And just for clarification, there was leases taken by three or four different outfits out here, so the lease form, word for word, I'm going to say, they are very, very similar.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions consideration of \$10, but, in fact, that is not the consideration being paid pursuant to this lease. Why is the amount of consideration not included in the lease?</li> <li>A. That's a good question, and I'm going to defer to Mike. It's been leases have been this way for, since I was in college, and it says \$10 and other valuable consideration, good and valuable consideration. And a lawyer is going to have to answer that. But it's been that way in the business Q. To your knowledge</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. <ul> <li>This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> </ul> </li> <li>Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit? <ul> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to understand if they become deemed lease, they will be subject to these same terms and conditions that the voluntary lessors had agreed to; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct. And just for clarification, there was leases taken by three or four different outfits out here, so the lease form, word for word, I'm going to say, they are very, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Now, Snake River has identified the intended site of the well in this tract; is that correct?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions consideration of \$10, but, in fact, that is not the consideration being paid pursuant to this lease. Why is the amount of consideration not included in the lease?</li> <li>A. That's a good question, and I'm going to defer to Mike. It's been leases have been this way for, since I was in college, and it says \$10 and other valuable consideration, good and valuable consideration. And a lawyer is going to have to answer that. But it's been that way in the business</li> <li>Q. To your knowledge</li> <li>A for ever since I've been around. Some</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> <li>Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to understand if they become deemed lease, they will be subject to these same terms and conditions that the voluntary lessors had agreed to; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct. And just for clarification, there was leases taken by three or four different outfits out here, so the lease form, word for word, I'm going to say, they are very, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Now, Snake River has identified the intended site of the well in this tract; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are there provisions for payments above</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions consideration of \$10, but, in fact, that is not the consideration being paid pursuant to this lease. Why is the amount of consideration not included in the lease?</li> <li>A. That's a good question, and I'm going to defer to Mike. It's been leases have been this way for, since I was in college, and it says \$10 and other valuable consideration, good and valuable consideration. And a lawyer is going to have to answer that. But it's been that way in the business</li> <li>Q. To your knowledge</li> <li>A for ever since I've been around. Some leases actually go ahead.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> <li>Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to understand if they become deemed lease, they will be subject to these same terms and conditions that the voluntary lessors had agreed to; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct. And just for clarification, there was leases taken by three or four different outfits out here, so the lease form, word for word, I'm going to say, they are very, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Now, Snake River has identified the intended site of the well in this tract; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are there provisions for payments above the \$100 bonus payment and the one-eighth royalty for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, if I understand correctly well, tell me if I understand correctly, if for mineral owners who choose to enter into a lease or who through inaction become deemed lease, their relationship will be governed by the oil and gas lease and the attachments to it appearing pages 69 through 72 in Exhibit D; right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. In that lease, other than the statement, and I'm looking at page 69 here of Exhibit SR 1. There is a statement in the second paragraph that mentions consideration of \$10, but, in fact, that is not the consideration being paid pursuant to this lease. Why is the amount of consideration not included in the lease?</li> <li>A. That's a good question, and I'm going to defer to Mike. It's been leases have been this way for, since I was in college, and it says \$10 and other valuable consideration, good and valuable consideration. And a lawyer is going to have to answer that. But it's been that way in the business</li> <li>Q. To your knowledge</li> <li>A for ever since I've been around. Some</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. And just so I'm clear. That would be, that will be in the order. Okay.</li> <li>Q. In the lease there is a reference to in the first paragraph well, let me I'm sorry. Let me ask you a different question. This is a form lease, obviously. But did</li> <li>Snake River or its predecessors use this same form in entering into the voluntary leases in this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. Very if not the same, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And just so that I'm trying to understand if they become deemed lease, they will be subject to these same terms and conditions that the voluntary lessors had agreed to; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct. And just for clarification, there was leases taken by three or four different outfits out here, so the lease form, word for word, I'm going to say, they are very, very similar.</li> <li>Q. Now, Snake River has identified the intended site of the well in this tract; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And are there provisions for payments above</li> </ul>

	Page 30		Page 32
-	A We have a surface use agreement with the owner	-	O Are you aware of anything in this agreement
1	A. We have a surface use agreement with the owner	1	Q. Are you aware of anything in this agreement
2	of the property that we're going to drill on.	2	that would provide that the lessee shall pay the surface
3	Q. At present is there a way to move oil or gas from that location to some other location where it can	3	owner for damages to real property as opposed to
4	be marketed?	4	personal property?
5		5	A. I am looking and can't answer that question.
6	A. Yes, there is. There is a riser.	6	Q. Since you've got the addendum in front of you,
7	Q. What is that method?	7	if you look down to paragraph 6, please.
8	A. Pipeline, and there is a riser on the pad, or	8	A. Okay.
9	where we have the surface use agreement, there is a	9	Q. It provides for an additional three-year term
10	riser on that surface use agreement. $\Omega$	10	at \$50 per acre. Why is a three-year term worth \$100
11	Q. Explain to me what a riser is, please?	11	today but only \$50 three years from now?
12	A. It's a it just rises up out of the ground.	12	A. I can't answer that.
13	It's on the pipeline that goes to Little Willow, and the	13	Q. Would you agree with me if something that is
14	gas will go into well, the product will go into the	14	worth \$100 today, is almost certainly going to be worth
15	riser, and then the pipeline goes back under the ground	15	more than \$100 three years from now?
16	and goes over to Little Willow, and then on from there	16	A. I can't answer that.
17	to Highway 30.	17	Q. Are you familiar with the notion of monetary inflation?
18	Q. Now, in paragraph 1 of the actual numbered	18	
19	paragraph. I'm sorry. I lost my place here. Give me	19	A. Yes, I am.
20	just a moment. Well, still looking at page 69, which is	20	Q. Okay. So do you know of anything that you could have for $$100$ to day, that you would also be able to
21	the first page of the oil and gas lease. Looking at	21	could buy for \$100 today, that you would also be able to
22	paragraph 9, paragraph 9 it says that lessee shall pay	22	buy for \$50 three years from now?
23	for damages caused by its operations to growing crops on the promises. Why are groups treated concretely here	23	A. I know if we drill a dry hole out here, that it will be worth a lot less.
24	the premises. Why are crops treated separately here from other types of damage?	24 25	Q. And in which case, you won't be renewing this
25	nom other types of damage?	25	Q. And in which case, you won't be renewing this
	Page 31		Page 33
_	-	_	
1	A. I can't answer that.	1	lease, will you?
2	<ul><li>A. I can't answer that.</li><li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides</li></ul>	2	lease, will you? A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will
2 3	<ul><li>A. I can't answer that.</li><li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's</li></ul>	2 3	lease, will you? A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. I can't answer that.</li><li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>lease, will you?</li><li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will</li><li>happen in the next three years.</li><li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. I can't answer that.</li><li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>lease, will you?</li><li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will</li><li>happen in the next three years.</li><li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which</li><li>provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. I can't answer that.</li><li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li><li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum,</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>lease, will you?</li><li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will</li><li>happen in the next three years.</li><li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which</li><li>provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to</li><li>make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>A. I can't answer that.</li><li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li><li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>lease, will you?</li><li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will</li><li>happen in the next three years.</li><li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which</li><li>provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to</li><li>make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one</li><li>percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul><li>lease, will you?</li><li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li><li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul><li>lease, will you?</li><li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li><li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul><li>lease, will you?</li><li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li><li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li><li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's farming, dairy, and ranching operations. Although</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul><li>lease, will you?</li><li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li><li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li><li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume if you multiply by 12, that's 12 percent per annum.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's farming, dairy, and ranching operations. Although farming, dairy, and ranching is certainly important land</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>lease, will you?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li> <li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li> <li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume if you multiply by 12, that's 12 percent per annum.</li> <li>Q. And is 12 percent per annum a number that, you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's farming, dairy, and ranching operations. Although farming, dairy, and ranching is certainly important land use in Idaho, does that extend to the use of property</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>lease, will you?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li> <li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li> <li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume if you multiply by 12, that's 12 percent per annum.</li> <li>Q. And is 12 percent per annum a number that, you know, is based on anything?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's farming, dairy, and ranching operations. Although farming, dairy, and ranching is certainly important land use in Idaho, does that extend to the use of property for other purposes?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>lease, will you?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li> <li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li> <li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume if you multiply by 12, that's 12 percent per annum.</li> <li>Q. And is 12 percent per annum a number that, you know, is based on anything?</li> <li>A. I've seen it in other contracts and other</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's farming, dairy, and ranching operations. Although farming, dairy, and ranching is certainly important land use in Idaho, does that extend to the use of property for other purposes?</li> <li>A. Yes. And if you'll go to the bottom of 1, it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>lease, will you?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li> <li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li> <li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume if you multiply by 12, that's 12 percent per annum.</li> <li>Q. And is 12 percent per annum a number that, you know, is based on anything?</li> <li>A. I've seen it in other contracts and other industries as a punitive measure.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's farming, dairy, and ranching operations. Although farming, dairy, and ranching is certainly important land use in Idaho, does that extend to the use of property for other purposes?</li> <li>A. Yes. And if you'll go to the bottom of 1, it says, "lessee shall pay the surface owner for reasonable</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>lease, will you?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li> <li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li> <li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume if you multiply by 12, that's 12 percent per annum.</li> <li>Q. And is 12 percent per annum a number that, you know, is based on anything?</li> <li>A. I've seen it in other contracts and other industries as a punitive measure.</li> <li>Q. Looking at paragraph 9, which is still on page</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's farming, dairy, and ranching operations. Although farming, dairy, and ranching is certainly important land use in Idaho, does that extend to the use of property for other purposes?</li> <li>A. Yes. And if you'll go to the bottom of 1, it says, "lessee shall pay the surface owner for reasonable damages to growing crops, grass, buildings, livestock,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>lease, will you?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li> <li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li> <li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume if you multiply by 12, that's 12 percent per annum.</li> <li>Q. And is 12 percent per annum a number that, you know, is based on anything?</li> <li>A. I've seen it in other contracts and other industries as a punitive measure.</li> <li>Q. Looking at paragraph 9, which is still on page 72, which is an agreement that the lessee in this case,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's farming, dairy, and ranching operations. Although farming, dairy, and ranching is certainly important land use in Idaho, does that extend to the use of property for other purposes?</li> <li>A. Yes. And if you'll go to the bottom of 1, it says, "lessee shall pay the surface owner for reasonable damages to growing crops, grass, buildings, livestock, feed, fences, and other improvements and personal</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>lease, will you?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li> <li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li> <li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume if you multiply by 12, that's 12 percent per annum.</li> <li>Q. And is 12 percent per annum a number that, you know, is based on anything?</li> <li>A. I've seen it in other contracts and other industries as a punitive measure.</li> <li>Q. Looking at paragraph 9, which is still on page 72, which is an agreement that the lessee in this case, Snake River Oil and Gas, shall indemnify and defend and</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's farming, dairy, and ranching operations. Although farming, dairy, and ranching is certainly important land use in Idaho, does that extend to the use of property for other purposes?</li> <li>A. Yes. And if you'll go to the bottom of 1, it says, "lessee shall pay the surface owner for reasonable damages to growing crops, grass, buildings, livestock, feed, fences, and other improvements and personal property caused by lessee's operations.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>lease, will you?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li> <li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li> <li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume if you multiply by 12, that's 12 percent per annum.</li> <li>Q. And is 12 percent per annum a number that, you know, is based on anything?</li> <li>A. I've seen it in other contracts and other industries as a punitive measure.</li> <li>Q. Looking at paragraph 9, which is still on page 72, which is an agreement that the lessee in this case, Snake River Oil and Gas, shall indemnify and defend and hold harmless to lessors on certain types of claims.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's farming, dairy, and ranching operations. Although farming, dairy, and ranching is certainly important land use in Idaho, does that extend to the use of property for other purposes?</li> <li>A. Yes. And if you'll go to the bottom of 1, it says, "lessee shall pay the surface owner for reasonable damages to growing crops, grass, buildings, livestock, feed, fences, and other improvements and personal property caused by lessee's operations.</li> <li>Q. Does anywhere in the lease or the addendum</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>lease, will you?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li> <li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li> <li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume if you multiply by 12, that's 12 percent per annum.</li> <li>Q. And is 12 percent per annum a number that, you know, is based on anything?</li> <li>A. I've seen it in other contracts and other industries as a punitive measure.</li> <li>Q. Looking at paragraph 9, which is still on page 72, which is an agreement that the lessee in this case, Snake River Oil and Gas, shall indemnify and defend and hold harmless to lessors on certain types of claims. And it is limited to claims caused by or resulting from</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's farming, dairy, and ranching operations. Although farming, dairy, and ranching is certainly important land use in Idaho, does that extend to the use of property for other purposes?</li> <li>A. Yes. And if you'll go to the bottom of 1, it says, "lessee shall pay the surface owner for reasonable damages to growing crops, grass, buildings, livestock, feed, fences, and other improvements and personal property caused by lessee's operations.</li> <li>Q. Does anywhere in the lease or the addendum does the lessor take responsibility for losses caused to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>lease, will you?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li> <li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li> <li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume if you multiply by 12, that's 12 percent per annum.</li> <li>Q. And is 12 percent per annum a number that, you know, is based on anything?</li> <li>A. I've seen it in other contracts and other industries as a punitive measure.</li> <li>Q. Looking at paragraph 9, which is still on page 72, which is an agreement that the lessee in this case, Snake River Oil and Gas, shall indemnify and defend and hold harmless to lessors on certain types of claims.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's farming, dairy, and ranching operations. Although farming, dairy, and ranching is certainly important land use in Idaho, does that extend to the use of property for other purposes?</li> <li>A. Yes. And if you'll go to the bottom of 1, it says, "lessee shall pay the surface owner for reasonable damages to growing crops, grass, buildings, livestock, feed, fences, and other improvements and personal property caused by lessee's operations.</li> <li>Q. Does anywhere in the lease or the addendum does the lessor take responsibility for losses caused to the lessee's or does the lessee take any</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>lease, will you?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li> <li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li> <li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume if you multiply by 12, that's 12 percent per annum.</li> <li>Q. And is 12 percent per annum a number that, you know, is based on anything?</li> <li>A. I've seen it in other contracts and other industries as a punitive measure.</li> <li>Q. Looking at paragraph 9, which is still on page 72, which is an agreement that the lessee in this case, Snake River Oil and Gas, shall indemnify and defend and hold harmless to lessors on certain types of claims.</li> <li>And it is limited to claims caused by or resulting from any negligent or otherwise wrongful act or omission of the lessee. Would you agree with me that that is a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's farming, dairy, and ranching operations. Although farming, dairy, and ranching is certainly important land use in Idaho, does that extend to the use of property for other purposes?</li> <li>A. Yes. And if you'll go to the bottom of 1, it says, "lessee shall pay the surface owner for reasonable damages to growing crops, grass, buildings, livestock, feed, fences, and other improvements and personal property caused by lessee's operations.</li> <li>Q. Does anywhere in the lease or the addendum does the lessor take responsibility for losses caused to the lessor's responsibility for any losses caused to the lessor's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>lease, will you?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li> <li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li> <li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume if you multiply by 12, that's 12 percent per annum.</li> <li>Q. And is 12 percent per annum a number that, you know, is based on anything?</li> <li>A. I've seen it in other contracts and other industries as a punitive measure.</li> <li>Q. Looking at paragraph 9, which is still on page 72, which is an agreement that the lessee in this case, Snake River Oil and Gas, shall indemnify and defend and hold harmless to lessors on certain types of claims.</li> <li>And it is limited to claims caused by or resulting from any negligent or otherwise wrongful act or omission of the lessee. Would you agree with me that that is a limitation on the extent of SROG's liability?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Is there anything in this lease that provides similar protections to lessors if the lessee's operations cause damages to other aspects of their property interests?</li> <li>A. Yes, and that would be in the addendum, paragraph 1, I believe.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So if we look at paragraph 1 in the addendum it says "Lessee shall take reasonable precautions to minimize adverse impact to lessor's farming, dairy, and ranching operations. Although farming, dairy, and ranching is certainly important land use in Idaho, does that extend to the use of property for other purposes?</li> <li>A. Yes. And if you'll go to the bottom of 1, it says, "lessee shall pay the surface owner for reasonable damages to growing crops, grass, buildings, livestock, feed, fences, and other improvements and personal property caused by lessee's operations.</li> <li>Q. Does anywhere in the lease or the addendum does the lessor take responsibility for losses caused to the lessee's or does the lessee take any</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>lease, will you?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that. A lot of things will happen in the next three years.</li> <li>Q. There is a provision in paragraph 8, which provides that if the operator or the lessee fails to make royalty payments on time, there shall be a one percent penalty for each calendar month. How did you arrive at the number one percent as the penalty for late payment?</li> <li>A. I do not know. I do not know. I would assume if you multiply by 12, that's 12 percent per annum.</li> <li>Q. And is 12 percent per annum a number that, you know, is based on anything?</li> <li>A. I've seen it in other contracts and other industries as a punitive measure.</li> <li>Q. Looking at paragraph 9, which is still on page 72, which is an agreement that the lessee in this case, Snake River Oil and Gas, shall indemnify and defend and hold harmless to lessors on certain types of claims.</li> <li>And it is limited to claims caused by or resulting from any negligent or otherwise wrongful act or omission of the lessee. Would you agree with me that that is a</li> </ul>

Oil a	nd Gas LLC to Integrate the Spacing Unit	1	August 12, 202
	Page 34		Page 36
1	Q. So looking at paragraph 9.	1	in proximity to their property that Snake River Oil and
2	A. Okay.	2	Gas would not be willing to bear responsibility for?
3	Q. On page 72.	3	MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. It calls for
4	A. Yep.	4	speculation.
5	Q. And in that paragraph, it appears to me that	5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Agreed; sustained.
6	Snake River Oil and Gas, the lessee in this agreement,	6	Mr. Piotrowski
7	is indemnifying its lessors for damages caused by or	7	MR. PIOTROWSKI: What rules are we applying
8	resulting from any negligence or otherwise wrongful act.	8	here, Mr. Thomas, because I don't think
9	Would you agree with me that that would allow liability	9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, your
.0	against Snake River Oil and Gas only if its conduct was	10	line of questioning is speculative. And I would ask
1	being negligent or otherwise wrongful?	11	that you specifically ask, that you ask specific points
.2	A. I don't agree with that.	12	about the values you are wishing to discuss,
.3	Q. Okay. What do you understand would be Snake	13	specifically the exact values you are wishing to
.4	River Oil and Gas' liability then; what types of acts	14	discuss.
-5	what would it be liable for?	15	MR. PIOTROWSKI: Okay.
.6	A. For our operations.	16	Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, is it true
.7	Q. So if operations result in harm to any lessor,	17	that if oil and gas drilling results in an overall loss
.8	Snake River Oil and Gas would be liable?	18	of market value to the property owned by lessors, that
.9	A. Via our operations and related to physical	19	that is not a loss Snake River Oil and Gas is willing to
20	damages. If we break it, we fix it.	20	indemnify or hold lessors harmless for?
21	Q. Okay.	21	MR. CHRISTIAN: The same objection, and also
22	A. If we break it, we fix it, or we pay for it?	22	lack of foundation.
23	Q. Okay. So if the drilling for oil and gas that	23	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I think
24 25	is contemplated here occurs, and the fact that there is an oil well near somebody's property causes a loss in	24 25	the question was specific enough. I'll allow it. Mr. Piotrowski, if you need to repeat that,
20	an on wen near somebody's property eauses a loss in	25	with thousand the you need to repeat that,
	Page 35		Page 37
1	their property values, will Snake River Oil and Gas be	1	you may.
2	responsible for it?	2	
		2	
3	A. I think that's out of the purview here.	∠ 3	it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me
3 4	<ul><li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li><li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li></ul>		it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer?
	<ul><li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li><li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li></ul>	3	it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you
4	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> </ul>	3 4	it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in
4 5	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> </ul>	3 4 5	it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value?
4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6	it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value? THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.
4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer?</li> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value? THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 LO	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: You have to answer out loud.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer?</li> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company result in a loss in market value of the properties</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: You have to answer out loud.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer?</li> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company result in a loss in market value of the properties surrounding the well, and within the integrated spacing</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: You have to answer out loud.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) And there are</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value? THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company result in a loss in market value of the properties surrounding the well, and within the integrated spacing unit, will Snake River Oil and Gas be willing to</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: You have to answer out loud.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) And there are</li> <li>circumstances certainly imaginable in which lessors</li> </ul>	3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value? THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company result in a loss in market value of the properties surrounding the well, and within the integrated spacing unit, will Snake River Oil and Gas be willing to compensate for those losses?</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: You have to answer out loud.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) And there are</li> <li>circumstances certainly imaginable in which lessors</li> <li>might suffer losses as a result of this agreement, and</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value? THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company result in a loss in market value of the properties surrounding the well, and within the integrated spacing unit, will Snake River Oil and Gas be willing to compensate for those losses?</li> <li>A. And I don't think there is any evidence of</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 .0 .1 .2 .3 .4 .5 .6	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: You have to answer out loud.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) And there are</li> <li>circumstances certainly imaginable in which lessors</li> <li>might suffer losses as a result of this agreement, and</li> <li>you would not be willing to accept responsibility for</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value? THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company result in a loss in market value of the properties surrounding the well, and within the integrated spacing unit, will Snake River Oil and Gas be willing to compensate for those losses?</li> <li>A. And I don't think there is any evidence of diminish in property values. In fact, property values</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: You have to answer out loud.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) And there are</li> <li>circumstances certainly imaginable in which lessors</li> <li>might suffer losses as a result of this agreement, and</li> <li>you would not be willing to accept responsibility for</li> <li>those losses correct?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value? THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company result in a loss in market value of the properties surrounding the well, and within the integrated spacing unit, will Snake River Oil and Gas be willing to compensate for those losses?</li> <li>A. And I don't think there is any evidence of diminish in property values. In fact, property values have all escalated in the area.</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: You have to answer out loud.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) And there are</li> <li>circumstances certainly imaginable in which lessors</li> <li>might suffer losses as a result of this agreement, and</li> <li>you would not be willing to accept responsibility for</li> <li>those losses correct?</li> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. It calls for</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value? THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company result in a loss in market value of the properties surrounding the well, and within the integrated spacing unit, will Snake River Oil and Gas be willing to compensate for those losses?</li> <li>A. And I don't think there is any evidence of diminish in property values. In fact, property values have all escalated in the area.</li> <li>Q. That's not what I asked you, sir.</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: You have to answer out loud.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) And there are</li> <li>circumstances certainly imaginable in which lessors</li> <li>might suffer losses as a result of this agreement, and</li> <li>you would not be willing to accept responsibility for</li> <li>those losses correct?</li> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. It calls for</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value? THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company result in a loss in market value of the properties surrounding the well, and within the integrated spacing unit, will Snake River Oil and Gas be willing to compensate for those losses?</li> <li>A. And I don't think there is any evidence of diminish in property values. In fact, property values have all escalated in the area.</li> <li>Q. That's not what I asked you, sir.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: You have to answer out loud.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) And there are</li> <li>circumstances certainly imaginable in which lessors</li> <li>might suffer losses as a result of this agreement, and</li> <li>you would not be willing to accept responsibility for</li> <li>those losses correct?</li> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. It calls for</li> <li>speculation.</li> <li>THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value? THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company result in a loss in market value of the properties surrounding the well, and within the integrated spacing unit, will Snake River Oil and Gas be willing to compensate for those losses?</li> <li>A. And I don't think there is any evidence of diminish in property values. In fact, property values have all escalated in the area.</li> <li>Q. That's not what I asked you, sir.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. I said if there is a diminution, will Snake</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 12 13 4 15 16 7 8 9 10 12 13 4 15 16 7 8 9 10 12 13 4 15 16 7 8 9 10 12 13 4 15 16 7 8 9 10 12 12 15 16 17 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: You have to answer out loud.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) And there are</li> <li>circumstances certainly imaginable in which lessors</li> <li>might suffer losses as a result of this agreement, and</li> <li>you would not be willing to accept responsibility for</li> <li>those losses correct?</li> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. It calls for</li> <li>speculation.</li> <li>THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained.</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski, would you be more specific,</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value? THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company result in a loss in market value of the properties surrounding the well, and within the integrated spacing unit, will Snake River Oil and Gas be willing to compensate for those losses?</li> <li>A. And I don't think there is any evidence of diminish in property values. In fact, property values have all escalated in the area.</li> <li>Q. That's not what I asked you, sir.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. I said if there is a diminution, will Snake River Oil and Gas be responsible for it?</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 7 8 9 0 11 22 22 22 22	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: You have to answer out loud.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) And there are</li> <li>circumstances certainly imaginable in which lessors</li> <li>might suffer losses as a result of this agreement, and</li> <li>you would not be willing to accept responsibility for</li> <li>those losses correct?</li> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. It calls for</li> <li>speculation.</li> <li>THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained.</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski, would you be more specific,</li> <li>please?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value? THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company result in a loss in market value of the properties surrounding the well, and within the integrated spacing unit, will Snake River Oil and Gas be willing to compensate for those losses?</li> <li>A. And I don't think there is any evidence of diminish in property values. In fact, property values have all escalated in the area.</li> <li>Q. That's not what I asked you, sir.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. I said if there is a diminution, will Snake River Oil and Gas be responsible for it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 7 8 9 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: You have to answer out loud.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) And there are</li> <li>circumstances certainly imaginable in which lessors</li> <li>might suffer losses as a result of this agreement, and</li> <li>you would not be willing to accept responsibility for</li> <li>those losses correct?</li> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. It calls for</li> <li>speculation.</li> <li>THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained.</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski, would you be more specific,</li> <li>please?</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Okay. Mr. Brown, is it</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value? THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company result in a loss in market value of the properties surrounding the well, and within the integrated spacing unit, will Snake River Oil and Gas be willing to compensate for those losses?</li> <li>A. And I don't think there is any evidence of diminish in property values. In fact, property values have all escalated in the area.</li> <li>Q. That's not what I asked you, sir.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. I said if there is a diminution, will Snake</li> <li>River Oil and Gas be responsible for it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Brown, if you would please still in</li> </ul>
4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I think that's out of the purview here.</li> <li>Q. Are you willing to accept that liability on</li> <li>behalf of Snake River Oil and Gas?</li> <li>A. No, that's that's not our business.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So there is a limitation on the</li> <li>liability that you are willing to accept; right?</li> <li>A. (Witness nodding head.)</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry.</li> <li>THE REPORTER: You have to answer out loud.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) And there are</li> <li>circumstances certainly imaginable in which lessors</li> <li>might suffer losses as a result of this agreement, and</li> <li>you would not be willing to accept responsibility for</li> <li>those losses correct?</li> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: Objection. It calls for</li> <li>speculation.</li> <li>THE HEARING OFFICER: Sustained.</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski, would you be more specific,</li> <li>please?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>it, but I will do my best, unless Mr. Brown heard me well enough to be able to answer? MR. CHRISTIAN: The question is whether you think Snake River is responsible for diminution in value? THE WITNESS: I don't think it exists.</li> <li>Q. (BY MR. PIOTROWSKI) Mr. Brown, my question is, if oil and gas operations conducted by your company result in a loss in market value of the properties surrounding the well, and within the integrated spacing unit, will Snake River Oil and Gas be willing to compensate for those losses?</li> <li>A. And I don't think there is any evidence of diminish in property values. In fact, property values have all escalated in the area.</li> <li>Q. That's not what I asked you, sir.</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. I said if there is a diminution, will Snake River Oil and Gas be responsible for it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>

	Page 38		Page 40
1	Q. Turn back to the one which is the actual	1	Mr. Piotrowski.
2	application. And	2	Ms. Vega, you may ask questions of the witness
3	A. Where are we?	3	at this time. If you would like to walk to the podium.
4	Q. Hold on a second. I'm trying to find my	4	Mr. Christian, if you wouldn't mind just
5	place. I didn't mark it. So let me	5	stepping aside. Thank you.
6	A. Okay. SR-1, the actual lease.	6	CROSS-EXAMINATION
7	Q. No. I'm sorry. SR 1 is the whole	7	QUESTIONS BY MS. VEGA:
8	application. So turn back to page 1 of SR 1, please.	8	Q. Good morning, Mr. Brown. Joy Vega with the
9	Exhibit SR 1 is everything including the leases and the	9	office of the attorney general?
10	operating agreement. So maybe I can ask this question a	10	A. Good morning.
11	little differently since I	11	Q. Counsel for the Department of Lands in this
12	Here we go. If you would please, turn to page	12	matter. I have a couple questions just to clarify a few
13	3. And looking at paragraph 9 of page 3 is a statement	13	things about the JOA, which is Exhibit C to the
14	that says, "Rita Lockner, the owner of a one-acre tract	14	application. And then also to cover one potential
15	was paid \$250." Do you see that?	15	inconsistency in information sent out to potential
16	A. I do.	16	lessors. And if that second question is going to be
17	Q. And to your knowledge is that correct?	17	better answered by Mr. Moore, then just let me know.
18	A. I'm aware that an owner of a one-acre tract	18	So looking back to Exhibit C, which is the
19	was inadvertently, and I'll have to defer to the	19	JOA, joint operating agreement. There are skimming
20	landman. I think the landman is going to be on here in	20	through this document, there are a number of strikeouts
21	a second. But, yeah, what it says, erroneous departure	21	and additions through the whole document. Do you see
22	by a landman or broker for a one-acre purchase.	22	those?
23	Q. But the result is that the highest bonus	23	A. Yes.
24	payment made in this tract was 250 to this one	24	Q. And is it correct, we don't have in this
25	particular owner; right?	25	application form, there wasn't an actual copy of an
	·····		
	Page 39		Page 41
1	Page 39 A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional	1	Page 41 actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working
1 2		1 2	
	<ul><li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li><li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that</li></ul>		actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working
2	A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.	2	actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?
2 3	<ul><li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li><li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that</li></ul>	2 3	actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct? A. Correct.
2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li><li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li><li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li><li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li><li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li><li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li><li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li><li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li><li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li><li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in probably two or three years. The field landman, so I'm talking when I say "landman," I'm talking about our</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who prepared the strikeouts and additions?</li> <li>A. Let me Mike, can I I would have to defer</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in probably two or three years. The field landman, so I'm talking when I say "landman," I'm talking about our employee, Wade, who is going to testify next. But as to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who prepared the strikeouts and additions?</li> <li>A. Let me Mike, can I I would have to defer to either Mike or my partner. I can't answer that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in probably two or three years. The field landman, so I'm talking when I say "landman," I'm talking about our employee, Wade, who is going to testify next. But as to the gentleman who paid that 250 for that one acre, he</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who prepared the strikeouts and additions?</li> <li>A. Let me Mike, can I I would have to defer</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in probably two or three years. The field landman, so I'm talking when I say "landman," I'm talking about our employee, Wade, who is going to testify next. But as to the gentleman who paid that 250 for that one acre, he worked for the predecessor, and I'll let him speak to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who prepared the strikeouts and additions?</li> <li>A. Let me Mike, can I I would have to defer to either Mike or my partner. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Would it be your expectation that the strikeouts and additions would be materially the same as</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in probably two or three years. The field landman, so I'm talking when I say "landman," I'm talking about our employee, Wade, who is going to testify next. But as to the gentleman who paid that 250 for that one acre, he worked for the predecessor, and I'll let him speak to that. I'll let Wade speak to this.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who prepared the strikeouts and additions?</li> <li>A. Let me Mike, can I I would have to defer to either Mike or my partner. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Would it be your expectation that the strikeouts and additions would be materially the same as current signed JOAs?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in probably two or three years. The field landman, so I'm talking when I say "landman," I'm talking about our employee, Wade, who is going to testify next. But as to the gentleman who paid that 250 for that one acre, he worked for the predecessor, and I'll let him speak to that. I'll let Wade speak to this.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed the lease for that tract?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who prepared the strikeouts and additions?</li> <li>A. Let me Mike, can I I would have to defer to either Mike or my partner. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Would it be your expectation that the strikeouts and additions would be materially the same as current signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I'm almost certain that they are identical.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in probably two or three years. The field landman, so I'm talking when I say "landman," I'm talking about our employee, Wade, who is going to testify next. But as to the gentleman who paid that 250 for that one acre, he worked for the predecessor, and I'll let him speak to that. I'll let Wade speak to this.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed the lease for that tract?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who prepared the strikeouts and additions?</li> <li>A. Let me Mike, can I I would have to defer to either Mike or my partner. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Would it be your expectation that the strikeouts and additions would be materially the same as current signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I'm almost certain that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Also in Exhibit C, primarily in pages 49</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in probably two or three years. The field landman, so I'm talking when I say "landman," I'm talking about our employee, Wade, who is going to testify next. But as to the gentleman who paid that 250 for that one acre, he worked for the predecessor, and I'll let him speak to that. I'll let Wade speak to this.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed the lease for that tract?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't know if that lease was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who prepared the strikeouts and additions?</li> <li>A. Let me Mike, can I I would have to defer to either Mike or my partner. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Would it be your expectation that the strikeouts and additions would be materially the same as current signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I'm almost certain that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Also in Exhibit C, primarily in pages 49 through 55, which is actually an exhibit to the exhibit</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in probably two or three years. The field landman, so I'm talking when I say "landman," I'm talking about our employee, Wade, who is going to testify next. But as to the gentleman who paid that 250 for that one acre, he worked for the predecessor, and I'll let him speak to that. I'll let Wade speak to this.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed the lease for that tract?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't know if that lease was similar to or different from the leases that we</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who prepared the strikeouts and additions?</li> <li>A. Let me Mike, can I I would have to defer to either Mike or my partner. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Would it be your expectation that the strikeouts and additions would be materially the same as current signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I'm almost certain that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Also in Exhibit C, primarily in pages 49 through 55, which is actually an exhibit to the exhibit so it's the COPAS exhibit?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in probably two or three years. The field landman, so I'm talking when I say "landman," I'm talking about our employee, Wade, who is going to testify next. But as to the gentleman who paid that 250 for that one acre, he worked for the predecessor, and I'll let him speak to that. I'll let Wade speak to this.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed the lease for that tract?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't know if that lease was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who prepared the strikeouts and additions?</li> <li>A. Let me Mike, can I I would have to defer to either Mike or my partner. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Would it be your expectation that the strikeouts and additions would be materially the same as current signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I'm almost certain that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Also in Exhibit C, primarily in pages 49 through 55, which is actually an exhibit to the exhibit so it's the COPAS exhibit?</li> <li>A. Okay. The exhibit to the JOA?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in probably two or three years. The field landman, so I'm talking when I say "landman," I'm talking about our employee, Wade, who is going to testify next. But as to the gentleman who paid that 250 for that one acre, he worked for the predecessor, and I'll let him speak to that. I'll let Wade speak to this.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed the lease for that tract?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't know if that lease was similar to or different from the leases that we testified about earlier?</li> <li>A. As to that one acre, no.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who prepared the strikeouts and additions?</li> <li>A. Let me Mike, can I I would have to defer to either Mike or my partner. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Would it be your expectation that the strikeouts and additions would be materially the same as current signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I'm almost certain that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Also in Exhibit C, primarily in pages 49 through 55, which is actually an exhibit to the exhibit so it's the COPAS exhibit?</li> <li>A. Okay. The exhibit C to the JOA, which I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in probably two or three years. The field landman, so I'm talking when I say "landman," I'm talking about our employee, Wade, who is going to testify next. But as to the gentleman who paid that 250 for that one acre, he worked for the predecessor, and I'll let him speak to that. I'll let Wade speak to this.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed the lease for that tract?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't know if that lease was similar to or different from the leases that we testified about earlier?</li> <li>A. As to that one acre, no. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you, sir.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who prepared the strikeouts and additions?</li> <li>A. Let me Mike, can I I would have to defer to either Mike or my partner. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Would it be your expectation that the strikeouts and additions would be materially the same as current signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I'm almost certain that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Also in Exhibit C, primarily in pages 49 through 55, which is actually an exhibit to the exhibit so it's the COPAS exhibit?</li> <li>A. Okay. The exhibit to the JOA?</li> <li>Q. Correct. Exhibit C to the JOA, which I believe starts with page 49 of the Snake River's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in probably two or three years. The field landman, so I'm talking when I say "landman," I'm talking about our employee, Wade, who is going to testify next. But as to the gentleman who paid that 250 for that one acre, he worked for the predecessor, and I'll let him speak to that. I'll let Wade speak to this.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed the lease for that tract?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't know if that lease was similar to or different from the leases that we testified about earlier?</li> <li>A. As to that one acre, no. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you, sir. That's all the questions I have.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who prepared the strikeouts and additions?</li> <li>A. Let me Mike, can I I would have to defer to either Mike or my partner. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Would it be your expectation that the strikeouts and additions would be materially the same as current signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I'm almost certain that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Also in Exhibit C, primarily in pages 49 through 55, which is actually an exhibit to the exhibit so it's the COPAS exhibit?</li> <li>A. Okay. The exhibit C to the JOA, which I believe starts with page 49 of the Snake River's exhibit.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes, and I believe there was an additional consideration, which I'll let the landman speak to.</li> <li>Q. So you are not familiar with the terms of that lease or payment?</li> <li>A. Specifics as to that one acre, I'm going to have to defer to the landman.</li> <li>Q. So you don't know what happened there or how it happened?</li> <li>A. Not really those that particular landman worked for the predecessor, and hasn't been employed in probably two or three years. The field landman, so I'm talking when I say "landman," I'm talking about our employee, Wade, who is going to testify next. But as to the gentleman who paid that 250 for that one acre, he worked for the predecessor, and I'll let him speak to that. I'll let Wade speak to this.</li> <li>Q. Have you reviewed the lease for that tract?</li> <li>A. I have not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't know if that lease was similar to or different from the leases that we testified about earlier?</li> <li>A. As to that one acre, no. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Okay. Thank you, sir.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>actual signed JOA that Snake River has with a working interest owner; is that correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So have you had the opportunity to look at an actual signed enforceable JOA, and compare that with the strikeouts and additions to this Exhibit C?</li> <li>A. I have to say that I have not personally set these side by side.</li> <li>Q. Has Mr. Moore or anybody else in your employee group? Or I guess a better question is, do you know who prepared the strikeouts and additions?</li> <li>A. Let me Mike, can I I would have to defer to either Mike or my partner. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Would it be your expectation that the strikeouts and additions would be materially the same as current signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I'm almost certain that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Also in Exhibit C, primarily in pages 49 through 55, which is actually an exhibit to the exhibit so it's the COPAS exhibit?</li> <li>A. Okay. The exhibit to the JOA?</li> <li>Q. Correct. Exhibit C to the JOA, which I believe starts with page 49 of the Snake River's</li> </ul>

On a	nd Gas LLC to Integrate the Spacing Unit		August 12, 2021
	Page 42		Page 44
1	Q. So throughout these pages there are numbers	1	participating in a via an integration.
2	that are added. So, for example, on page 5 of that	2	Q. Is it your experience that residence of the
3	Exhibit C, paragraph B, it says, for example, "procedure	3	area, whether they own commercial properties or
4	can be amended by an affirmative vote of two or more	4	residential properties have, not opted into being
5	parties"	5	working interest owners through the integration process?
6	A. I need to get	6	A. That is correct.
7	Q. The operator	7	Q. One question just to clarify perhaps an
8	A. I need to get with you, so	8	inconsistency in the application. And this is the
9	Q. Here, actually.	9	question that is perhaps better for Mr. Moore, but just
10	MS. VEGA: May I approach the witness?	10	let me know. So Exhibit C no, excuse me. Exhibit F
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Please.	11	to Snake River's application it's a form cover letter to
12	Q. (BY MS. VEGA) So looking at	12	mineral owners that I presume was sent with Snake
13	A. The COPAS?	13	River's offer information for leasing. Is that well,
14	Q. Yeah, the COPAS.	14	I'll ask. Have you gotten to Exhibit F?
15	A. Okay. There we go.	15	A. Yes. Yes.
16	Q. There we go. And so throughout the COPAS,	16	Q. And are you familiar with this document?
17	there is numbers inserted. So, for example, on page 55	17	A. I am.
18	of the exhibit, there is some numbers where it says, if	18	Q. And have you seen this document prior to
19	the operator absorbs the engineering design and drafting	19	today?
20	costs related to the project, there is percentages, a	20	A. Yes.
21	five percent, three percent, two percent. Do you see	21	Q. And were you involved in kind of the drafting
22	those?	22	of the information that was presented in this cover
23	A. Uh-huh.	23	letter?
24	Q. Is it your expectation that these numbers that	24	A. I didn't draft, but I was familiar.
25	have been inserted are materially the same as existing	25	Q. Okay. And it's, in fact, presumably was
	Page 43		Dogo 45
	-		Page 45
1	signed JOAs?	1	signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?
2	signed JOAs? A. I would venture that they are identical.	1 2	signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct? A. Correct.
2 3	signed JOAs? A. I would venture that they are identical. Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you	1 2 3	<ul><li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four</li></ul>
2 3 4	signed JOAs? A. I would venture that they are identical. Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that	1 2 3 4	<ul><li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend</li></ul>
2 3	signed JOAs? A. I would venture that they are identical. Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by	1 2 3	<ul><li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	signed JOAs? A. I would venture that they are identical. Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no	1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	signed JOAs? A. I would venture that they are identical. Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	signed JOAs? A. I would venture that they are identical. Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	signed JOAs? A. I would venture that they are identical. Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony? A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to 2016, were you involved in Alta Mesa's integration and</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> <li>Q. Well, let me ask a question.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to 2016, were you involved in Alta Mesa's integration and application efforts?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> <li>Q. Well, let me ask a question.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to 2016, were you involved in Alta Mesa's integration and application efforts?</li> <li>A. I was a very involved partner. So, yeah, I</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> <li>Q. Well, let me ask a question.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So your previous testimony talking about the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to 2016, were you involved in Alta Mesa's integration and application efforts?</li> <li>A. I was a very involved partner. So, yeah, I was I was pretty knowledgeable.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> <li>Q. Well, let me ask a question.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So your previous testimony talking about the form lease.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to 2016, were you involved in Alta Mesa's integration and application efforts?</li> <li>A. I was a very involved partner. So, yeah, I was I was pretty knowledgeable.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall if during that time frame if a</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> <li>Q. Well, let me ask a question.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So your previous testimony talking about the form lease.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to 2016, were you involved in Alta Mesa's integration and application efforts?</li> <li>A. I was a very involved partner. So, yeah, I was I was pretty knowledgeable.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall if during that time frame if a company known as Trendwell actually elected to be a</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> <li>Q. Well, let me ask a question.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So your previous testimony talking about the form lease.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to 2016, were you involved in Alta Mesa's integration and application efforts?</li> <li>A. I was a very involved partner. So, yeah, I was I was pretty knowledgeable.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall if during that time frame if a company known as Trendwell actually elected to be a working interest owner in one of the integrated units?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> <li>Q. Well, let me ask a question.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So your previous testimony talking about the form lease.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And I believe it's Exhibit 8</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to 2016, were you involved in Alta Mesa's integration and application efforts?</li> <li>A. I was a very involved partner. So, yeah, I was I was pretty knowledgeable.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall if during that time frame if a company known as Trendwell actually elected to be a working interest owner in one of the integrated units?</li> <li>A. I didn't think they had. I don't remember</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> <li>Q. Well, let me ask a question.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So your previous testimony talking about the form lease.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And I believe it's Exhibit 8</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q to that form lease?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to 2016, were you involved in Alta Mesa's integration and application efforts?</li> <li>A. I was a very involved partner. So, yeah, I was I was pretty knowledgeable.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall if during that time frame if a company known as Trendwell actually elected to be a working interest owner in one of the integrated units?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> <li>Q. Well, let me ask a question.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So your previous testimony talking about the form lease.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And I believe it's Exhibit 8</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to 2016, were you involved in Alta Mesa's integration and application efforts?</li> <li>A. I was a very involved partner. So, yeah, I was I was pretty knowledgeable.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall if during that time frame if a company known as Trendwell actually elected to be a working interest owner in one of the integrated units?</li> <li>A. I didn't think they had. I don't remember which do you can you recall which unit they were</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> <li>Q. Well, let me ask a question.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So your previous testimony talking about the form lease.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And I believe it's Exhibit 8</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q to that form lease?</li> <li>A. And we've got a typo there. Go ahead.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to 2016, were you involved in Alta Mesa's integration and application efforts?</li> <li>A. I was a very involved partner. So, yeah, I was I was pretty knowledgeable.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall if during that time frame if a company known as Trendwell actually elected to be a working interest owner in one of the integrated units?</li> <li>A. I didn't think they had. I don't remember which do you can you recall which unit they were integrated in?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> <li>Q. Well, let me ask a question.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So your previous testimony talking about the form lease.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And I believe it's Exhibit 8</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q to that form lease?</li> <li>A. And we've got a typo there. Go ahead.</li> <li>Q. There is a \$50 extension payment?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to 2016, were you involved in Alta Mesa's integration and application efforts?</li> <li>A. I was a very involved partner. So, yeah, I was I was pretty knowledgeable.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall if during that time frame if a company known as Trendwell actually elected to be a working interest owner in one of the integrated units?</li> <li>A. I didn't think they had. I don't remember which do you can you recall which unit they were integrated in?</li> <li>Q. I don't know.</li> <li>A. Yeah, they drilled a well. They drilled they operated a well themselves. But I don't</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> <li>Q. Well, let me ask a question.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So your previous testimony talking about the form lease.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And I believe it's Exhibit 8</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q to that form lease?</li> <li>A. And we've got a typo there. Go ahead.</li> <li>Q. There is a \$50 extension payment?</li> <li>A. That should be 100.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to 2016, were you involved in Alta Mesa's integration and application efforts?</li> <li>A. I was a very involved partner. So, yeah, I was I was pretty knowledgeable.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall if during that time frame if a company known as Trendwell actually elected to be a working interest owner in one of the integrated units?</li> <li>A. I didn't think they had. I don't remember which do you can you recall which unit they were integrated in?</li> <li>Q. I don't know.</li> <li>A. Yeah, they drilled a well. They</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> <li>Q. Well, let me ask a question.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So your previous testimony talking about the form lease.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. And I believe it's Exhibit 8</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q to that form lease?</li> <li>A. And we've got a typo there. Go ahead.</li> <li>Q. There is a \$50 extension payment?</li> <li>A. That should be 100.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So between these two documents, the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>signed JOAs?</li> <li>A. I would venture that they are identical.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Mr. Brown, I believe you previously testified that it's your understanding that no that through prior integration procedures done by Alta Mesa, or AM Idaho, LLC, that there was no integrated owner who elected to be a working interest owner. Do you recall that testimony?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Were you in the time frame of, say, 2014 to 2016, were you involved in Alta Mesa's integration and application efforts?</li> <li>A. I was a very involved partner. So, yeah, I was I was pretty knowledgeable.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall if during that time frame if a company known as Trendwell actually elected to be a working interest owner in one of the integrated units?</li> <li>A. I didn't think they had. I don't remember which do you can you recall which unit they were integrated in?</li> <li>Q. I don't know.</li> <li>A. Yeah, they drilled a well. They drilled they operated a well themselves. But I don't</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>signed by Mr. Wade Moore; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. So in the middle of Exhibit F, there is four bullet points. And the last one says, option to extend the primary term for three years at \$100 per net mineral acre. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I was going to mention that here in a second. If we've got a typo in the body, if this needs to be amended here to say, "100," Mike, you good with me retroactively let's just</li> <li>Q. Well, let me ask a question.</li> <li>A. Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So your previous testimony talking about the form lease.</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes.</li> <li>Q to that form lease?</li> <li>A. And we've got a typo there. Go ahead.</li> <li>Q. There is a \$50 extension payment?</li> <li>A. That should be 100.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So between these two documents, the offer made in this cover letter to mineral interest</li> </ul>

UII a	nd Gas LLC to Integrate the Spacing Unit		August 12, 2021
	Page 46		Page 48
-	that should say 100 on the option to systemd		A Chad Badan Davias Divon Nathan Caldwall
1	that should say 100 on the option to extend.	1	A. Chad Rader, Royce Dixon, Nathan Caldwell.
2	MS. VEGA: Okay. I believe that is all of my	2	Q. Would either Chad or Royce been involved in
3	questions. Thank you.	3	preparing the forms and operating agreement?
4	THE WITNESS: Thank you.	4	A. Yes.
5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Vega.	5	Q. Do you recall any conversation with them along
6	If everyone will just grant me a little bit of	6	the lines of preparing a form which tract with the form
7	time as I update my notes here.	7	used by the working partners?
8	Mr. Christian, of course you can walk up.	8	A. Ask me that again.
9	Does Snake River have any redirect for this witness?	9	Q. Do you recall instructing or having
10	MR. CHRISTIAN: Yes, Mr. Administrator. Thank	10	conversations with either Chad or Royce about preparing
11	you.	11	the form of joint operating agreement for this
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Please.	12	application in a form that tracked with the one that
13	REDIRECT EXAMINATION	13	Snake River has with its interest working partners?
14	QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN:	14	A. I just don't recall.
15	Q. Mr. Piotrowski asked you about the reference	15	Q. I think that's all the questions I have.
16	to consideration at the beginning of the form of lease	16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
17	where it says \$10 and other adequate consideration. Do	17	Mr. Christian. Turn this away a little bit, so I can
18	you recall that?	18	read my notes, Mr. Brown. I have a few questions for
19	A. Yes.	19	you as well.
20	Q. Typically is the bonus check delivered to the	20	EXAMINATION
21	lessor at the time the lease is signed?	21	QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER:
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. Mr. Brown, I don't want to inundate you too
23	Q. So, in fact, there is other good and	23	much but I do have a few. The application states drill
24	sufficient consideration given to the lessor in the form	24	site is leased. First, how would you define "drill
25	of whatever the bonus is agreed upon at the time the	25	site" as it is used in Idaho Code 47-310? Is that
	Page 47		Page 49
1		1	Ũ
1	Page 47 lease is signed? A. Yes.	1	Page 49 referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?
	lease is signed? A. Yes.		referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?
2	lease is signed?	2	referring to the surface location or to the entire well
2 3	<ul><li>lease is signed?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions</li></ul>	2 3	referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore? A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore."
2 3 4	<ul><li>lease is signed?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for</li></ul>	2 3 4	referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore? A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore." I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So
2 3 4 5	<ul><li>lease is signed?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore? A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore." I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>lease is signed?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore? A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore." I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question. Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>lease is signed?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li><li>A. Yes, I do.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore? A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore." I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question. Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore? A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore." I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question. Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore? A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore." I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question. Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD? A. When I think of drill site, I think of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease in which Weiser-Brown participated and that you've</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore? A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore." I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question. Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD? A. When I think of drill site, I think of the surface location
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease in which Weiser-Brown participated and that you've participated in as a landman, where the lessee/operator</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?</li> <li>A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore."</li> <li>I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question.</li> <li>Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD?</li> <li>A. When I think of drill site, I think of the surface location</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A being covered by this surface use agreement.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease in which Weiser-Brown participated and that you've participated in as a landman, where the lessee/operator has agreed to pay a lessor's diminution in property value?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?</li> <li>A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore."</li> <li>I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question.</li> <li>Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD?</li> <li>A. When I think of drill site, I think of the surface location</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A being covered by this surface use agreement.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. The application states that the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease in which Weiser-Brown participated and that you've participated in as a landman, where the lessee/operator has agreed to pay a lessor's diminution in property value?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> <li>Q. Just the typical approach is to pay for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?</li> <li>A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore."</li> <li>I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question.</li> <li>Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD?</li> <li>A. When I think of drill site, I think of the surface location</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A being covered by this surface use agreement.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. The application states that the well will go the well, the well site is in the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease in which Weiser-Brown participated and that you've participated in as a landman, where the lessee/operator has agreed to pay a lessor's diminution in property value?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> <li>Q. Just the typical approach is to pay for damages to surface elements; right?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?</li> <li>A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore."</li> <li>I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question.</li> <li>Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD?</li> <li>A. When I think of drill site, I think of the surface location</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A being covered by this surface use agreement.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. The application states that the well will go the well, the well site is in the southwest quarter of Section 11, I think it changed from</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease in which Weiser-Brown participated and that you've participated in as a landman, where the lessee/operator has agreed to pay a lessor's diminution in property value?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> <li>Q. Just the typical approach is to pay for damages to surface elements; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?</li> <li>A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore."</li> <li>I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question.</li> <li>Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD?</li> <li>A. When I think of drill site, I think of the surface location</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A being covered by this surface use agreement.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. The application states that the well will go the well, the well site is in the southwest quarter of Section 11, I think it changed from the application that stated from the southeast quarter</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease in which Weiser-Brown participated and that you've participated in as a landman, where the lessee/operator has agreed to pay a lessor's diminution in property value?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> <li>Q. Just the typical approach is to pay for damages to surface elements; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And in this case that includes, for example,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?</li> <li>A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore."</li> <li>I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question.</li> <li>Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD?</li> <li>A. When I think of drill site, I think of the surface location</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A being covered by this surface use agreement.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. The application states that the well will go the well, the well site is in the southwest quarter of Section 11, I think it changed from the application that stated from the southeast quarter of section 10. Anyhow, do you know more specifically</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease in which Weiser-Brown participated and that you've participated in as a landman, where the lessee/operator has agreed to pay a lessor's diminution in property value?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> <li>Q. Just the typical approach is to pay for damages to surface elements; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And in this case that includes, for example, buildings, and buildings are part of the real estate;</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?</li> <li>A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore."</li> <li>I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question.</li> <li>Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD?</li> <li>A. When I think of drill site, I think of the surface location</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A being covered by this surface use agreement.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. The application states that the well will go the well, the well site is in the southwest quarter of Section 11, I think it changed from the application that stated from the southeast quarter of section 10. Anyhow, do you know more specifically what the well site is going to be?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease in which Weiser-Brown participated and that you've participated in as a landman, where the lessee/operator has agreed to pay a lessor's diminution in property value?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> <li>Q. Just the typical approach is to pay for damages to surface elements; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And in this case that includes, for example, buildings, and buildings are part of the real estate; correct?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?</li> <li>A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore." I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question.</li> <li>Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD?</li> <li>A. When I think of drill site, I think of the surface location</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A being covered by this surface use agreement.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. The application states that the well will go the well, the well site is in the southwest quarter of Section 11, I think it changed from the application that stated from the southeast quarter of section 10. Anyhow, do you know more specifically what the well site is going to be?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease in which Weiser-Brown participated and that you've participated in as a landman, where the lessee/operator has agreed to pay a lessor's diminution in property value?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> <li>Q. Just the typical approach is to pay for damages to surface elements; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And in this case that includes, for example, buildings, and buildings are part of the real estate; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?</li> <li>A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore." I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question.</li> <li>Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD?</li> <li>A. When I think of drill site, I think of the surface location</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A being covered by this surface use agreement.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. The application states that the well will go the well, the well site is in the southwest quarter of Section 11, I think it changed from the application that stated from the southeast quarter of section 10. Anyhow, do you know more specifically what the well site is going to be?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Could you share that?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease in which Weiser-Brown participated and that you've participated in as a landman, where the lessee/operator has agreed to pay a lessor's diminution in property value?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> <li>Q. Just the typical approach is to pay for damages to surface elements; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And in this case that includes, for example, buildings, and buildings are part of the real estate; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Vega asked you about the form of JOA.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?</li> <li>A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore." I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question.</li> <li>Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD?</li> <li>A. When I think of drill site, I think of the surface location</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A being covered by this surface use agreement.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. The application states that the well will go the well, the well site is in the southwest quarter of Section 11, I think it changed from the application that stated from the southeast quarter of section 10. Anyhow, do you know more specifically what the well site is going to be?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Could you share that?</li> <li>A. Yes. We negotiate a surface use agreement</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease in which Weiser-Brown participated and that you've participated in as a landman, where the lessee/operator has agreed to pay a lessor's diminution in property value?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> <li>Q. Just the typical approach is to pay for damages to surface elements; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And in this case that includes, for example, buildings, and buildings are part of the real estate; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Vega asked you about the form of JOA.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?</li> <li>A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore." I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question.</li> <li>Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD?</li> <li>A. When I think of drill site, I think of the surface location</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A being covered by this surface use agreement.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. The application states that the well will go the well, the well site is in the southwest quarter of Section 11, I think it changed from the application that stated from the southeast quarter of section 10. Anyhow, do you know more specifically what the well site is going to be?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Could you share that?</li> <li>A. Yes. We negotiate a surface use agreement with Fallon Enterprises, who is the they own about</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease in which Weiser-Brown participated and that you've participated in as a landman, where the lessee/operator has agreed to pay a lessor's diminution in property value?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> <li>Q. Just the typical approach is to pay for damages to surface elements; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And in this case that includes, for example, buildings, and buildings are part of the real estate; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Vega asked you about the form of JOA.</li> <li>Weiser-Brown has a couple of staffers in Arkansas, Chad is one, Royce is the other. Can you tell me their full</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?</li> <li>A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore." I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question.</li> <li>Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD?</li> <li>A. When I think of drill site, I think of the surface location</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A being covered by this surface use agreement.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. The application states that the well will go the well, the well site is in the southwest quarter of Section 11, I think it changed from the application that stated from the southeast quarter of section 10. Anyhow, do you know more specifically what the well site is going to be?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Could you share that?</li> <li>A. Yes. We negotiate a surface use agreement with Fallon Enterprises, who is the they own about almost a thousand acres in the area in predominance of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>lease is signed?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And Mr. Piotrowski asked you some questions about whether Snake River would be responsible for diminution in value of surrounding properties. Do you recall that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware in your 40 years of experience as a landman and otherwise in the business, of any lease in which Weiser-Brown participated and that you've participated in as a landman, where the lessee/operator has agreed to pay a lessor's diminution in property value?</li> <li>A. No, I'm not.</li> <li>Q. Just the typical approach is to pay for damages to surface elements; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And in this case that includes, for example, buildings, and buildings are part of the real estate; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Ms. Vega asked you about the form of JOA.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>referring to the surface location or to the entire well bore?</li> <li>A. Ask one more me when you say, "well bore." I think of the well bore going down into the earth. So ask your question.</li> <li>Q. So was the drill site isolated to the surface location, or is the drill site also part of the well bore to TD?</li> <li>A. When I think of drill site, I think of the surface location</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A being covered by this surface use agreement.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. The application states that the well will go the well, the well site is in the southwest quarter of Section 11, I think it changed from the application that stated from the southeast quarter of section 10. Anyhow, do you know more specifically what the well site is going to be?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Could you share that?</li> <li>A. Yes. We negotiate a surface use agreement with Fallon Enterprises, who is the they own about</li> </ul>

	in Gas LLC to integrate the Spacing Unit	1	August 12, 2021
	Page 50		Page 52
1	can't remember, surface use area agreement with them.	1	Q. Thank you. Are those on leased properties?
2	Q. Okay. As a follow up, and I don't expect you	2	A. I'm sorry?
3	to have coordinates, but maybe Wade could provide those	3	Q. Are those on leased properties?
4	or something, so we know exactly where that well is	4	A. Yes.
5	going to be?	5	Q. Okay. I'll hop down a little bit more. Do
6	A. It's in the southwest of 11, and it's just	6	you think it's appropriate for the integration order to
7	about I can't remember. Wade will know the footages	7	deem mineral owners leased under every term and
8	north of Killebrew Road.	8	condition in the lease?
9	Q. Thank you, Mr. Brown. Should this order allow	9	A. Yes.
10	for the assignment or change in operator with a	10	Q. Can you expand on that?
11	different process than is outlined in statute?	11	A. I don't know if there is any the question
12	A. Can you ask that again?	12	is, are they to be if they are determined deemed
13	Q. Are you asking that we allow for a different	13	leased, then are they subject to all the terms all
14	process other than statute when moving from one operator	14	the terms in the oil and gas lease attached? And I
15	to the other?	15	would say, yes.
16	A. I don't know.	16	Q. Okay. Thank you.
17	Q. Okay. Thank you. Snake River's application	17	A. With the exception of the change, the option
18	states that drilling activities will not occur on lands	18	period, or the option to 100 an acre that we just talked
19	to be integrated. What does this include? Does it	19	about.
20	include the well bore passing underground?	20	Q. Thank you. Some additional questions, what
21	A. Okay. I'm thinking through your question.	20	time limit do you propose placing on development of this
22	Ask that	22	unit, how long? I mean, is it just going to be a year,
23	Q. So drilling activities	23	or just tell me a little bit about that?
24	A. Yeah. Yeah.	24	A. We would like to proceed with this as soon as
25	Q. Let's start there. What are drilling	25	possible within 45 to 90 days.
2.5	Q. Let's start more. What are drining	2.5	possible within 45 to 70 days.
	Page 51		Page 53
	Page 51		Page 53
1	activities?	1	Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration
1 2	activities? A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.	2	Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?
2 3	activities? A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD. Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well	2 3	<ul><li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>
2 3 4	<ul><li>activities?</li><li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li><li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	activities? A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD. Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I believe?	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>activities?</li><li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li><li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I believe?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li><li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well</li> <li>bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I</li> <li>believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li><li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well</li> <li>bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I</li> <li>believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well</li> <li>bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I</li> <li>believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well</li> <li>bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I</li> <li>believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground</li> <li>through unleased lands?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well</li> <li>bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I</li> <li>believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground</li> <li>through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well</li> <li>bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I</li> <li>believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground</li> <li>through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that the landman shared that there was potential of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well</li> <li>bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I</li> <li>believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground</li> <li>through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>A. Wade Wade yeah.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that the landman shared that there was potential of additional wells.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well</li> <li>bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I</li> <li>believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground</li> <li>through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>A. Wade Wade yeah.</li> <li>Q. And again, thank you. The questions that I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that the landman shared that there was potential of additional wells.</li> <li>Q. What process thank you. What process will</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well</li> <li>bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I</li> <li>believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground</li> <li>through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>A. Wade Wade yeah.</li> <li>Q. And again, thank you. The questions that I</li> <li>put to Mr. Brown are just as easily, I'll ask Mr. Moore</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that the landman shared that there was potential of additional wells.</li> <li>Q. What process thank you. What process will Snake River use to request additional wells?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well</li> <li>bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I</li> <li>believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground</li> <li>through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>A. Wade Wade yeah.</li> <li>Q. And again, thank you. The questions that I</li> <li>put to Mr. Brown are just as easily, I'll ask Mr. Moore about them as well. Okay?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that the landman shared that there was potential of additional wells.</li> <li>Q. What process thank you. What process will Snake River use to request additional wells?</li> <li>A. It would start with notification or</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>A. Wade Wade yeah.</li> <li>Q. And again, thank you. The questions that I put to Mr. Brown are just as easily, I'll ask Mr. Moore about them as well. Okay? Is there going to be additional surface use</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that the landman shared that there was potential of additional wells.</li> <li>Q. What process thank you. What process will Snake River use to request additional wells?</li> <li>A. It would start with notification or application to Department of Lands for we would have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well</li> <li>bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I</li> <li>believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground</li> <li>through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>A. Wade Wade yeah.</li> <li>Q. And again, thank you. The questions that I</li> <li>put to Mr. Brown are just as easily, I'll ask Mr. Moore</li> <li>about them as well. Okay?</li> <li>Is there going to be additional surface use</li> <li>proposed upon the well pad?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that the landman shared that there was potential of additional wells.</li> <li>Q. What process thank you. What process will Snake River use to request additional wells?</li> <li>A. It would start with notification or application to Department of Lands for we would have to fill out a pretty lengthy application to drill, which</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well</li> <li>bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I</li> <li>believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground</li> <li>through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>A. Wade Wade yeah.</li> <li>Q. And again, thank you. The questions that I</li> <li>put to Mr. Brown are just as easily, I'll ask Mr. Moore about them as well. Okay?</li> <li>Is there going to be additional surface use</li> <li>proposed upon the well pad?</li> <li>A. Not at this time. I yeah.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that the landman shared that there was potential of additional wells.</li> <li>Q. What process thank you. What process will Snake River use to request additional wells?</li> <li>A. It would start with notification or application to Department of Lands for we would have to fill out a pretty lengthy application to drill, which has a public notice period, and we also have to get a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>A. Wade Wade yeah.</li> <li>Q. And again, thank you. The questions that I put to Mr. Brown are just as easily, I'll ask Mr. Moore about them as well. Okay? Is there going to be additional surface use proposed upon the well pad?</li> <li>A. Not at this time. I yeah.</li> <li>Q. For example, a road, an access road, or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that the landman shared that there was potential of additional wells.</li> <li>Q. What process thank you. What process will Snake River use to request additional wells?</li> <li>A. It would start with notification or application to Department of Lands for we would have to fill out a pretty lengthy application to drill, which has a public notice period, and we also have to get a county permit.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>A. Wade Wade yeah.</li> <li>Q. And again, thank you. The questions that I put to Mr. Brown are just as easily, I'll ask Mr. Moore about them as well. Okay? <ul> <li>Is there going to be additional surface use proposed upon the well pad?</li> <li>A. Not at this time. I yeah.</li> <li>Q. For example, a road, an access road, or anything along those lines?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that the landman shared that there was potential of additional wells.</li> <li>Q. What process thank you. What process will Snake River use to request additional wells?</li> <li>A. It would start with notification or application to Department of Lands for we would have to fill out a pretty lengthy application to drill, which has a public notice period, and we also have to get a county permit.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could this integration</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>A. Wade Wade yeah.</li> <li>Q. And again, thank you. The questions that I put to Mr. Brown are just as easily, I'll ask Mr. Moore about them as well. Okay? <ul> <li>Is there going to be additional surface use proposed upon the well pad?</li> <li>A. Not at this time. I yeah.</li> <li>Q. For example, a road, an access road, or anything along those lines?</li> <li>A. I think we have a 200-foot road north of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that the landman shared that there was potential of additional wells.</li> <li>Q. What process thank you. What process will Snake River use to request additional wells?</li> <li>A. It would start with notification or application to Department of Lands for we would have to fill out a pretty lengthy application to drill, which has a public notice period, and we also have to get a county permit.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could this integration specifically could this integration order be</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>A. Wade Wade yeah.</li> <li>Q. And again, thank you. The questions that I put to Mr. Brown are just as easily, I'll ask Mr. Moore about them as well. Okay? <ul> <li>Is there going to be additional surface use proposed upon the well pad?</li> <li>A. Not at this time. I yeah.</li> <li>Q. For example, a road, an access road, or anything along those lines?</li> <li>A. I think we have a 200-foot road north of Killebrew, which is approved by Fallon Enterprises to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that the landman shared that there was potential of additional wells.</li> <li>Q. What process thank you. What process will Snake River use to request additional wells?</li> <li>A. It would start with notification or application to Department of Lands for we would have to fill out a pretty lengthy application to drill, which has a public notice period, and we also have to get a county permit.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could this integration specifically could this integration order be restricted to one well; why or why not?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>A. Wade Wade yeah.</li> <li>Q. And again, thank you. The questions that I put to Mr. Brown are just as easily, I'll ask Mr. Moore about them as well. Okay? <ul> <li>Is there going to be additional surface use proposed upon the well pad?</li> <li>A. Not at this time. I yeah.</li> <li>Q. For example, a road, an access road, or anything along those lines?</li> <li>A. I think we have a 200-foot road north of Killebrew, which is approved by Fallon Enterprises to access the I think it's actually to access the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that the landman shared that there was potential of additional wells.</li> <li>Q. What process thank you. What process will Snake River use to request additional wells?</li> <li>A. It would start with notification or application to Department of Lands for we would have to fill out a pretty lengthy application to drill, which has a public notice period, and we also have to get a county permit.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could this integration specifically could this integration order be restricted to one well; why or why not?</li> <li>A. I don't see how it could be restricted to one</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>activities?</li> <li>A. Drilling activities, drilling the well to TD.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you. Does that include the well bore passing underground, you just enumerated that, I believe?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Drilling activities include the well bore?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Will this well bore pass underground through unleased lands?</li> <li>A. I can't answer that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>A. Wade Wade yeah.</li> <li>Q. And again, thank you. The questions that I put to Mr. Brown are just as easily, I'll ask Mr. Moore about them as well. Okay? <ul> <li>Is there going to be additional surface use proposed upon the well pad?</li> <li>A. Not at this time. I yeah.</li> <li>Q. For example, a road, an access road, or anything along those lines?</li> <li>A. I think we have a 200-foot road north of Killebrew, which is approved by Fallon Enterprises to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Are you proposing that the integration should continue indefinitely?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. What is Snake River's view as to drilling additional wells on this spacing unit?</li> <li>A. We would certainly hope to drill additional wells given encouragement.</li> <li>Q. Have you noticed any of the mineral interest owners that there could be additional wells drilled?</li> <li>A. It's provided in all of the lease agreements, and I would assume when we negotiated the leases, that the landman shared that there was potential of additional wells.</li> <li>Q. What process thank you. What process will Snake River use to request additional wells?</li> <li>A. It would start with notification or application to Department of Lands for we would have to fill out a pretty lengthy application to drill, which has a public notice period, and we also have to get a county permit.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Could this integration specifically could this integration order be restricted to one well; why or why not?</li> </ul>

	Page 54		Page 56
-	that's I don't think that's what's been determined is	-	second source of supply: right?
1	what the integration orders previously have stated or	1 2	second source of supply; right? A. Correct.
2	envisioned, excuse me.	3	MR. CHRISTIAN: Okay. That's all the
4	Q. Thank you. What's a reasonable charge for the	4	questions I have.
5	supervision and interest of this broadly? And if you	5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
6	don't	6	Mr. Christian.
7	A. I'm sorry?	7	Out of courtesy, Mr. Piotrowski, if you have
8	Q. I said what is the reasonable charge for the	8	any brief follow-up questions you are welcome to?
9	supervision and interest in this application? You may	9	MR. PIOTROWSKI: I do. Thank you, sir.
10	be calling on your experience in other states?	10	RECROSS-EXAMINATION
11	A. You say supervision of this application?	11	QUESTIONS BY MR. PIOTROWSKI:
12	Q. Right.	12	Q. Mr. Brown, as you sit here today, there is the
13	A. On the regulatory side?	13	possibility that there are hydrocarbons overlaid by this
14	Q. Right.	14	spacing unit; right?
15	A. I would have to defer to my partner, Chris,	15	A. Possible.
16	because he's more he sits on the oil and gas	16	Q. But we don't know where those are; do we, or
17	commission in Arkansas. Do you I don't remember what	17	even if they exist; right?
18	the rates are, but we can get that.	18	A. Correct.
19	Q. Thank you. That's good.	19	Q. So as we sit here at the moment, there is no
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: All right. Those are	20	way to know if such pools are found, where the
21	all the questions I have for you, Mr. Brown, right now.	21	appropriate placement for a well might be; right?
22	Mr. Christian, you may call your next witness.	22	A. Correct.
23	MR. CHRISTIAN: May I ask a couple follow-up	23	Q. And no way to know what nature of, you know,
24 25	questions? THE HEARING OFFICER: Sure. Go ahead.	24 25	drilling, whether vertical, or horizontal, or otherwise might be appropriate to reach this as yet unidentified
23	THE HEARING OFFICER. Sure. Go anead.	25	linght be appropriate to reach this as yet undertified
	Page 55		Page 57
1		1	-
1	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes	1	pool of hydrocarbons; right?
2	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe.	2	pool of hydrocarbons; right? A. Correct.
2 3	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION	2 3	<ul><li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be</li></ul>
2	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN:	2	<ul><li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future</li></ul>
2 3 4	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION	2 3 4	<ul><li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li><li>A. Correct.</li><li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated? A. I'm having a senior moment. Q. Okay. You can't remember?	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated? A. I'm having a senior moment. Q. Okay. You can't remember? A. No, Wade Wade can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated? A. I'm having a senior moment. Q. Okay. You can't remember? A. No, Wade Wade can Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Piotrowski.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated? A. I'm having a senior moment. Q. Okay. You can't remember? A. No, Wade Wade can Q. Okay. A. I know I'm just we've got so much going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski.</li> <li>Out of courtesy to the Department, Ms. Vega,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated? A. I'm having a senior moment. Q. Okay. You can't remember? A. No, Wade Wade can Q. Okay. A. I know I'm just we've got so much going on I just have had	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski.</li> <li>Out of courtesy to the Department, Ms. Vega, do you have any additional follow-up questions?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated? A. I'm having a senior moment. Q. Okay. You can't remember? A. No, Wade Wade can Q. Okay. A. I know I'm just we've got so much going on I just have had Q. And you anticipate submitting an application	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski.</li> <li>Out of courtesy to the Department, Ms. Vega, do you have any additional follow-up questions? MS. VEGA: Just one, thank you.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated? A. I'm having a senior moment. Q. Okay. You can't remember? A. No, Wade Wade can Q. Okay. A. I know I'm just we've got so much going on I just have had Q. And you anticipate submitting an application for permit drill as soon as the order in this matter is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski.</li> <li>Out of courtesy to the Department, Ms. Vega, do you have any additional follow-up questions?</li> <li>MS. VEGA: Just one, thank you.</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated? A. I'm having a senior moment. Q. Okay. You can't remember? A. No, Wade Wade can Q. Okay. A. I know I'm just we've got so much going on I just have had Q. And you anticipate submitting an application for permit drill as soon as the order in this matter is issued?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski.</li> <li>Out of courtesy to the Department, Ms. Vega, do you have any additional follow-up questions?</li> <li>MS. VEGA: Just one, thank you.</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MS. VEGA:</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated? A. I'm having a senior moment. Q. Okay. You can't remember? A. No, Wade Wade can Q. Okay. A. I know I'm just we've got so much going on I just have had Q. And you anticipate submitting an application for permit drill as soon as the order in this matter is issued? A. Correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski.</li> <li>Out of courtesy to the Department, Ms. Vega, do you have any additional follow-up questions?</li> <li>MS. VEGA: Just one, thank you.</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MS. VEGA:</li> <li>Q. And if I may, this question goes back to your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated? A. I'm having a senior moment. Q. Okay. You can't remember? A. No, Wade Wade can Q. Okay. A. I know I'm just we've got so much going on I just have had Q. And you anticipate submitting an application for permit drill as soon as the order in this matter is issued? A. Correct. Q. Now, I'm having a senior moment. Oh, there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski.</li> <li>Out of courtesy to the Department, Ms. Vega, do you have any additional follow-up questions?</li> <li>MS. VEGA: Just one, thank you.</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MS. VEGA:</li> <li>Q. And if I may, this question goes back to your earlier testimony. There was some discussion about the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated? A. I'm having a senior moment. Q. Okay. You can't remember? A. No, Wade Wade can Q. Okay. A. I know I'm just we've got so much going on I just have had Q. And you anticipate submitting an application for permit drill as soon as the order in this matter is issued? A. Correct. Q. Now, I'm having a senior moment. Oh, there is another unit in which integrated unit in which Snake	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski.</li> <li>Out of courtesy to the Department, Ms. Vega, do you have any additional follow-up questions? MS. VEGA: Just one, thank you.</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MS. VEGA:</li> <li>Q. And if I may, this question goes back to your earlier testimony. There was some discussion about the gathering pipelines and the infrastructure that's in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated? A. I'm having a senior moment. Q. Okay. You can't remember? A. No, Wade Wade can Q. Okay. A. I know I'm just we've got so much going on I just have had Q. And you anticipate submitting an application for permit drill as soon as the order in this matter is issued? A. Correct. Q. Now, I'm having a senior moment. Oh, there is another unit in which integrated unit in which Snake River has applied for and ultimately received approval	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski.</li> <li>Out of courtesy to the Department, Ms. Vega, do you have any additional follow-up questions?</li> <li>MS. VEGA: Just one, thank you.</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MS. VEGA:</li> <li>Q. And if I may, this question goes back to your earlier testimony. There was some discussion about the gathering pipelines and the infrastructure that's in place to move hydrocarbons to the processing facility.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe. FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated? A. I'm having a senior moment. Q. Okay. You can't remember? A. No, Wade Wade can Q. Okay. A. I know I'm just we've got so much going on I just have had Q. And you anticipate submitting an application for permit drill as soon as the order in this matter is issued? A. Correct. Q. Now, I'm having a senior moment. Oh, there is another unit in which integrated unit in which Snake River has applied for and ultimately received approval to drill a second well in the unit; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski.</li> <li>Out of courtesy to the Department, Ms. Vega, do you have any additional follow-up questions?</li> <li>MS. VEGA: Just one, thank you.</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MS. VEGA:</li> <li>Q. And if I may, this question goes back to your earlier testimony. There was some discussion about the gathering pipelines and the infrastructure that's in place to move hydrocarbons to the processing facility. Do you recall that discussion?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe.</li> <li>FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN:</li> <li>Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated?</li> <li>A. I'm having a senior moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You can't remember?</li> <li>A. No, Wade Wade can</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I know I'm just we've got so much going on I just have had</li> <li>Q. And you anticipate submitting an application for permit drill as soon as the order in this matter is issued?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, I'm having a senior moment. Oh, there is another unit in which integrated unit in which Snake River has applied for and ultimately received approval to drill a second well in the unit; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski.</li> <li>Out of courtesy to the Department, Ms. Vega, do you have any additional follow-up questions?</li> <li>MS. VEGA: Just one, thank you.</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MS. VEGA:</li> <li>Q. And if I may, this question goes back to your earlier testimony. There was some discussion about the gathering pipelines and the infrastructure that's in place to move hydrocarbons to the processing facility.</li> <li>Do you recall that discussion?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe.</li> <li>FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN: <ul> <li>Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your</li> <li>anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated?</li> <li>A. I'm having a senior moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You can't remember?</li> <li>A. No, Wade Wade can</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. I know I'm just we've got so much going on I just have had</li> <li>Q. And you anticipate submitting an application for permit drill as soon as the order in this matter is issued?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, I'm having a senior moment. Oh, there is another unit in which integrated unit in which Snake River has applied for and ultimately received approval to drill a second well in the unit; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. The Barlow, Section 14?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski.</li> <li>Out of courtesy to the Department, Ms. Vega, do you have any additional follow-up questions? MS. VEGA: Just one, thank you.</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MS. VEGA:</li> <li>Q. And if I may, this question goes back to your earlier testimony. There was some discussion about the gathering pipelines and the infrastructure that's in place to move hydrocarbons to the processing facility.</li> <li>Do you recall that discussion?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Is that a "yes"?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Just for purposes of clarity maybe.</li> <li>FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. CHRISTIAN:</li> <li>Q. Mr. Brown, I don't know is it your anticipation that the well that you anticipate drilling in this unit will be vertical or deviated?</li> <li>A. I'm having a senior moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You can't remember?</li> <li>A. No, Wade Wade can</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I know I'm just we've got so much going on I just have had</li> <li>Q. And you anticipate submitting an application for permit drill as soon as the order in this matter is issued?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Now, I'm having a senior moment. Oh, there is another unit in which integrated unit in which Snake River has applied for and ultimately received approval to drill a second well in the unit; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>pool of hydrocarbons; right?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. And so it's fair to say that there could be something down there. We could decide at some future date that it's worth drilling for. But beyond that, the details are just unknowable, or at least are unknown right now; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,</li> <li>Mr. Piotrowski.</li> <li>Out of courtesy to the Department, Ms. Vega, do you have any additional follow-up questions?</li> <li>MS. VEGA: Just one, thank you.</li> <li>RECROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MS. VEGA:</li> <li>Q. And if I may, this question goes back to your earlier testimony. There was some discussion about the gathering pipelines and the infrastructure that's in place to move hydrocarbons to the processing facility.</li> <li>Do you recall that discussion?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>

	Page 58		Page 60
1	with the owners of the pathway of that pipeline in	1	Q. Mr. Moore, can you state your full name for
2	place?	2	the record?
3	A. Yes. Yes, we have a right-of-way that extends	3	A. Wade Moore, III.
4	from the Fallon 110, and it goes basically in an	4	Q. And what is your job title? A. Landman.
5	eastward path, and it passes by this well bore, and I described that riser that's on this SUA, and goes to	5	Q. And are you a landman for Snake River Oil and
7	Little Willow over on Simplot and Little Willow field.	7	Gas?
8	Q. And Snake River has permission from all those	8	A. Yes, sir.
9	owners	9	Q. And working here, in Idaho?
10	A. Yes.	10	A. Correct.
11	Q along that pathway?	11	Q. Have you been at least in the last couple of
12	A. Yes, we do.	12	years responsible for Snake River's leasing efforts in
13	Q. And is my understanding correct, that the	13	the spacing unit that is the subject of this integration
14	Idaho Public Utilities Commission is one of the primary	14	application?
15	regulators of that pipeline? A. Yes.	15	A. Yes, sir, I have.
16 17	MS. VEGA: Thank you.	16 17	Q. Does that include both you personally contacting landowners and you managing contract brokers?
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Vega.	18	A. That is correct.
19	Mr. Christian, you will have the opportunity	19	Q. Do you have the integration application
20	to call your next witness. But right now, it's	20	materials, which is Exhibit SR 1, with you?
21	approximately 10:43 Mountain time. Let's take a	21	A. Yes, I do.
22	15-minute break, if you all don't mind.	22	Q. Can you please look at Exhibit E to the
23	Chris, please pause the recording.	23	application, which is the Resume of Efforts, beginning
24	MR. GOZZO: The recording has been paused.	24	on, I believe, it's page 73 of the application?
25	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.	25	A. Okay. Yes.
	Page 59		Page 61
1	Page 59 (Recess.)	1	Page 61 THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would
1 2		1 2	-
	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our		THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the
2	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which	2	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers
2 3 4 5	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome.	2 3 4 5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a
2 3 4 5 6	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been	2 3 4 5 6	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good
2 3 4 5 6 7	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's
2 3 4 5 6 7	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo. Mr. Christian, you may call your next witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's just make sure we speak clearly as we go through this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo. Mr. Christian, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's just make sure we speak clearly as we go through this. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo. Mr. Christian, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. We'll call Wade Moore, III. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore, are you on the phone?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's just make sure we speak clearly as we go through this. Okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Christian.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo. Mr. Christian, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. We'll call Wade Moore, III. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore, are you on the phone? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I am here.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's just make sure we speak clearly as we go through this. Okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Christian. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo. Mr. Christian, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. We'll call Wade Moore, III. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore, are you on the phone? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I am here. THE HEARING OFFICER: I am going to offer the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's just make sure we speak clearly as we go through this. Okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Christian. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Q. (BY MR. CHRISTIAN) Wade, do you have Exhibit
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo. Mr. Christian, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. We'll call Wade Moore, III. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore, are you on the phone? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I am here. THE HEARING OFFICER: I am going to offer the oath to you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's just make sure we speak clearly as we go through this. Okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Christian. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Q. (BY MR. CHRISTIAN) Wade, do you have Exhibit E to the application in front of you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo. Mr. Christian, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. We'll call Wade Moore, III. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore, are you on the phone? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I am here. THE HEARING OFFICER: I am going to offer the oath to you. WADE MOORE, III,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's just make sure we speak clearly as we go through this. Okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Christian. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Q. (BY MR. CHRISTIAN) Wade, do you have Exhibit E to the application in front of you? A. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo. Mr. Christian, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. We'll call Wade Moore, III. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore, are you on the phone? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I am here. THE HEARING OFFICER: I am going to offer the oath to you. WADE MOORE, III, first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's just make sure we speak clearly as we go through this. Okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Christian. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Q. (BY MR. CHRISTIAN) Wade, do you have Exhibit E to the application in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And that is the resume of efforts made to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo. Mr. Christian, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. We'll call Wade Moore, III. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore, are you on the phone? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I am here. THE HEARING OFFICER: I am going to offer the oath to you. WADE MOORE, III, first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified remotely as follows:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's just make sure we speak clearly as we go through this. Okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Christian. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Q. (BY MR. CHRISTIAN) Wade, do you have Exhibit E to the application in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And that is the resume of efforts made to contact uncommitted mineral interest owners in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo. Mr. Christian, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. We'll call Wade Moore, III. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore, are you on the phone? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I am here. THE HEARING OFFICER: I am going to offer the oath to you. WADE MOORE, III, first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's just make sure we speak clearly as we go through this. Okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Christian. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Q. (BY MR. CHRISTIAN) Wade, do you have Exhibit E to the application in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And that is the resume of efforts made to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo. Mr. Christian, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. We'll call Wade Moore, III. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore, are you on the phone? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I am here. THE HEARING OFFICER: I am going to offer the oath to you. WADE MOORE, III, first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified remotely as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's just make sure we speak clearly as we go through this. Okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Christian. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Q. (BY MR. CHRISTIAN) Wade, do you have Exhibit E to the application in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And that is the resume of efforts made to contact uncommitted mineral interest owners in the subject spacing unit?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo. Mr. Christian, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. We'll call Wade Moore, III. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore, are you on the phone? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I am here. THE HEARING OFFICER: I am going to offer the oath to you. WADE MOORE, III, first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified remotely as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Mr. Christian, you may proceed. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's just make sure we speak clearly as we go through this. Okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Christian. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Q. (BY MR. CHRISTIAN) Wade, do you have Exhibit E to the application in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And that is the resume of efforts made to contact uncommitted mineral interest owners in the subject spacing unit? A. That is correct. Q. Were you responsible for preparing this resume of efforts?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	(Recess.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Chris, we're back from break. You can start the recording. I believe our phone is unmuted. And you are shaking your head, which means you are hearing us, and that is awesome. MR. GOZZO: Yes, the recording has been unpaused. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Gozzo. Mr. Christian, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator. We'll call Wade Moore, III. THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Moore, are you on the phone? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir, I am here. THE HEARING OFFICER: I am going to offer the oath to you. WADE MOORE, III, first duly sworn to tell the truth relating to said cause, testified remotely as follows: THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Mr. Christian, you may proceed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Christian, I would like to sneak in real quick. Mr. Moore, if you would not mind, please, as you speak, since we're all over the phone and this is being transcribed, in your answers would you please articulate, and maybe even speak a little bit slower so that we can all get a good understanding of what's going on. And it's something I deal with as well, so we're one party here. But let's just make sure we speak clearly as we go through this. Okay? THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Go ahead, Mr. Christian. MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you. Q. (BY MR. CHRISTIAN) Wade, do you have Exhibit E to the application in front of you? A. Yes, sir. Q. And that is the resume of efforts made to contact uncommitted mineral interest owners in the subject spacing unit? A. That is correct. Q. Were you responsible for preparing this resume

	Dece CO		Dama C4	
	Page 62		Page 64	
1	mineral interest owners in the unit?	1	particular \$250 acre payment came to be?	
2	A. Yes, sir.	2	A. I do not have personal knowledge. No, I was	
3	Q. There is, Mr. Brown, I think testified to	3	not responsible at that time for that leasing effort.	
4	this, but there is one owner who has since been leased;	4	Q. Okay. Does Ms. Lockner own a company that	
5	correct?	5	owns other acreage in the unit?	
6	A. That is correct.	6	A. Yes, see Donoho Rock and TD Rock.	
7	Q. Is that the Mussers?	7	Q. And are they both leased?	
8	A. Yes, they did lease.	8	A. Correct.	
9	Q. And that is at least on the resume of efforts,	9	Q. And were they leased at \$100 an acre?	
10	that's Tract No. 2?	10	A. They were \$100 an acre, yes, sir.	
11	A. That is correct.	11 Q. And to your knowledge is any voluntary lease		
12	Q. Okay. Did you personally direct at least two	12 in the spacing unit at greater than a one-eighth		
13	contacts with each of the uncommitted mineral interest	13 royalty?		
14	owners in the last two months before the application was	14	A. There is not.	
15	filed or longer?	15	Q. The resume of efforts, which is Exhibit E to	
16	A. Yes, I did.	16	the application, reflects just over 90 percent of the	
17	Q. Okay.	17	mineral acres in the unit leased. Does that track with	
18	A. And it's been longer, yes.	18	your knowledge of leasing efforts?	
19	Q. Okay. Can you generally describe what your	19	A. Mike, I didn't catch your full question. I	
20	efforts were?	20	apologize. Can you repeat?	
21	A. Efforts, recent efforts, aside from the	21	Q. The resume of efforts reflects a percent	
22	efforts that were a couple years ago. The recent	22	leased of just over 90 percent. Is that accurate to	
23	efforts started in around December of 2020 and carried	23	your knowledge?	
24	over about four months until April.	24	A. That is accurate, yes.	
25	Q. Did they include in-person and mail contacts?	25	Q. The lease that was taken since the application	
	Page 63		Page 65	
1	A. Attempted in-person and mail contacts.	1	the Mussers, can you tell me what the bonus and royalty	
2	Q. There were some owners where you attempted to	2	and lease term were for that lease?	
3	make contact in person and were unable to?	3	A. Musser was paid \$100 per acre, and a	
4	A. Yeah, a lot of nonresponse either by phone and	4	one-eighth royalty.	
5	mail showed me not interested in communicating.	5	Q. And what was the term?	
6	Q. Some of the efforts listed on the resume of	6	A. Five years primary, three year optional.	
7	efforts go back further to the time period of the	7	Q. Okay. There has been some other discussion of	
8	previous operator; is that correct?	8	this previously, but the proposed well site in this unit	
9	A. That is correct.	9	is going to be on Fallon Enterprises land; is that	
10	Q. But generally it reflects that these owners	10	correct?	
11	have all been contacted multiple times over the last few	11	A. That is correct.	
12	years?	12	Q. And is the anticipated well going to be	
13	A. Yes, that's correct.	13	directionally drilled?	
14	Q. And your mail contacts included the offer	14	A. To my knowledge it will be a directional	
15	lattor which is Exhibit E to the application, is that	15	drill.	
16	letter, which is Exhibit F to the application; is that			
	right?	16	Q. And is it generally is the anticipated path	
17	right? A. That is correct.	16 17	Q. And is it generally is the anticipated path the well bore to the south from the well path?	
18	right? A. That is correct. Q. And there has been some discussion of this,	16 17 18	<ul><li>Q. And is it generally is the anticipated path</li><li>the well bore to the south from the well path?</li><li>A. As my understanding goes, that is correct.</li></ul>	
18 19	right? A. That is correct. Q. And there has been some discussion of this, but with one exception, the highest bonus offered or	16 17 18 19	<ul><li>Q. And is it generally is the anticipated path the well bore to the south from the well path?</li><li>A. As my understanding goes, that is correct.</li><li>Q. And is it your understanding that the</li></ul>	
18 19 20	right? A. That is correct. Q. And there has been some discussion of this, but with one exception, the highest bonus offered or paid in the unit was \$100 an acre; is that right?	16 17 18 19 20	<ul><li>Q. And is it generally is the anticipated path the well bore to the south from the well path?</li><li>A. As my understanding goes, that is correct.</li><li>Q. And is it your understanding that the anticipated path of the well bore will cross land that</li></ul>	
18 19 20 21	right? A. That is correct. Q. And there has been some discussion of this, but with one exception, the highest bonus offered or paid in the unit was \$100 an acre; is that right? A. That is yes, that's right.	16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul><li>Q. And is it generally is the anticipated path the well bore to the south from the well path?</li><li>A. As my understanding goes, that is correct.</li><li>Q. And is it your understanding that the anticipated path of the well bore will cross land that is all currently leased?</li></ul>	
18 19 20 21 22	right? A. That is correct. Q. And there has been some discussion of this, but with one exception, the highest bonus offered or paid in the unit was \$100 an acre; is that right? A. That is yes, that's right. Q. And the exception is Rita Lockner's one-acre	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul><li>Q. And is it generally is the anticipated path the well bore to the south from the well path?</li><li>A. As my understanding goes, that is correct.</li><li>Q. And is it your understanding that the anticipated path of the well bore will cross land that is all currently leased?</li><li>A. Yes, sir.</li></ul>	
18 19 20 21 22 23	right? A. That is correct. Q. And there has been some discussion of this, but with one exception, the highest bonus offered or paid in the unit was \$100 an acre; is that right? A. That is yes, that's right. Q. And the exception is Rita Lockner's one-acre tract; is that right?	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And is it generally is the anticipated path the well bore to the south from the well path?</li> <li>A. As my understanding goes, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. And is it your understanding that the anticipated path of the well bore will cross land that is all currently leased?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at your declaration, Wade, which</li> </ul>	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>right?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And there has been some discussion of this, but with one exception, the highest bonus offered or paid in the unit was \$100 an acre; is that right?</li> <li>A. That is yes, that's right.</li> <li>Q. And the exception is Rita Lockner's one-acre tract; is that right?</li> <li>A. That is the exception, yes.</li> </ul>	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. And is it generally is the anticipated path the well bore to the south from the well path?</li> <li>A. As my understanding goes, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. And is it your understanding that the anticipated path of the well bore will cross land that is all currently leased?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at your declaration, Wade, which is Exhibit B to the application?</li> </ul>	
18 19 20 21 22 23	right? A. That is correct. Q. And there has been some discussion of this, but with one exception, the highest bonus offered or paid in the unit was \$100 an acre; is that right? A. That is yes, that's right. Q. And the exception is Rita Lockner's one-acre tract; is that right?	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And is it generally is the anticipated path the well bore to the south from the well path?</li> <li>A. As my understanding goes, that is correct.</li> <li>Q. And is it your understanding that the anticipated path of the well bore will cross land that is all currently leased?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. Can you look at your declaration, Wade, which</li> </ul>	

	Page 66		Page 68
-	O And I'll direct to first of all have you	-	A That is correct Ms Dita Lookner correct
1	Q. And I'll direct to first of all, have you reviewed the declaration?	1	A. That is correct, Ms. Rita Lockner, correct.
2		2	Q. Okay. Is there any way at the moment to
3	A. Yes, I'm there, Mike. I'm ready.	3	estimate the value of the royalties that the lessors are
4	Q. Okay. Is there anything in that declaration	4	likely to receive if and when this well is drilled?
5	that has changed to your knowledge since the time you	5	A. I'm not the person to try to even guess at
6	signed it?	6	that. I would have to defer to a reservoir engineer.
7	A. No, sir. Other than the Musser I'm reading	7	So to answer your question, no, I can't do that.
8	it right now. It doesn't identify individual names as	8	Q. All right. And so in entering into these
9	far as being leased and that ultimate change was we	9	leases, did you make any statement to the potential
10	picked up another lease. So other than that, no,	10	lessors about what they could expect to earn in
11	nothing has changed.	11	royalties?
12	Q. And is the discussion in paragraph 7 of your	12	A. I personally did not, no.
13	declaration regarding the factors behind the suggested	13	MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you. That's all the
14	risk penalty, are all of those facts still true?	14	questions I have.
15	A. To my knowledge, yes.	15	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
16	MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't think I have any other	16	Mr. Piotrowski.
17	questions.	17	Ms. Vega, you may ask questions of the
18	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,	18	witness.
19	Mr. Christian.	19	MS. VEGA: No questions.
20	Mr. Piotrowski, you may ask questions of the	20	THE HEARING OFFICER: For the record,
21	witness. Mr. Piotrowski, you are still muted.	21	Ms. Vega, did not have any questions to ask of the
22	Chris, can you help with anything on our end?	22	witness. And I only mention that to make sure we get it
23	He's not looking at the camera. Mr. Piotrowski? See,	23	recorded.
24	if we can get him when he's looking. Chris, standby.	24	Does Snake River have any questions for the
25	Chris, I'm not hearing you either. We have a problem.	25	witness?
	Page 67		Page 69
1		1	
1 2	Page 67 We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end.	1	Page 69 MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end.		MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you.
2	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite	2	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir.
2 3	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end.	2 3	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions.
2 3 4	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought.	2 3 4	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER:
2 3 4 5	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.)	2 3 4 5	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked
2 3 4 5 6	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please	2 3 4 5 6	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity.
2 3 4 5 6 7	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, sir.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Mr. Moore, the application says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, sir. CROSS-EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Mr. Moore, the application says that operations may be similar to operations found at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, sir. CROSS-EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. PIOTROWSKI: Q. Mr. Moore, the point I'm trying to get at is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Mr. Moore, the application says
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, sir. CROSS-EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. PIOTROWSKI: Q. Mr. Moore, the point I'm trying to get at is merely that there is a lease that included a bonus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Mr. Moore, the application says that operations may be similar to operations found at the previously drilled and completed wells in the Little
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, sir. CROSS-EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. PIOTROWSKI: Q. Mr. Moore, the point I'm trying to get at is merely that there is a lease that included a bonus payment of \$250 for a one-acre tract within this spacing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Mr. Moore, the application says that operations may be similar to operations found at the previously drilled and completed wells in the Little Willow area. Can you describe in more detail what those similar operations may be?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, sir. CROSS-EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. PIOTROWSKI: Q. Mr. Moore, the point I'm trying to get at is merely that there is a lease that included a bonus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Mr. Moore, the application says that operations may be similar to operations found at the previously drilled and completed wells in the Little Willow area. Can you describe in more detail what those similar operations may be? A. I'm not able to describe. I'm not privy to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, sir. CROSS-EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. PIOTROWSKI: Q. Mr. Moore, the point I'm trying to get at is merely that there is a lease that included a bonus payment of \$250 for a one-acre tract within this spacing unit; correct? A. That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Mr. Moore, the application says that operations may be similar to operations found at the previously drilled and completed wells in the Little Willow area. Can you describe in more detail what those similar operations may be? A. I'm not able to describe. I'm not privy to operational function, no, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end.</li> <li>And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought.</li> <li>(Court Reporter technical difficulties.)</li> <li>(Off the record.)</li> <li>THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MR. PIOTROWSKI:</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, the point I'm trying to get at is merely that there is a lease that included a bonus payment of \$250 for a one-acre tract within this spacing unit; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And all of the other leases were at</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Mr. Moore, the application says that operations may be similar to operations found at the previously drilled and completed wells in the Little Willow area. Can you describe in more detail what those similar operations may be? A. I'm not able to describe. I'm not privy to operational function, no, sir. Q. Okay. Thank you. Mr. Moore, regarding the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end. And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, sir. CROSS-EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY MR. PIOTROWSKI: Q. Mr. Moore, the point I'm trying to get at is merely that there is a lease that included a bonus payment of \$250 for a one-acre tract within this spacing unit; correct? A. That is correct.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Mr. Moore, the application says that operations may be similar to operations found at the previously drilled and completed wells in the Little Willow area. Can you describe in more detail what those similar operations may be? A. I'm not able to describe. I'm not privy to operational function, no, sir. Q. Okay. Thank you. Mr. Moore, regarding the application mentions gathering lines. Are those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end.</li> <li>And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought.</li> <li>(Court Reporter technical difficulties.)</li> <li>(Off the record.)</li> <li>THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience.</li> <li>MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, sir.</li> <li>CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MR. PIOTROWSKI:</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, the point I'm trying to get at is merely that there is a lease that included a bonus payment of \$250 for a one-acre tract within this spacing unit; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And all of the other leases were at \$100 per acre; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Mr. Moore, the application says that operations may be similar to operations found at the previously drilled and completed wells in the Little Willow area. Can you describe in more detail what those similar operations may be? A. I'm not able to describe. I'm not privy to operational function, no, sir. Q. Okay. Thank you. Mr. Moore, regarding the application mentions gathering lines. Are those gathering lines to be placed on an uncommitted owner's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end.</li> <li>And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, sir. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MR. PIOTROWSKI:</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, the point I'm trying to get at is merely that there is a lease that included a bonus payment of \$250 for a one-acre tract within this spacing unit; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And \$100 for all tracts under an acre?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Mr. Moore, the application says that operations may be similar to operations found at the previously drilled and completed wells in the Little Willow area. Can you describe in more detail what those similar operations may be? A. I'm not able to describe. I'm not privy to operational function, no, sir. Q. Okay. Thank you. Mr. Moore, regarding the application mentions gathering lines. Are those gathering lines to be placed on an uncommitted owner's property?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end.</li> <li>And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, sir. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MR. PIOTROWSKI:</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, the point I'm trying to get at is merely that there is a lease that included a bonus payment of \$250 for a one-acre tract within this spacing unit; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And \$100 for all tracts under an acre?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Mr. Moore, the application says that operations may be similar to operations found at the previously drilled and completed wells in the Little Willow area. Can you describe in more detail what those similar operations may be? A. I'm not able to describe. I'm not privy to operational function, no, sir. Q. Okay. Thank you. Mr. Moore, regarding the application mentions gathering lines. Are those gathering lines to be placed on an uncommitted owner's property? A. Currently the answer is, no, where the well
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end.</li> <li>And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, sir. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MR. PIOTROWSKI:</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, the point I'm trying to get at is merely that there is a lease that included a bonus payment of \$250 for a one-acre tract within this spacing unit; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And all of the other leases were at \$100 per acre; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And \$100 for all tracts under an acre?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And the one exception is the one tract</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Mr. Moore, the application says that operations may be similar to operations found at the previously drilled and completed wells in the Little Willow area. Can you describe in more detail what those similar operations may be? A. I'm not able to describe. I'm not privy to operational function, no, sir. Q. Okay. Thank you. Mr. Moore, regarding the application mentions gathering lines. Are those gathering lines to be placed on an uncommitted owner's property? A. Currently the answer is, no, where the well pad will sit, it's on a leased ground. And our
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>We may have lost the line here. Let's see what I can do on our end.</li> <li>And, Mr. Piotrowski, I'm sure it's quite eloquent. Please hold that thought. (Court Reporter technical difficulties.) (Off the record.) THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, please start over with your questions of the witness. And thank you for your patience. MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, sir. CROSS-EXAMINATION</li> <li>QUESTIONS BY MR. PIOTROWSKI:</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, the point I'm trying to get at is merely that there is a lease that included a bonus payment of \$250 for a one-acre tract within this spacing unit; correct?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And \$100 for all tracts under an acre?</li> <li>A. Yes, sir.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. CHRISTIAN: No, sir. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Thank you. I do have some questions. EXAMINATION QUESTIONS BY THE HEARING OFFICER: Q. Mr. Moore, some of these questions I've asked already of Mr. Brown. So I'm just asking for clarity. And you may have additional information. First, do you know the location of the well to be drilled? A. I know, yes, the surface location. Yes, sir. Q. All right. Mr. Moore, the application says that operations may be similar to operations found at the previously drilled and completed wells in the Little Willow area. Can you describe in more detail what those similar operations may be? A. I'm not able to describe. I'm not privy to operational function, no, sir. Q. Okay. Thank you. Mr. Moore, regarding the application mentions gathering lines. Are those gathering lines to be placed on an uncommitted owner's property? A. Currently the answer is, no, where the well

On a	nd Gas LEC to integrate the Spacing Ont		111505t 12, 2021
	Page 70		Page 72
1	Q. Thank you for that answer. Can we include a	1	the River Ridge Estates and the Larsons. That is 56
2	condition in the integration that states that?	2	days between contacts, which is less than the 60 day
3	A. I don't know if I can give you the directives	3	required statutes.
4	to do that, but my opinion is that it would be okay to	4	Was there any contact attempts made after
5	do so.	5	February 25th? We have one entry that says, March 24th,
6	Q. Thank you. And to bring up the \$250 bonus	6	no response to offer. Was there a contact then, or just
7	payment again, albeit briefly, can you confirm on the	7	a confirmation that the owner had not contacted Snake
8	record that well, excuse me. Let me go back and make	8	River?
9	sure we cover this.	9	A. It was an additional contact.
10	The statute does say ini 47-320, that the	10	Q. Please say that again for me?
11	affidavit stating the highest bonus payment paid to a	11	A. It was an additional contact.
12	leased owner in the spacing unit be integrated prior to	12	Q. There was?
13	filing the integration application. There is no	13	A. Yes. Sorry about that. Yes.
14	exemptions for erroneous departures.	14	Q. Okay. There was, yes. Thank you.
15	Mr. Moore, I would like you to confirm that	15	The last question. Mr. Moore, I see that the
16	this rate, confirm this \$250 rate for the record for me,	16	prior operator's efforts are included in the resume.
17	as the only evidence we have right now in this	17	Should the attempts the prior operator made to reach an
18	application?	18	agreement with mineral interest owners be considered a
19	A. Yes, sir, that is correct.	19	separate occasion to inform the uncommitted owners of
20	Q. Let me scan my questions one more time.	20	the applicants intention to develop a mineral resource
21	A. Did you hear me?	21	in the proposed spacing unit? And the crux of the
22	Q. Yes, I did. Thank you, Mr. Moore.	22	question is, should the attempt made by the prior
23	A. Okay.	23	operator be considered a separate occasion?
24	Q. Mr. Moore, one of the reasons the application	24	A. Probably, yes. I mean, I don't know how to
25	gives to requesting a 300 percent risk penalty is that	25	answer that. I mean, it's the same the same
	Page 71		Page 73
1	this is a wildcat area. Is this truly a wildcat area	1	landowners have then attempted many times, whether we
2	given the Barlow 114 well is drilled nearby?	2	want to say it's separate or not. I don't know how to
3	A. Again, just based on my knowledge in the oil	3	answer that.
4	and gas experience, and I would say, yes, because there	4	Q. You did a good job. Thank you, Mr. Moore, I
5	is not really much production to base our understanding	5	appreciate it.
6	on as far as the geologic of this field. As a landman,	6	THE HEARING OFFICER: I don't have any
7	lan in the standard strain in the land strain is the land strain in the land strain is the land strain in the land strain is the land		
8	you know, again, my best answer is going to be, yes, it	7	additional questions at this time for the witness.
0	is due to the lack of production let me say	7 8	
9			additional questions at this time for the witness.
	is due to the lack of production let me say	8	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect?
9	is due to the lack of production let me say this proven production.	8 9	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further.
9 10	is due to the lack of production let me say this proven production. Q. Mr. Moore, in your opinion, at what point is	8 9 10	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further. Thank you.
9 10 11	<ul><li>is due to the lack of production let me say</li><li>this proven production.</li><li>Q. Mr. Moore, in your opinion, at what point is</li><li>something is basically a field or a well site no longer</li></ul>	8 9 10 11	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Counsel, you
9 10 11 12	<ul><li>is due to the lack of production let me say</li><li>this proven production.</li><li>Q. Mr. Moore, in your opinion, at what point is</li><li>something is basically a field or a well site no longer</li><li>a wildcat well?</li></ul>	8 9 10 11 12	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Counsel, you may call your next witness.
9 10 11 12 13	<ul><li>is due to the lack of production let me say</li><li>this proven production.</li><li>Q. Mr. Moore, in your opinion, at what point is</li><li>something is basically a field or a well site no longer</li><li>a wildcat well?</li><li>A. Oh, gosh. Again, you are having a landman</li></ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Counsel, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: That is our last witness.
9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>is due to the lack of production let me say</li> <li>this proven production.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, in your opinion, at what point is</li> <li>something is basically a field or a well site no longer</li> <li>a wildcat well?</li> <li>A. Oh, gosh. Again, you are having a landman</li> <li>answer those kind of questions. You know, I'm going to</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Counsel, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: That is our last witness. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. Thank
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>is due to the lack of production let me say</li> <li>this proven production.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, in your opinion, at what point is</li> <li>something is basically a field or a well site no longer</li> <li>a wildcat well?</li> <li>A. Oh, gosh. Again, you are having a landman</li> <li>answer those kind of questions. You know, I'm going to</li> <li>have to say, as far as proven production, if you get ten</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Counsel, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: That is our last witness. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. Thank you.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>is due to the lack of production let me say</li> <li>this proven production.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, in your opinion, at what point is</li> <li>something is basically a field or a well site no longer</li> <li>a wildcat well?</li> <li>A. Oh, gosh. Again, you are having a landman</li> <li>answer those kind of questions. You know, I'm going to</li> <li>have to say, as far as proven production, if you get ten</li> <li>years of proven production out of one area, constant</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Counsel, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: That is our last witness. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. Thank you. In that case, Mr. Piotrowski, do you have any
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>is due to the lack of production let me say</li> <li>this proven production.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, in your opinion, at what point is</li> <li>something is basically a field or a well site no longer</li> <li>a wildcat well?</li> <li>A. Oh, gosh. Again, you are having a landman</li> <li>answer those kind of questions. You know, I'm going to</li> <li>have to say, as far as proven production, if you get ten</li> <li>years of proven production out of one area, constant</li> <li>proven production, I think, you would probably be at a</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Counsel, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: That is our last witness. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. Thank you. In that case, Mr. Piotrowski, do you have any witnesses you would like to call? MR. PIOTROWSKI: We have no witnesses to present.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>is due to the lack of production let me say this proven production.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, in your opinion, at what point is something is basically a field or a well site no longer a wildcat well?</li> <li>A. Oh, gosh. Again, you are having a landman answer those kind of questions. You know, I'm going to have to say, as far as proven production, if you get ten years of proven production out of one area, constant proven production, I think, you would probably be at a wildcat phase. That's just my again, Mr. Thomas,</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Counsel, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: That is our last witness. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. Thank you. In that case, Mr. Piotrowski, do you have any witnesses you would like to call? MR. PIOTROWSKI: We have no witnesses to
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>is due to the lack of production let me say this proven production.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, in your opinion, at what point is something is basically a field or a well site no longer a wildcat well?</li> <li>A. Oh, gosh. Again, you are having a landman answer those kind of questions. You know, I'm going to have to say, as far as proven production, if you get ten years of proven production out of one area, constant proven production, I think, you would probably be at a wildcat phase. That's just my again, Mr. Thomas, that's my opinion. I don't have any basis of that.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Counsel, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: That is our last witness. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. Thank you. In that case, Mr. Piotrowski, do you have any witnesses you would like to call? MR. PIOTROWSKI: We have no witnesses to present.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>is due to the lack of production let me say this proven production.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, in your opinion, at what point is something is basically a field or a well site no longer a wildcat well?</li> <li>A. Oh, gosh. Again, you are having a landman answer those kind of questions. You know, I'm going to have to say, as far as proven production, if you get ten years of proven production out of one area, constant proven production, I think, you would probably be at a wildcat phase. That's just my again, Mr. Thomas, that's my opinion. I don't have any basis of that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Moore. That's adequate.</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Counsel, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: That is our last witness. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. Thank you. In that case, Mr. Piotrowski, do you have any witnesses you would like to call? MR. PIOTROWSKI: We have no witnesses to present. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>is due to the lack of production let me say this proven production.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, in your opinion, at what point is something is basically a field or a well site no longer a wildcat well?</li> <li>A. Oh, gosh. Again, you are having a landman answer those kind of questions. You know, I'm going to have to say, as far as proven production, if you get ten years of proven production out of one area, constant proven production, I think, you would probably be at a wildcat phase. That's just my again, Mr. Thomas, that's my opinion. I don't have any basis of that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Moore. That's adequate.</li> <li>Mr. Moore, I want to ask you a few questions regarding</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Counsel, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: That is our last witness. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. Thank you. In that case, Mr. Piotrowski, do you have any witnesses you would like to call? MR. PIOTROWSKI: We have no witnesses to present. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Ms. Vega, do you have any witnesses you would
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>is due to the lack of production let me say this proven production.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, in your opinion, at what point is something is basically a field or a well site no longer a wildcat well?</li> <li>A. Oh, gosh. Again, you are having a landman answer those kind of questions. You know, I'm going to have to say, as far as proven production, if you get ten years of proven production out of one area, constant proven production, I think, you would probably be at a wildcat phase. That's just my again, Mr. Thomas, that's my opinion. I don't have any basis of that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Moore. That's adequate.</li> <li>Mr. Moore, I want to ask you a few questions regarding reaching out to folks, and I think that's more in your</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Counsel, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: That is our last witness. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. Thank you. In that case, Mr. Piotrowski, do you have any witnesses you would like to call? MR. PIOTROWSKI: We have no witnesses to present. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Ms. Vega, do you have any witnesses you would like to call?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>is due to the lack of production let me say this proven production.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Moore, in your opinion, at what point is something is basically a field or a well site no longer a wildcat well?</li> <li>A. Oh, gosh. Again, you are having a landman answer those kind of questions. You know, I'm going to have to say, as far as proven production, if you get ten years of proven production out of one area, constant proven production, I think, you would probably be at a wildcat phase. That's just my again, Mr. Thomas, that's my opinion. I don't have any basis of that.</li> <li>Q. Thank you, Mr. Moore. That's adequate.</li> <li>Mr. Moore, I want to ask you a few questions regarding reaching out to folks, and I think that's more in your purview. Some of the attempts to contact owners</li> </ul>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	additional questions at this time for the witness. Counsel, if you would like to redirect? MR. CHRISTIAN: I don't have anything further. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Counsel, you may call your next witness. MR. CHRISTIAN: That is our last witness. THE HEARING OFFICER: Okay. Very good. Thank you. In that case, Mr. Piotrowski, do you have any witnesses you would like to call? MR. PIOTROWSKI: We have no witnesses to present. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you. Ms. Vega, do you have any witnesses you would like to call? MS. VEGA: No, thank you. However, if I may,

Oil a	and Gas LLC to Integrate the Spacing Unit		August 12, 2021
	Page 74		Page 76
		_	
1	Exhibit, IDL 1. I had received word from Mr. Christian that he would stipulate to the admissibility of this	1	experience in the industry, and both of those forms have been used throughout his experience in many states. He
2	exhibit. I didn't hear from Mr. Piotrowski. But are	2	testified to establish that they are consistent with
3		3	•
4	you wanting the Department to prove up this exhibit or	4	terms previously accepted or rejected by courts or other cil and gas administrative acception specifically in
5	provide information about it? THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, let's start with	5	oil and gas administrative agencies, specifically in this case, the use of the form of JOA and previous
6	while we're all here, Mr. Piotrowski?	6	integrations; and the use of the Form 610 generally by
7	MR. PIOTROWSKI: I have no objections to that	7 8	the state of Arkansas, apparently in all integrations;
8 9	exhibit.	° 9	and applicable to the unit and its operations; and
9 10	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.	10	similar to other agreements within or nearby the unit.
11	MS. VEGA: And I would offer it. It is really	11	Mr. Brown, testified that the proposed form of lease in
12	just demonstrative for the use of you, as the	12	particular was similar, very similar to other
13	Administrator, demonstrative of the field, and the	13	voluntarily leases, both within the unit and in
14	different administrative matters that have taken place	14	surrounding areas in terms of its form, and bonus,
15	since 2015.	15	royalty, and term.
16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Ms. Vega. I	16	There was not any contrary testimony or
17	certainly admit the exhibit. I appreciate that.	17	evidence provided by the objecting mineral owners to
18	MS. VEGA: Thank you.	18	establish that there was a reason for a different term
19	(Exhibit IDL 1 admitted.)	19	or condition to be appropriate. There was no testimony
20	THE HEARING OFFICER: Well, folks since the	20	or evidence established that other terms and conditions
21	witnesses are finished, the parties may now offer	21	should be offered, other than I think the suggestions by
22	closing arguments. I'm not putting a hard limit on	22	Mr. Piotrowski, that at least indirectly that an
23	these closing arguments. Tentatively, I would like to	23	operator ought to be responsible for diminution of
24	go under 20 minutes. But we're far enough in the	24	property value. On that subject specifically, there was
25	calendar, that each can talk as they need.	25	no evidence offered to get beyond speculation, that any
	Page 75		Page 77
1	Snake River, you may make a closing argument	1	diminution of property value could occur or would occur
2	at this time.	2	in the future. And, in fact, the testimony of Mr. Brown
3	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you, Mr. Administrator.	3	was that in his 40 years of experience in the industry,
4	As a housekeeping matter, I don't know that I've	4	nobody has no lessee included such a term in their
5	formally moved the admission of Exhibit SR 1. To the	5	lease, in voluntary leases.
6	extent necessary, I will do so. I think the	6	There was no other evidence or testimony
7	administrative rules are fairly flexible on that point.	7	provided that the proposed terms in the application,
8	But I would move the admission. Multiple witnesses have	8	including those addressed at drilling equipment and
9	testified of their knowledge to it, and it's been on the	9	operating the well, or are not either consistent with
10	docket for months. So I suppose if anybody else has any	10	the act, or that there are additional conditions
11	objection to it, we can discuss it, but	11	necessary given the specific conditions of this unit.
12	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,	12	There was testimony about how the drill site
13	Mr. Christian.	13	and the well bore will physically occupy property within the write. And the testimenus uses that it will all he or
14	(Exhibit SR 1 admitted.)	14	the unit. And the testimony was that it will all be on the lead of voluntary losse owners. To the extent there
15	MR. CHRISTIAN: One second. Sorry.	15	the land of voluntary lease owners. To the extent there
16	Mr. Administrator, I don't have a lot to add as	16	may be any future use of the uncommitted owners surface estate there was testimony from Mr. Brown that the
17	commentary to the closing, other than to say, Mr. Brown, in particular, provided testimony regarding the key	17	estate, there was testimony from Mr. Brown that the lease provides surface owner protections in the way of
18 19	proposed terms and conditions of integration, which are	18 19	compensation for surface damages and indemnity for
19 20	not provided for by statute, which in this case would be	20	potential liabilities. And, of course, Idaho Code
20 21	the lease, form of lease, and the form of joint	20 21	47-334 remains out there.
21 22	operating agreement, and the bonus to be paid under the	21 22	There is no testimony or evidence provided by
22	lease. And for each of them have established that they	22	any party that additional bonding should be required.
23 24	are consistent with industry standards.	23 24	And there was testimony from Mr. Brown that the proposed
21	Mr. Brown testified that his 40 years of	21	forms do not limit integrated owners that do not choose

are consistent with industry standards.Mr. Brown testified that his 40 years of

25

forms do not limit integrated owners that do not choose

On a	ing Gas LLC to integrate the spacing Ont		August 12, 2021
	Page 78		Page 80
1	to participate from pursuing any private right of action	1	testified at length and as did Mr. Moore, that every
2	they may have against the operator for future harms.	2	voluntary lease in this unit and the vast majority of
3	So for those reasons we submit that the terms	3	voluntary leases in the area are at a one-eighth
4	of integration that are proposed in the application are	4	royalty. That's the market. Likewise, with the one
5	just and reasonable and in accordance with the factors	5	exception of Ms. Lockner, and granting, you know, the
6	set forth by the administrator in his previous order,	6	statute says what it says. Every voluntary lease in
7	and that the application should be granted.	7	this unit and in the surrounding area is at a \$100
8	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,	8	bonus.
9	Mr. Christian.	9	And Mr. Brown testified that the form of lease
10	MR. CHRISTIAN: Thank you.	10	proposed is consistent with the form used with many
11	THE HEARING OFFICER: Mr. Piotrowski, you may	11	other lessors in the unit and in the area. So there is,
12	make	12	in fact, evidence, substantial evidence in the record
13	MR. PIOTROWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Thomas. THE HEARING OFFICER: closing statements.	13	establishing the market value for a lease of minerals in the area.
14	•	14	
15	MR. PIOTROWSKI: In your earlier ruling, you	15	That's all I have. Thank you.
16	had indicated already there would be no opportunity to	16	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,
17	seek additional compensation. And I took that ruling at	17	Mr. Christian.
18	face value, that the compensation terms of this were set	18	I would like to put out a thank you all for
19	by statute, and there was not much going to be adjusting	19	coming today. We will have a public comment period in
20	of the terms, so we haven't done so.	20	this hall tonight at this location at 6:00 p.m. I'll be
21	With that said, what Snake River Oil and Gas	21	here. Maybe the only one here zooming in, it could be
22	requests of you is that for \$100 and one-eighth, it	22	brief. But anyone is welcome to come and provide public
23	should be permitted to drill as many wells as it can	23	comment at that time.
24	justify in this spacing unit in both this proceeding and	24	However, for this proceeding, it is now 11:34
25	any future proceedings, it may. And that the	25	a.m. Mountain time, and the hearing is concluded. I
	Page 79		Page 81
1	integration order will provide \$100 an acre and a	1	will take this under advisement and issue a written
2	one-eighth royalty, whether there is one well, two	2	order. Thank you everyone for your participation today.
3	wells, ten wells, or 200 wells. That is neither just	3	Chris, you can pause the recording at this time.
4	nor reasonable. And no set of other terms could make it	4	MR. GOZZO: The recording has been stopped.
5	just or reasonable.	5	THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you.
6	The fact is that the integration order should	6	(The hearing concluded at 11:34 a.m.)
7	be denied, because the law as clearly established in	7	(
8	Idaho by the commission, and by the Department, prevents	8	
9	landowners from getting the fair value of their	9	
10	property. I know you'll disagree, but that's our	10	
11			
12	DOSITION AND ON THE INAL DASIS THE DEDATIMENT SHOULD		
	position. And on the that basis, the Department should deny the application.	11 12	
	deny the application.	12	
13	deny the application. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you,	12 13	
13 14	deny the application. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Piotrowski.	12 13 14	
13 14 15	deny the application. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Piotrowski. Ms. Vega, would you like to make any closing	12 13 14 15	
13 14 15 16	deny the application. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Piotrowski. Ms. Vega, would you like to make any closing arguments?	12 13 14 15 16	
13 14 15 16 17	deny the application. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Piotrowski. Ms. Vega, would you like to make any closing arguments? MS. VEGA: No closing arguments. Thank you.	12 13 14 15 16 17	
13 14 15 16 17 18	deny the application. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Piotrowski. Ms. Vega, would you like to make any closing arguments? MS. VEGA: No closing arguments. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Again, just out of	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	deny the application. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Piotrowski. Ms. Vega, would you like to make any closing arguments? MS. VEGA: No closing arguments. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Again, just out of convenience. Ms. Vega, and the Department are not	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	deny the application. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Piotrowski. Ms. Vega, would you like to make any closing arguments? MS. VEGA: No closing arguments. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Again, just out of convenience. Ms. Vega, and the Department are not providing any closing arguments.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	deny the application. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Piotrowski. Ms. Vega, would you like to make any closing arguments? MS. VEGA: No closing arguments. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Again, just out of convenience. Ms. Vega, and the Department are not providing any closing arguments. Snake River, if you would like, you may	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	deny the application. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Piotrowski. Ms. Vega, would you like to make any closing arguments? MS. VEGA: No closing arguments. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Again, just out of convenience. Ms. Vega, and the Department are not providing any closing arguments. Snake River, if you would like, you may provide rebuttal at this time.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	deny the application. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Piotrowski. Ms. Vega, would you like to make any closing arguments? MS. VEGA: No closing arguments. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Again, just out of convenience. Ms. Vega, and the Department are not providing any closing arguments. Snake River, if you would like, you may provide rebuttal at this time. MR. CHRISTIAN: A short rebuttal,	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	deny the application. THE HEARING OFFICER: Thank you, Mr. Piotrowski. Ms. Vega, would you like to make any closing arguments? MS. VEGA: No closing arguments. Thank you. THE HEARING OFFICER: Again, just out of convenience. Ms. Vega, and the Department are not providing any closing arguments. Snake River, if you would like, you may provide rebuttal at this time.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	

Page 8	
REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE	1
I, COLLEEN P. DOHERTY, CSR No. 345, Certified	2
Shorthand Reporter, certify:	3
That the foregoing proceedings were taken	4
before me at the time and place therein set forth, at	5
which time the witness was put under oath;	6
That the testimony and all objections made were	7
recorded stenographically by me and transcribed by me or	8
under my direction;	9
That the foregoing is a true and correct record	10
. of all testimony given, to the best of my ability;	11
I further certify that I am not a relative or	12
employee of any attorney or party, nor am I financially	13
interested in the action.	14
IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I set my hand and seal this	15
17th day of August, 2021.	16
,	17
$\sim$	18
Stude Could	19
	20
COLLEEN P. DOHERTY, CSR 345	21
Notary Public	22
P.O. Box 2636	23
	24
Boise, Idaho 83701-2636	

\$ \$10 (4) 21:11;27:12,17;46:17 51:24 \$100 (18) add (1) 20:8;21:16;28:6;29:23; 75:16 32:10,14,15,21;45:5;63:20; 64:9,10;65:3;67:19,21;78:22; 42:2 79:1:80:7 \$250 (6) 38:15;64:1;67:15,24;70:6,16 \$50 (6) 21:18,20;32:10,11,22;45:20 / 78:17 /// (2) 11:24.25 77:8 A AAPL (2) 17:19,20 78:19 able (3) 32:21;37:4;69:16 above (2) 21:6:29:22 absorbs (1) 42:19 abundant (1) 74:2 79:24 accept (4) 8:12;35:4,8,16 accepted (1) 74:17 76:4 access (4) 51:20,24,24;57:25 accordance (1) 18:18 78:5 according (1) 31:10 71:24 accounting (1) 81:1 15:21 affect (1) accurate (2) 24:17 64:22,24 acre (19) 5:14 20:8;21:16,18,20;32:10;39:5. 14,22;45:6;49:25;52:18;63:20; 28:3 64:1,9,10:65:3:67:19,21:79:1 acreage (1) 70:11 64:5 acres (4) 11:415:4;22:24;49:24;64:17 across (3) 42:4 18:9:20:16:21:2 act (3) 8:8,11 33:21:34:8:77:10 action (2) 24:19:78:1 activities (6) 22:22;50:18,23;51:1,2,7 acts(2)

16:23:34:14 actual (6) 30:18;38:1,6;40:25;41:1,5 actually (5) 27:23;41:19;42:9;43:16; added (1) addendum (5) 25:2:31:6,9,20:32:6 additional (18) 10:12;32:9;39:1;51:17; 52:20;53:5,6,9,13,15;57:13; 69:8;72:9,11;73:7;77:10,23; additions (4) 40:21;41:6,11,15 addressed (1) adequate (2) 46:17;71:20 adjusting (1) administrative (3) 74:14;75:7;76:5 administrator (10) 6:1;9:1;11:12;46:10;59:10; 74:13:75:3,16:78:6:79:24 admissibility (1) admission (2) 75:5.8 admit (1) admitted (2) 74:19:75:14 adopted (1) adverse (1) advisement (1) affected (1) affects (1) affidavit (1) affirm (1) affirmative (1) afterward (2) again (13) 9:22;26:25;28:17;48:8; 50:12;51:14;70:7;71:3,7,13,18; 72:10:79:18 against (3)

24:19:34:10:78:2 agencies (1) 76:5 ago (1) 62:22 agree (4) 32:13;33:22;34:9,12 agreed (4) 29:14;36:5;46:25;47:12 agreement (28) 9:10;15:15,17,18;16:4,12; 17:6,17;19:2,12;26:11;30:1,9, 10;32:1;33:17;34:6;35:15; 38:10;40:19;48:3,11;49:13,22; 50:1;51:25;72:18;75:22 agreements (4) 13:6;53:10;57:25;76:10 ahead (6) 12:21;25:11;27:23;45:19; 54:25;61:13 Alabama (1) 13:13 albeit (1) 70:7 allow (5) 8:14;34:9;36:24;50:9,13 almost (3) 32:14;41:17;49:24 along (3) 48:5:51:21:58:11 Alta (2) 43:6.11 Although (2) 11:7;31:11 always (1) 8:2 amended (2) 42:4:45:9 American (1) 17:21 among (1) 15:24 amount (3) 27:14;28:22;45:24 and/or (1) 57:25 annum (2) 33:11,12 answered (1) 40:17 anticipate (3) 9:7;55:6,14 anticipated (3) 65:12,16,20 anticipation (1) 55:6 apologize (2) 25:17;64:20 apparently (1) 76:8 appearing (1) 27:6 appears (1)

34:5 applicable (1) 76:9 applicant (5) 8:9;9:3,16;11:1;25:24 applicants (1) 72:20 application (53) 7:11;9:6,11;13:20,24,25; 14:2,11,15,18;15:11;16:5,13; 17:18;19:20,23;38:2,8;40:14, 25:43:12:44:8,11:48:12,23: 49:14,17;50:17;53:17,18;54:9, 11;55:14;60:14,19,23,24; 61:16;62:14;63:15;64:16,25; 65:24;69:11,19;70:13,18,24; 71:24;77:7;78:4,7;79:12 applied (1) 55:20 applies (1) 26:12 apply (3) 26:16,20,21 applying (2) 36:7;53:25 appreciate (3) 5:9;73:5;74:17 approach (2) 42:10;47:15 appropriate (5) 18:24:52:6:56:21,25:76:19 approval (1) 55:20 approved (1) 51:23 approximately (2) 5:18:58:21 April (1) 62:24 area (20) 17:3;19:6;20:4,16,18;21:2, 21:23:1:37:17;44:3;49:24; 50:1;69:14;71:1,1,16;80:3,7,11, 14 areas (1) 76:14 argue (1) 10:15 argument (1) 75:1 arguments (6) 8:14;74:22,23;79:16,17,20 Arkansas (5) 13:13;18:20;47:23;54:17; 76:8 around (6) 5:11,12;8:23;22:5;27:22; 62:23 arrive (1) 33:8 articulate (1) 61.5 articulated (1)

**Min-U-Script**®

7:12 aside (2) 40:5;62:21 aspects (2) 6:6:31:4 assignment (1) 50:10 associated (1) 15:25 Association (1) 17:21 assume (2) 33:10;53:11 attached (3) 22:18:27:25:52:14 attachments (2) 27:6;28:24 attempt (1) 72:22 Attempted (3) 63:1,2;73:1 attempts (3) 71:23;72:4,17 attorney (1) 40:9 audio (3) 5:7,11,12 August (2) 5:17;6:25 available (1) 10:12 aware (3) 32:1:38:18:47:8 away (2) 48:17:69:24 awesome (1) 59:5 B back (13) 20:1:24:21:28:10.12:30:15: 37:24;38:1,8;40:18;57:17;59:2; 63:7;70:8 background (2) 12:14,15 backup (1) 6:10 Barlow (2) 55:23:71:2 base (1) 71:5 based (2) 33:13;71:3 basically (4) 15:21;16:20;58:4;71:11

27:4:29:12 begin (1) 11:1 beginning (3) 22:16:46:16:60:23 begins (1) 15:11 behalf (2) 16:24;35:5 behind (2) 33:24;66:13 best (2) 37:3:71:7 better (4) 12:20;40:17;41:10;44:9 beyond (2) 57:5;76:25 bit (8) 8:23;13:13,13;46:6;48:17; 52:5,23;61:6 body (3) 18:19;25:3;45:8 Boise (1) 5:24 bonding (1) 77:23 bonus (17) 20:6;21:15;28:6,23;29:23; 38:23;46:20,25;63:19;65:1; 67:14.24;70:6,11;75:22;76:14; 80:8 bore (12) 49:2,3,4,8;50:20;51:4,7,9; 58:5;65:17,20;77:13 both (6) 13:8;60:16;64:7;76:1,13; 78:24 bottom (1) 31:15 break (4) 34:20,22;58:22;59:3 brief (2) 56:8;80:22 briefly (1) 70:7 bring (1) 70:6 broadly (1) 54:5 broker (1) 38:22 brokers (1) 60:17 Brown (33) 9:8;11:13,16,19;12:5;26:11, 24;27:1;28:22;35:23;36:16; 37:3,9,23;40:8;43:3;48:18,22; 50:9;51:15;54:21;55:5;56:12; 62:3;69:7;75:17,25;76:11;77:2, 17,24;79:25;80:9 buildings (4) 23:19;31:17;47:19,19 bullet (1)

45:4 business (5) 12:24;17:23;27:20;35:6;47:9 buy (2) 32:21,22 С CAIA(2)7:22:8:3 Caldwell (1) 48:1 calendar (2) 33:7;74:25 call (10) 11:1,13,16;54:22;58:20;59:9, 11;73:12,17,22 called (1) 9:15 call-in (1) 6:8 calling (1) 54:10 calls (2) 35:18;36:3 came (2) 18:5;64:1 camera (1) 66:23 can (51) 5:1:7:1.18:9:23:12:3.22: 13:24;14:1;15:14;17:19;19:8, 19;23:10;25:24;26:1,6,24; 28:15;30:4;33:24;37:2;38:10; 41:12;42:4;43:19;46:8;47:24; 48:17;50:12;52:10;54:18; 55:10;59:3;60:1,22;61:6;62:19; 64:20;65:1,23;66:22,24;67:1; 69:14;70:1,3,7;74:25;75:11; 78:23:81:3 cap (1) 19:17 capacity (3) 8:4;13:3,4 car (1) 11:14 career (1) 18:4 carried (1) 62:23 case (12) 8:1;10:11,25;16:18,21;26:6; 32:25;33:17;47:18;73:16; 75:20;76:6 catch (1) 64:19 cause (3) 11:21;31:4;59:19 caused (6) 30:23;31:19,21,23;33:20; 34:7 causes (1) 34:25

CC-2021-OGR-01-001 (2) 5:16:6:23 cell (1) 6:16 certain (2) 33:19:41:17 certainly (5) 31:12;32:14;35:14;53:6; 74:17 Chad (4) 47:23;48:1,2,10 change (5) 5:10,11;50:10;52:17;66:9 changed (4) 6:5;49:16;66:5,11 charge (2) 54:4,8 check (1) 46:20 choose (4) 24:17;26:13;27:3;77:25 Chris (8) 7:5;54:15;58:23;59:2;66:22, 24,25;81:3 Christian (51) 8:22;9:1,2;11:4,7,11,12,23; 12:2,22;26:2,5;35:18;36:3,21, 23;37:5;40:4;46:8,10,14;48:17; 54:22,23;55:1,4;56:3,6;58:19; 59:9,10,22,23,25;61:1,13,14, 15;66:16,19;69:1;73:9,13;74:1; 75:3,13,15;78:9,10;79:23; 80:17 circumstances (1) 35:14 City (1) 5:17 claims (2) 33:19.20 clarification (1) 29:15 clarify (3) 28:22;40:12;44:7 clarity (2) 55:2;69:7 clear (1) 29:2 clearly (3) 6:15;61:9;79:7 clients (1) 10:19 closer (1) 12:18 closing (9) 8:14;74:22,23;75:1,17;78:14; 79:15,17,20 Code (5) 5:19;6:3;7:15;48:25;77:20 college (1) 27:17 coming (1) 80:19 comment (3)

basis (5)

batteries (1)

23:14

bear (1)

36.2

become (2)

16:10,10;19:8;71:19;79:11

14:4:80:19.23 commentary (1) 75:17 comments (1) 8:7 commercial (1) 44:3 commission (3) 54:17;58:14;79:8 common (1) 21:13 communicating (1) 63:5 Company (5) 12:9,11;37:10;43:16;64:4 compare (1) 41:5 compensate (1) 37:14 compensation (4) 10:12;77:19;78:17,18 complete (1) 8:13 completed (1) 69:13 component (1) 6:7 computer (1) 5:7 concerns (1) 15:22 conclude (1) 18:23 concluded (2) 80:25;81:6 condition (4) 22:25:52:8:70:2:76:19 conditions (9) 9:12;10:1;22:17,21;29:13; 75:19;76:20;77:10,11 conduct (1) 34:10 conducted (1) 37:10 conducting (1) 6:2 conference (1) 9:13 confirm (3) 70:7,15,16 confirmation (1) 72:7 connection (1) 69:24 consenting (1) 16:9 consequence (1) 11:16 consideration (11) 21:11,12;27:12,13,14,18,18; 39:2:46:16.17.24 considered (3) 7:22;72:18,23

consistent (6) 21:20;22:8;75:24;76:3;77:9; 80:10 consisting (1) 5:20 constant (1) 71:16 contact (7) 61:19;63:3;71:23;72:4,6,9,11 contacted (2) 63:11;72:7 contacting (1) 60:17 contacts (5) 62:13,25;63:1,14;72:2 contained (1) 9:11 contemplated (1) 34:24 continue (3) 8:2:28:19:53:2 continues (1) 6:20 contract (2) 28:3;60:17 contracts (1) 33:14 contrary (1) 76:16 convenience (1) 79:19 conversation (1) 48:5 conversations (2) 6:15:48:10 coordinates (1) 50:3 COPAS(4) 41:20;42:13,14,16 copy (1) 40:25 corner (2) 14:5,22 correctly (2) 27:2,3 corrects (1) 25:25 costs (1) 42:20 Counsel (3) 40:11:73:8,11 counting (1) 24:15 country (1) 18:9 County (2) 5:24;53:20 couple (7) 24:21;28:11;40:12;47:23; 54:23:60:11:62:22 course (3) 24:8:46:8:77:20 court (4)

6:13:28:7,14:67:5 courtesy (2) 56:7;57:12 courts (1) 76:4 cover (5) 40:14;44:11,22;45:23;70:9 covered (1) 49:12 COVID (1) 6:5 crops (4) 23:19;30:23,24;31:17 cross (1) 65:20 **CROSS-EXAMINATION (3)** 26:9;40:6;67:11 crux (1) 72:21 current (1) 41:16 currently (4) 14:14;15:4;65:21;69:22 D dairy (2) 31:11,12 damage (2) 23:18:30:25 damages (9) 23:18;30:23;31:4,17;32:3; 34:7,20;47:16;77:19 date (2) 10:17;57:5 day (2) 8:17:72:2 davs (6) 24:24,25;25:10,15;52:25; 72:2 day-to-day (1) 13:16 deal (1) 61:8 dealt (2) 16:1,21 December (2) 62:23;71:24 decide (1) 57:4 decision (1) 10:14 decisions (1) 5:14 declaration (4) 65:23;66:2,4,13 deem (1) 52:7 deemed (4) 26:16;27:4;29:12;52:12 defend (1) 33:18 defer (6)

27:15:38:19:39:6:41:12: 54:15:68:6 define (1) 48:24 definitely (1) 8:18 degree (1) 12:25 delays (1) 5:10 delivered (1) 46:20 demonstrative (2) 74:12,13 denied (3) 7:22;10:20;79:7 deny (1) 79:12 **Department** (10) 7:19;8:12;40:11;53:17; 57:12;73:25;74:4;79:8,11,19 departure (1) 38:21 departures (1) 70:14 depict (1) 14:10 describe (4) 15:16;62:19;69:14,16 described (1) 58:6 design (1) 42:19 detail (1) 69:14 details (1) 57:6 determination (1) 10:10 determine (1) 7:13 determined (4) 8:3;9:9;52:12;54:1 determining (2) 7:13,16 develop (1) 72:20 development (1) 52:21 deviated (1) 55:7 device (1) 6:10 dictates (1) 15:18 different (7) 29:6,16;39:20;50:11,13; 74:14:76:18 differently (1) 38:11 difficult (1) 12:17 difficulties (3)

Min-U-Script®

#### In the Matter of the Application of Snake River Oil and Gas LLC to Integrate the Spacing Unit...

28:7.14:67:5 diminish (1) 37:16 diminution (6) 37:6,20;47:5,12;76:23;77:1 direct (6) 7:6;12:1;13:22;59:24;62:12; 66:1 directional (1) 65:14 directionally (1) 65:13 directives (1) 70:3 disagree (1) 79:10 disconnected (1) 6:21 discuss (3) 36:12,14;75:11 discussion (5) 57:18.21:63:18:65:7:66:12 displayed (3) 7:5,8,8 disturbance (2) 6:19,20 division (1) 5:25 Dixon (1) 48:1 Docket (3) 5:15:6:22:75:10 document (4) 40:20.21:44:16.18 **Documents** (3) 6:22;7:3;45:22 doke (1) 25:7 done (3) 6:16:43:5:78:20 Donoho (1) 64:6 Down (6) 14:22;25:17;32:7;49:4;52:5; 57:4 draft (1) 44:24 drafting (2) 42:19:44:21 drill (15) 9:20;30:2;32:23;48:23,24; 49:6,7,9;53:6,18;55:15,21; 65:15;77:12;78:23 drilled (9) 24:10;43:22,23;53:9;65:13; 68:4;69:9,13;71:2 drilling (16) 22:22,23;23:3;34:23;36:17; 50:18,23,25;51:2,2,7;53:5; 55:6:56:24:57:5:77:8 dry (1) 32:23 due (1)

71:8 duly (2) 11:20:59:18 during (1) 43:15 E earlier (5) 18:2:22:11:39:21:57:18: 78:15 early (1) 7:20 earn (1) 68:10 earth (1) 49:4 easily (1) 51:15 eastward (1) 58:5 effort (2) 9:24;64:3 efforts (20) 9:20,23;13:17;43:12;60:12, 23;61:18,23;62:9,20,21,21,22, 23;63:6,7;64:15,18,21;72:16 eighth (1) 21:6 either (8) 24:1;26:15;41:13;48:2,10; 63:4:66:25:77:9 elected (3) 17:14;43:7,16 elects (2) 16:6;19:8 elements (2) 9:6:47:16 eloquent (1) 67:4 else (2) 41:9:75:10 elsewhere (1) 23:6 emailed (1) 7:24 employed (1) 39:10 employee (2) 39:13:41:9 encouragement (1) 53:7 end (3) 28:13:66:22:67:2 enforceable (1) 41:5 engage (1) 22:23 engineer (1) 68:6 engineering (1) 42:19 enough (4)

21:8;36:24;37:4;74:24 ensure (1) 7:2 enter (1) 27:3 entering (2) 29:9:68:8 Enterprises (3) 49:23;51:23;65:9 entire (1) 49:1 entry (1) 72:5 enumerated (1) 51:4 envisioned (1) 54:3 equipment (2) 23:13:77:8 erroneous (2) 38:21;70:14 escalated (1) 37:17 Essentially (3) 7:22;9:18;17:6 establish (4) 9:6,9;76:3,18 established (5) 9:17;10:11;75:23;76:20;79:7 establishing (2) 79:25:80:13 estate (2) 47:19:77:17 Estates (1) 72:1 estimate (1) 68:3 even (3) 56:17;61:5;68:5 event (1) 16:5 everyone (7) 5:6;6:14;7:24;28:13,15;46:6; 81:2 evidence (18) 7:4,10;8:9,10,12,13;10:16, 17;37:15;70:17;76:17,20,25; 77:6,22;79:24;80:12,12 evidentiary (1) 5:19 exact (1) 36:13 exactly (2) 11:9;50:4 **EXAMINATION (6)** 12:1;46:13;48:20;55:3; 59:24;69:4 example (5) 42:2,3,17;47:18;51:20 excellent (1) 28:20 except (1) 10:16

Evidentiary Hearing August 12, 2021

exception (6) 52:17;63:19,22,24;67:23; 80:5 excess (2) 15:8,9 Excuse (5) 10:9;20:23;44:10;54:3;70:8 exemptions (1) 70:14 exercise (1) 28:19 exercising (1) 24:18 exhibit (49) 7:3,7;13:23;14:1,5,13;15:2, 10,11;16:13;17:18;19:19;20:2; 23:7;27:7,10;37:24;38:9;40:13, 18;41:6,18,19,19,20,21,22,24; 42:3,18;44:10,10,14;45:3,16; 60:20,22;61:15;63:15;64:15; 65:24;74:1,3,4,9,17,19;75:5,14 Exhibits (2) 6:24;7:1 exist (1) 56:17 existing (2) 19:9;42:25 exists (1) 37:8 expand (1) 52:10 expect (3) 11:7:50:2:68:10 expectation (2) 41:14:42:24 expenses (1) 15:25 experience (11) 12:23;13:5;18:7;22:10;44:2; 47:8;54:10;71:4;76:1,2;77:3 experienced (1) 23:23 explain (2) 16:17;30:11 extend (3) 31:13;45:4;46:1 extends (1) 58:3 extension (2) 20:24;45:20 extent (3) 33:23;75:6;77:15 F

face (1) 78:18 facility (1) 57:20 fact (12) 10:16;21:5,15;27:12;34:24; 37:16;44:25;46:23;77:2;79:6, 24;80:12 factors (9) 7:12,13,17,23;9:8,17;10:10; 66:13:78:5 facts (1) 66:14 fails (1) 33:5 fair (3) 57:3;79:9,25 fairly (2) 21:13;75:7 Fallon (4) 49:23;51:23;58:4;65:9 familiar (6) 13:19;17:22;32:17;39:3; 44:16,24 far (7) 5:5;17:3;24:14;66:9;71:6,15; 74:24 farming (2) 31:11,12 faux (1) 11:10 February (2) 71:25;72:5 feed (1) 31:18 feel (1) 8:25 feet (3) 25:21,22;69:24 fences (2) 23:12:31:18 few (5) 40:12;48:18,23;63:11;71:21 field (5) 39:11;58:7;71:6,11;74:13 filed (2) 13:20;62:15 filing (2) 21:10;70:13 fill (1) 53:18 find (1) 38:4 finished (1) 74:21 **First (11)** 5:15;8:9;11:2,13,20;29:5; 30:21;48:24;59:18;66:1;69:8 five (7) 8:8;20:20,23;22:24;42:21; 49:25;65:6 five-year (3) 20:19,21,24 fix (2) 34:20,22 flexible (1) 75:7 folks (3) 16:21;71:22;74:20 follow (2) 8:9;50:2

followed (1) 8:10 follows (2) 11:21:59:19 follow-up (3) 54:23;56:8;57:13 footages (1) 50:7 footing (1) 19:5 forced (1) 10:19 form (55) 9:9,10;15:15;16:3,12,13; 17:6,17,19,22,22;18:2,7,11,15, 18,20,23;19:2,11,13,16,22; 20:2;21:8,10,23;22:7,13;23:23; 24:16;25:24;26:11;29:7,8,17; 40:25;44:11;45:14,18;46:16, 24;47:22;48:6,6,11,12;75:21, 21;76:6,7,11,14;80:9,10 formally (1) 75:5 forms (4) 22:8;48:3;76:1;77:25 forth (1) 78:6 found (3) 7:19;56:20;69:12 foundation (1) 36:22 four (5)18:6;24:6;29:16;45:3;62:24 frame (2) 43:10,15 free (1) 8:25 front (4) 13:24;14:8;32:6;61:16 Fruitland (2) 5:17.18 fulfill (1) 7:14 full (4) 12:3;47:24;60:1;64:19 function (1) 69:17 FURTHER (3) 55:3;63:7;73:9 future (6) 24:19;57:4;77:2,16;78:2,25 G gap (1) 21:16 gas (35) 6:2;8:6;9:3;12:12,24;16:14; 17:23;18:8,19;26:17,22;27:6; 30:3,14,21;33:18;34:6,10.18. 23;35:1,5,25;36:2,17,19;37:10,

Gas' (1) 34:14 gathering (3) 57:19:69:19.20 general (1) 40:9 generally (9) 15:16,24;16:17;19:1;22:25; 62:19;63:10;65:16;76:7 gentleman (1) 39:14 geologic (1) 71:6 given (4) 46:24;53:7;71:2;77:11 gives (1) 70:25 goes (7) 30:13,15,16;57:17;58:4,6; 65:18 Good (13) 5:1,12;10:5;27:15,18;40:8, 10;45:9;46:23;54:19;61:6;73:4, 14 gosh (1) 71:13 governed (1) 27:5 governing (1) 18:19 Gozzo (6) 7:5,7;58:24;59:6,8;81:4 grant (1) 46:6 granted (1) 78:7 granting (1) 80:5 grass (1) 31:17 gray (1) 14:13 great (1) 28:12 greater (1) 64:12 ground (4) 30:12,15;69:23,25 group (1) 41:10 growing (2) 30:23;31:17 guess (2) 41:10;68:5 GUZZO (1) 5:3 Η Hall (2) 5:17;80:20 happen (1)

happened (2) 39:7.8 hard (4) 24:9,11;26:19;74:22 harm (1) 34:17 harmless (2) 33:19:36:20 harms (2) 24:19:78:2 head (3) 24:12:35:9:59:4 hear (4) 8:9;28:15;70:21;74:3 heard (1) 37:3 HEARING (76) 5:1,4,19;6:2,6,6,8,10,13;7:10; 8:8,16;10:2,5,13,21;11:5,9,18, 22;12:17,21;26:4,20,23;28:10, 15:35:20:36:5.9.23:39:25: 42:11;46:5,12;48:16,21;54:20, 25;56:5;57:10;58:18,25;59:2,5, 8,12,15,21;61:1,12;66:18,25; 67:7;68:15,20;69:2,5;73:6,11, 14,20;74:6,10,16,20;75:12; 78:8,11,14;79:13,18;80:16,25; 81:5,6 help (1) 66:22 higher (1) 19:13 highest (3) 38:23;63:19;70:11 Highway (1) 30:17 hold (4) 33:19;36:20;38:4;67:4 hole (1) 32:23 honorable (1) 11:10 hop (1) 52:5 hope (1) 53:6 horizontal (1) 56:24 hour (1) 8:19 housekeeping (3) 5:5;6:4;75:4 hydrocarbons (3) 56:13;57:1,20 Ι Idaho (20) 5:18,19,24;6:3;7:15,19;8:12; 12:7;13:8,14,16;24:3;31:13; 43:6;48:25;58:14;60:9;73:25;

33.3

13,21;52:14;54:16;60:7;71:4;

76:5;78:21

77:20:79:8

**IDAPA**(1)

6:9 idea (1) 24:12 identical (2) 41:17;43:2 identified (2) 22:9;29:19 identify (4) 8:24;15:14;61:25;66:8 **IDL (3)** 8:7;74:1,19 III (3) 59:11,17;60:3 imaginable (1) 35:14 immediately (1) 13:2 impact (1) 31:10 important (1) 31:12 improvements (1) 31:18 inaction (1) 27:4inadvertently (1) 38:19 include (7) 50:19,20;51:3,7;60:16;62:25; 70:1 included (7) 17:18:23:1:27:14:63:14: 67:14:72:16:77:4 includes (1) 47:18 including (4) 13:17:21:2:38:9:77:8 inconsistency (2) 40:15:44:8 indeed (1) 28:22 indefinitely (1) 53:2 indemnify (2) 33:18;36:20 indemnifying (1) 34:7 indemnity (1) 77:19 indicated (1) 78:16 indicates (1) 7:9 indirectly (1) 76:22 individual (2) 8:1;66:8 individuals (1) 26:13 industries (1) 33:15 industry (5) 18:3,9;75:24;76:1;77:3

inflation (1) 32:18 inform (1) 72:19 information (5) 40:15;44:13,22;69:8;74:5 infrastructure (1) 57:19 ini (1) 70:10 in-person (2) 62:25:63:1 inserted (2) 42:17,25 installation (1) 23:13 instance (2) 16:3;23:11 instructing (1) 48:9 integrate (1) 9:7 integrated (13) 16:6;17:13,14;19:3;20:7; 37:12;43:7,17,20;50:19;55:19; 70:12;77:25 integration (28) 7:11,14;9:12,15,18;10:20; 13:19,23;14:11,15;17:5;43:5, 11;44:1,5;52:6;53:1,21,22; 54:2:60:13.19:70:2.13:75:19: 78:4:79:1.6 integrations (4) 17:2:22:12:76:7.8 intend (1) 9:3 intended (1) 29:19 intent (3) 19:7:25:14.22 intention (1) 72:20 interact (1) 15:20 interest (33) 8:1;10:22;13:6;15:4,19,22; 16:6,15,17;17:10;19:3,4,5,7,9; 20:7;23:22;24:2;26:13;28:4; 41:2;43:7,17;44:5;45:23;48:13; 53:8;54:5,9;61:19;62:1,13; 72:18 interested (1) 63:5 interests (1) 31:5 internal (1) 19:15 interrupt (1) 12:16 into (13) 5:5;8:19,21;10:19;27:4; 28:11,17;29:9;30:14,14;44:4; 49:4:68:8

inundate (1) 48:22 investors (1) 16:20 involved (6) 24:3,13;43:11,13;44:21;48:2 involves (1) 15:24 isolated (1) 49:6 issue (2) 9:23;81:1 issued (1) 55:16 item (6) 22:20;23:6,17;24:22;25:6,18 items (2) 24:21;25:25 J James (1) 10:9 **JOA** (9) 15:13;40:13,19;41:1,5,21,22; 47:22;76:6 JOAs (2) 41:16:43:1 job (2) 60:4:73:4 ioint (11) 9:10;15:16,18;16:3,12;17:6, 17;19:11;40:19;48:11;75:21 Joy (1) 40:8 June (2) 7:9,18 justify (1) 78:24 K key (1) 75:18 Killebrew (2) 50:8;51:23 kind (5) 21:8;22:1,1;44:21;71:14 kinds (1) 23:19 knowledge (14) 18:1,18;27:21;38:17;63:25; 64:2,11,18,23;65:14;66:5,15; 71:3;75:9 knowledgeable (1) 43:14 known (1) 43:16 L labeled (1) 17:18

lack (2) 36:22:71:8 land (6) 12:25;29:24;31:12;65:9,20; 77:15 landman (17) 13:2,4;38:20,20,22;39:2,6,9, 11,12;47:9,11;53:12;60:5,6; 71:6,13 Landmen (1) 17:21 landowner (1) 23:14 landowners (3) 60:17;73:1;79:9 Lands (6) 8:13;40:11;50:18;51:10; 53:17;73:25 Lands' (1) 7:19 language (2) 21:12;25:19 Larsons (1) 72:1 last (7) 28:21;45:4;60:11;62:14; 63:11;72:15;73:13 late (1) 33:8 law (1) 79:7 lawyer (1) 27:19 lead (1) 18:23 lease (72) 9:9:15:5:19:22:20:3:21:5.5.8. 10,16,23;22:2,7,8,13,18,20; 23:6,7,24;24:16,17,22,22;25:4, 24;27:4,5,6,9,13,14,24;28:24; 29:4,7,12,17;30:21;31:2,20; 33:1;38:6;39:4,17,19;45:14,18; 46:16,21;47:1,9;52:8,14;53:10; 62:8;64:11,25;65:2,2;66:10; 67:14;75:21,21,23;76:11;77:5, 15,18;80:2,6,9,13 leased (19) 9:19,21;14:18;26:16;48:24; 52:1,3,7,13;62:4;64:7,9,17,22; 65:21;66:9;69:23,25;70:12 leases (25) 10:18;13:5;20:3,16,18;21:2, 13,21;22:14;23:1,3;24:13,13; 27:16,23;29:9,16;38:9;39:20; 53:11;67:18;68:9;76:13;77:5; 80:3 leasing (5) 13:17;44:13;60:12;64:3,18 least (7) 11:10;18:17;57:6;60:11; 62:9,12;76:22 left-hand (2) 14:5,22

length (1) 80:1 lengthy (2) 9:4:53:18 less (3) 22:24;32:24;72:2 lessee (11) 23:17;30:22;31:9,16,22;32:2; 33:5,17,22;34:6;77:4 lessee/operator (1) 47:11 lessee's (3) 31:3,19,22 lessor (4) 31:21;34:17;46:21,24 lessors (14) 23:23;26:15;29:14;31:3; 33:19;34:7;35:14,24;36:18,20; 40:16;68:3,10;80:11 lessor's (3) 31:10.23;47:12 letter (4) 44:11,23;45:23;63:15 liabilities (1) 77:20 liability (5) 33:23;34:9,14;35:4,8 liable (2) 34:15,18 likely (1) 68:4 Likewise (1) 80:4 limit (4) 6:15;52:21;74:22;77:25 limitation (4) 22:22;23:3;33:23;35:7 limited (2) 8:8:33:20 line (2)36:10;67:1 lines (4) 48:6;51:21;69:19,20 listed (1) 63:6 lists (1) 6:25 little (16) 8:23;10:15;11:10;13:13; 20:17;30:13,16;38:11;46:6; 48:17;52:5,23;58:7,7;61:6; 69:13 live (2) 12:6.7 livestock (2) 23:19:31:17 LLC (2) 9:3:43:6 location (8) 30:4,4;49:1,7,10:69:9,10; 80:20 Lockner (4) 38:14;64:4;68:1;80:5

Lockner's (1) 63:22 long (4) 18:1;22:5;24:1;52:22 longer (4) 20:17;62:15,18;71:11 look (7) 14:1;22:16;31:8;32:7;41:4; 60:22;65:23 looking (13) 7:2;14:6;27:10;30:20,21; 32:5;33:16;34:1;38:13;40:18; 42:12;66:23,24 loss (4) 34:25;36:17,19;37:11 losses (6) 31:21,23;35:15,17,24;37:14 lost (2)30:19;67:1 lot (4) 32:24;33:2;63:4;75:16 loud (1) 35:11 loudly (1) 6:15 Louisiana (1) 13:12 lower (2) 14:5,22 lunch (2) 8:19,20 Μ mail (4) 62:25;63:1,5,14 mailed (1) 7:17 majority (2) 21:1:80:2 management (1) 13:1 managing (2) 13:15;60:17 many (11) 6:4;15:3;16:22,22;18:14; 24:5,12;73:1;76:2;78:23;80:10 March (2) 7:11;72:5 mark (1) 38:5 marked (1) 13:22 market (5) 10:18;36:18;37:11;80:4,13 marketed (1) 30:5 materially (2) 41:15;42:25 materials (3) 9:5.11:60:20 matter (8) 10:13,13,14;13:20;19:17; 31:10

40:12:55:15:75:4 matters (1) 74:14 may (30) 6:20;8:22;11:11,23;24:9,11; 37:1;40:2;42:10;54:9,22,23; 57:17;59:9,22;66:20;67:1; 68:17:69:8,12,15:73:12,23; 74:21;75:1;77:16;78:2,11,25; 79:21 maybe (5) 38:10;50:3;55:2;61:5;80:21 mean (4) 9:16;52:22;72:24,25 means (1) 59:5 measure (1) 33:15 member (2) 7:5;12:12 mention (2) 45:7:68:22 mentions (2) 27:11:69:19 merely (1) 67:14 Meridian (1) 5:24 Mesa (1) 43:6 Mesa's (1) 43:11 method (1) 30:7 Michael (1) 9:2 Mick (1) 5:25 microphone (2) 6:20;12:18 microphones (1) 6:18 middle (1) 45:3 might (6) 10:14;16:9;35:15,24;56:21, 25 Mike (6) 27:16;41:12,13;45:9;64:19; 66:3 mind (3) 40:4;58:22;61:3 mine (1) 25:11 mineral (23) 8:1;10:22;13:6;15:4;16:6; 17:14;19:3,7;20:7;27:3,25; 44:12;45:5,23;52:7;53:8;61:19; 62:1,13;64:17;72:18,20;76:17 minerals (2) 6:1:80:13 minimize (1)

minute (1) 24:7minutes (2) 8:8:74:24 Mississippi (1) 13:13 model (2) 15:15:26:11 moment (7) 15:7;26:24;30:20;55:8,18; 56:19;68:2 monetary (1) 32:17 monitor (1) 8:23 month (1) 33:7 months (3) 62:14,24;75:10 **Moore (26)** 9:23;11:13;40:17;41:9;44:9; 45:1;51:15;59:11,12,17;60:1,3; 61:2;67:13;69:6,11,18;70:15, 22,24;71:10,20,21;72:15;73:4; 80:1 more (10) 32:15;35:21;42:4;49:3,18; 52:5;54:16;69:14;70:20;71:22 morning (5) 5:1,10;7:20;40:8,10 most (1) 18:8 mostly (1) 9:8 Mountain (2) 58:21;80:25 move (4) 15:10;30:3;57:20;75:8 moved (1) 75:5 moving (2) 8:21:50:14 much (8) 10:2,6;11:18;12:20;48:23; 55:12;71:5;78:19 multiple (4) 15:21;22:3;63:11;75:8 multiply (1) 33:11 Musser (2) 65:3:66:7 Mussers (3) 14:21;62:7;65:1 mute (5) 5:6;6:17,19;28:11,16 muted (2) 6:21;66:21 Ν

name (3) 5:25;12:3;60:1 names (2)

47:25;66:8 Nathan (1) 48:1 nature (1) 56:23 navigable (1) 6:1 near (1) 34:25 nearby (2) 71:2;76:10 necessary (5) 9:6,17,24;75:6;77:11 need (5) 8:17;36:25;42:6,8;74:25 needed (3) 6:12;8:16,18 needs (1) 45:8 negligence (1) 34:8 negligent (2) 33:21;34:11 negotiate (1) 49:22 negotiated (2) 49:25;53:11 negotiating (1) 13:5 neither (1) 79:3 net (2) 15:3:45:5 next (6) 33:3;39:13;54:22;58:20; 59:9:73:12 nickname (1) 22:1nobody (1) 77:4 nodding (1) 35:9 nonconsenting (1) 16:10 nonresponse (1) 63:4 nor (1) 79:4 North (3) 5:23;50:8;51:22 Northeast (2) 5:23:14:23 Northwest (1) 5:22 notes (2) 46:7;48:18 notice (2) 7:9;53:19 noticed (1) 53:8 notification (1) 53:16 notion (1)

32:17 number (12) 6:8;7:3,4,4;15:6,12;24:24; 25:9.14:33:8.12:40:20 numbered (1) 30:18 numbers (5) 14:5;42:1,17,18,24 0 oath (2) 11:2;59:16 object (1) 8:3 objecting (1) 76:17 **Objection** (4) 35:18;36:3,21;75:11 objections (1) 74:8 obtain (1) 9:17 **Obviously** (2) 10:15;29:7 occasion (2) 72:19,23 occupy (1) 77:13 occur (3) 50:18:77:1.1 occurred (1) 71:24 occurring (2) 35:25;71:25 occurs (1) 34:24 off (2) 24:11:67:6 offer (8) 11:2;44:13;45:23;59:15; 63:14:72:6:74:11.21 offered (5) 10:17,17;63:19;76:21,25 office (1) 40:9 **OFFICER (58)** 5:1,4;10:2,5,21;11:9,18,22; 12:21;26:4,23;28:10,15;35:20; 36:5,9,23;39:25;42:11;46:5,12; 48:16.21:54:20.25:56:5:57:10: 58:18,25;59:2,8,12,15,21;61:1, 12;66:18;67:7;68:15,20;69:2,5; 73:6,11,14,20;74:6,10,16,20; 75:12;78:8,11,14;79:13,18; 80:16;81:5 OGCC(2) 6:23:7:2 OGCCidahogov/administrative/hearings (1) 6:24 oil (38) 6:1;8:6;9:3;12:8,11,12,23; 16:14;17:23;18:8,19;26:17,22;

27:5:30:3.21:33:18:34:6.10.14. 18,23,25;35:1,5,25;36:1,17,19; 37:10,13,21;52:14;54:16;60:6; 71:3:76:5:78:21 Okie (1) 25:7 Oklahoma (1) 13:12 omission (1) 33:21 one (36) 8:19:14:17:15:12:22:3:33:6, 8;38:1,24;39:5,14,22;40:14; 43:17;44:7;45:4;47:24;48:12; 49:3;50:14;53:23,24;57:14; 58:14;61:8;62:4;63:19;67:23, 23;70:20,24;71:16;72:5;75:15; 79:2;80:4,21 one-acre (6) 38:14,18,22;63:22;67:15,24 **One-eighth (8)** 20:11;21:3;29:23;64:12; 65:4;78:22;79:2;80:3 online (1) 24:6 only (7) 21:11;26:12;32:11;34:10; 68:22;70:17;80:21 opening (8) 8:5,7,21,25;9:4;10:3,7,23 operated (2) 15:21:43:23 operating (17) 9:10;15:15,17,18;16:4,12; 17:6,17;19:2,11;26:11;38:10; 40:19;48:3,11;75:22;77:9 operation (1) 16:24 operational (1) 69:17 operations (19) 13:16;18:12;21:24;22:24; 23:24;24:3;30:23;31:4,11,19; 34:16,17,19;35:25;37:10; 69:12,12,15;76:9 operator (19) 7:17;16:23;17:9,11;23:21,22; 24:2,3,19;33:5;42:7,19;50:10, 14;63:8;72:17,23;76:23;78:2 operator's (1) 72:16 opinion (3) 70:4;71:10,19 opportunity (3) 41:4;58:19;78:16 opposed (2) 31:24;32:3 opted (1) 44:4 option (9) 20:14,19,22,24;21:18;45:4; 46:1:52:17.18 optional (1)

65:6 order (16) 7:14,16;9:18;10:20;11:15; 25:25;29:3;50:9;52:6;53:22; 55:15;73:25;78:6;79:1,6;81:2 orders (2) 7:12:54:2 otherwise (5) 33:21;34:8,11;47:9;56:24 ought (1) 76:23 out (21) 6:8;11:15;13:2;18:5;21:9; 24:25;25:9;29:16;30:12;32:23; 35:3,11;40:15;53:18;56:7; 57:12;71:16,22;77:21;79:18; 80:18 outfits (1) 29:16 outlined (2) 7:23:50:11 outside (1) 5:14 over (13) 5:8;6:1,2;24:7;28:9;30:16; 58:7;61:3;62:24;63:11;64:16, 22;67:8 overall (1) 36:17 overlaid (1) 56:13 own (3) 44:3;49:23:64:4 owned (1) 36:18 owner (22) 14:20:16:6:17:14:19:8; 23:11,18;24:18;29:24;30:1; 31:16;32:3;38:14,18,25;41:2; 43:7,8,17;62:4;70:12;72:7; 77:18 owners (35) 7:18;8:1,6,11;10:22;13:6; 15:19,22;19:3,4;20:7;23:8; 24:17;26:13;27:3;28:4;44:5,12; 45:24;52:7;53:9;58:1,9;61:19; 62:1,14;63:2,10;71:23;72:18, 19;76:17;77:15,16,25 owner's (1) 69:20 owns (1) 64:5 Р pad (3)

30:8;51:18;69:23 **page (25)** 7:3,4,7;14:4,6;15:11;19:25; 20:2;22:16,16,21;25:6,19; 27:10;30:20,21;33:16;34:3; 38:8,12,13;41:23;42:2,17; 60:24 pages (3) 27:6;41:18;42:1 paid (10) 20:7,10;21:16;27:13;38:15; 39:14;63:20;65:3;70:11;75:22 paragraph (16) 27:11;29:5;30:18,19,22,22; 31:7,8:32:7:33:4,16:34:1.5: 38:13;42:3;66:12 paragraphs (1) 25:8 parentheses (2) 25:1,12 part (5) 9:25;16:4;19:23;47:19;49:7 participants (1) 18:8participate (7) 8:3;16:6,9;17:15;19:8;24:18; 78:1 participated (2) 47:10.11 participating (1) 44:1 participation (1) 81:2 particular (6) 22:7;38:25;39:9;64:1;75:18; 76:12 parties (5) 7:6;9:14,25;42:5;74:21 partner (8) 12:8;17:11;23:22;24:2; 41:13;43:13,25;54:15 partners (9) 16:15,18,25;19:5,6,12,15; 48:7,13 party (3) 18:15;61:8;77:23 pas(1)11:10 pass(1)51:9 passes (1) 58:5 passing (2) 50:20;51:4 path (4) 58:5;65:16,17,20 pathway (2) 58:1,11 patience (2) 28:20;67:9 pause (2) 58:23;81:3 paused (1) 58:24 pay (8) 15:19;23:17;30:22;31:16; 32:2;34:22;47:12,15 Payette (1) 5:24 payment (11)

28:6:29:23:33:9:38:24:39:4; 45:20:64:1:67:15.24:70:7.11 payments (3) 28:23:29:22:33:6 **PDF** (1) 7:4 penalty (5) 19:13;33:7,8;66:14;70:25 People (2) 6:11:15:19 per (6) 32:10:33:11,12:45:5:65:3: 67:19 percent (17) 9:19:15:6,8,9:19:16,16:33:7, 8,11,12;42:21,21,21;64:16,21, 22;70:25 percentages (1) 42:20 perhaps (2) 44:7,9 period (5) 8:19;52:18;53:19;63:7;80:19 permission (1) 58:8 permit (2) 53:20;55:15 permitted (1) 78:23 permitting (1) 13:17 person (4) 6:7.11:63:3:68:5 personal (5) 31:18,24;32:4;63:25;64:2 personally (4) 41:7;60:16;62:12;68:12 petroleum (3) 12:25;13:2;17:21 phase (1) 71:18 phone (6) 5:6;28:17;59:4,13;61:4;63:4 phones (2) 6:17;28:11 physical (1) 34:19 physically (1) 77:13 picked (1) 66:10 Piotrowski (57) 7:21,25;10:7,9,10;12:16,20; 26:6,8,10,21,23;27:1;28:10,13, 16,19,21;35:13,21,23;36:6,7,9, 15,16,25;37:2,9;39:23;40:1; 46:15;47:3;56:7,9,11;57:9,11; 66:20,21,23;67:3,7,10,12; 68:13,16;73:16,18;74:3,7,8; 76:22;78:11,13,15;79:14 Piotrowski's (1) 45:25 Pipeline (5)

30:8,13,15:58:1,15 pipelines (2) 23:12:57:19 place (6) 12:18;30:19;38:5;57:20; 58:2;74:14 placed (1) 69:20 placement (1) 56:21 places (1) 25:8 placing (1) 52:21 plat (3) 14:3,7,10 Please (25) 6:15,16,17;7:3;8:24;12:4; 14:1;19:20;28:17,19;30:11; 32:7;35:22;37:23;38:8,12; 42:11;46:12;58:23;60:22;61:3, 5;67:4,7;72:10 plus (3) 15:7;24:4,15 pm (2) 7:1;80:20 podium (3) 6:11;8:22;40:3 point (5) 21:9:67:13:69:24:71:10:75:7 points (2) 36:11:45:4 pool(1)57:1 pools (1) 56:20 position (1) 79:11 positive (1) 18:21 possibility (1) 56:13 possible (2) 52:25;56:15 posted (1) 7:1 potential (5) 40:14,15;53:12;68:9;77:20 precautions (1) 31:10 predecessor (2) 39:10.15 predecessors (1) 29:8 predominance (1) 49:24 pre-hearing (2) 9:13:73:24 premises (1) 30:24 prepared (1) 41:11 preparing (4)

48:3,6,10:61:22 present (2) 30:3:73:19 presentation (1) 8:13 presented (1) 44:22 presenting (1) 10:23 presiding (1) 6:2 presumably (1) 44:25 presume (1) 44:12 pretty (2) 43:14;53:18 prevents (1) 79:8 previous (7) 17:13;21:10;24:3;45:13; 63:8;76:6;78:6 previously (5) 43:4;54:2;65:8;69:13;76:4 primarily (1) 41:18 primary (4) 20:24;45:5;58:14;65:6 prior (8) 17:9;23:22;43:5;44:18; 70:12;72:16,17,22 private (2) 24:18:78:1 privy (1) 69:16 probably (5) 11:14;18:17;39:11;71:17; 72:24 problem (1) 66:25 problems (1) 23:23 procedure (1) 42:3 procedures (1) 43:5 proceed (5) 8:5;11:11,23;52:24;59:22 proceeding (3) 21:10;78:24;80:24 proceedings (2) 17:5:78:25 process (5) 44:5;50:11,14;53:14,14 processing (1) 57:20 produced (2) 24:7,14 Producers (2) 22:3,5 producing (1) 24:5 product (1)

Oil and Gas LLC to Integrate the	e Spacing Unit	1	August 12, 202.
20.14	( <b>2</b> )	7.10.69.4	
30:14	purview (2)	7:10;68:4	repeat (5)
production (7)	35:3;71:23	received (3)	28:20;33:25;36:25;37:2;
23:13;71:5,8,9,15,16,17	put (2)	55:20;67:24;74:1	64:20
project (1)	51:15;80:18	recent (2)	reporter (7)
42:20	puts (1)	62:21,22	6:14;26:19;28:7,8,14;35:11;
properties (6)	19:4	recess (2)	67:5
37:11;44:3,4;47:5;52:1,3	putting (2)	8:20;59:1	represent (1)
property (23)	9:7;74:22	recesses (2)	8:24
27:25;28:4;29:24;30:2;31:5,		8:15,18	representing (2)
13,19,24,24;32:3,4;34:25;35:1;	Q	record (12)	7:25;9:2
36:1,18;37:16,16;47:12;69:21;		5:12,15;6:22;12:4;20:1;60:2;	request (2)
76:24;77:1,13;79:10	quarter (7)	67:6;68:20;70:8,16;79:25;	7:22;53:15
propose (1)	5:21,21,22,23;14:23;49:16,	80:12	requesting (1)
52:21	17	recorded (4)	70:25
proposed (17)	quick (1)	6:9,13;21:13;68:23	requests (1)
8:11;18:23;19:23;20:6,9,12,	61:2	recording (9)	78:22
13;24:16;51:18;65:8;72:21;	quite (1)	5:2,3;6:10;58:23,24;59:3,6;	require (1)
75:19;76:11;77:7,24;78:4;	67:3	81:3,4	23:17
80:10	07.3	RECROSS-EXAMINATION (2)	required (3)
	R	56:10;57:15	6:9;72:3;77:23
proposing (3)	K		
19:2;21:15;53:1		red (1)	requirement (1)
protect (1)	Rader (1)	14:6	7:15
23:14	48:1	redirect (4)	reservoir (1)
protection (1)	raise (2)	46:9,13;55:3;73:8	68:6
23:16	9:13,22	reference (5)	residence (1)
protections (3)	ranching (2)	7:3;20:1;25:18;29:4;46:15	44:2
23:7;31:3;77:18	31:11,12	referenced (2)	residential (1)
protects (2)	Range (1)	24:24;28:23	44:4
23:11,15	5:23	referring (1)	resolved (1)
prove (1)	rate (2)	49:1	5:13
74:4	70:16,16	refers (1)	resource (1)
proven (4)	rates (1)	21:11	72:20
71:9,15,16,17	54:18	reflects (3)	respond (1)
provide (9)	reach (2)	63:10;64:16,21	7:21
8:15;11:1;23:7;32:2;50:3;	56:25;72:17	regarding (7)	response (4)
74:5;79:1,22;80:22	reached (1)	7:10;9:8;23:12;66:13;69:18;	6:5;7:21,24;72:6
provided (7)	10:14	71:21;75:18	responsibility (4)
5:19;53:10;75:18,20;76:17;	reaching (1)	regulators (1)	31:21,23;35:16;36:2
77:7,22	71:22	58:15	responsible (9)
provides (4)	read (1)	regulatory (1)	13:15;35:2;37:6,21;47:4;
31:2;32:9;33:5;77:18	48:18	54:13	60:12;61:22;64:3;76:23
providing (1)	reading (1)	rejected (1)	restricted (2)
79:20	66:7	76:4	53:23,24
provision (1)	ready (1)	related (2)	result (5)
33:4	66:3	34:19;42:20	34:17;35:15,25;37:11;38:23
provisions (1)	real (6)	relating (2)	resulting (2)
29:22	27:25;28:4;31:24;32:3;	11:20;59:18	33:20;34:8
proximity (1)	47:19;61:2	relationship (4)	results (1)
36:1	really (4)	26:16,20,21;27:5	36:17
public (4)	5:9;39:9;71:5;74:11	remains (1)	resume (10)
53:19;58:14;80:19,22	reason (4)	77:21	9:20,23;60:23;61:18,22;62:9;
punitive (1)	10:19;19:1;55:25;76:18	remember (5)	63:6;64:15,21;72:16
33:15	reasonable (12)	43:18;50:1,7;54:17;55:9	retroactively (1)
purchase (1)	7:13,15,16;9:11;10:15;31:9,	reminded (1)	45:10
38:22	16;54:4,8;78:5;79:4,5	6:19	revenue (1)
purpose (2)	reasons (2)	remotely (1)	15:25
15:17;16:5	70:24;78:3	59:19	reviewed (2)
purposes (2)	rebuttal (2)	renewal (2)	39:17;66:2
31:14;55:1	79:22,23	20:14;21:18	Richard (5)
pursuant (3)	recall (12)	renewing (1)	11:16,19;12:3,5,22
6:3;27:13;73:24	43:8,15,19,24,24,25;46:18;	32:25	Ridge (1)
pursuing (1)	47:6;48:5,9,14;57:21	rental (1)	72:1
78:1	receive (2)	11:14	right (38)

39:5 5:7;11:9,16,22;14:25;16:7; scan (1) 7.9.15.19:65:8:71:11:77:12 17:3:24:5.17.19:27:7:28:1.18. 70:20 sites (1) speculation (3) scheduled (1) 35:19:36:4:76:25 24:35:8:38:25:47:16:54:12.14. 23:12 speculative (1) 20,21;56:1,14,17,21;57:1,7; 8:16 sits (1) 58:20;63:16,20,21,23;66:8; school (1) 54:16 36:10 67:25;68:8;69:11;70:17;78:1 13:2 six (2) spot (1) second (11) right-of-way (1) 17:2:24:7 24:23 58:3 15:12:27:11:37:25:38:4.21: skimming (1) spots (1) rights (1) 40:16;45:8;55:21;56:1;71:25; 24:23 40:19 27:25 75:15 slower (1) SR (11) Section (8) 61:6 13:23;15:11;20:2;27:10; riser (6) 5:21,22,22,23;14:23;49:16, 30:6,8,10,11,15;58:6 small (1) 37:24;38:7,8,9;60:20;75:5,14 18;55:23 24:21 SR-1(1) rises (1) 30:12 seek (1) Snake (47) 38:6 risk (3) 78:17 7:11;8:6,10;9:3;12:12;13:16; SROG's (1) 16:14,23;17:10;19:12;23:21; 19:13;66:14;70:25 senior (3) 33:23 15:7;55:8,18 24:1;26:17,22;29:8,19;33:18; staff (1) Rita (3) 38:14;63:22;68:1 sent (3) 34:6,10,13,18;35:1,5;36:1,19; 7:6 6:8;40:15;44:12 staffers (1) River (41) 37:6,13,20;41:1,23;44:11,12; 8:6,10;9:3;12:12;13:16; separate (3) 46:9;47:4;48:13;50:17;53:4,15; 47:23 16:14,23;17:10;19:12;23:21; 72:19,23;73:2 55:19;58:8;60:6,12;68:24;72:7; standards (1) 24:1;26:17,22;29:8,19;33:18; separately (1) 75:1;78:21;79:21 75:24 34:6,10,14,18;35:1,5;36:1,19; 30:24 sneak (1) standby (2) 37:6.13.21:41:1:46:9:47:4: set (5) 61:2 26:24:66:24 48:13;53:15;55:20;58:8;60:6; 5:18;41:7;78:6,18;79:4 sole (1) start (8) 68:24;72:1,8;75:1;78:21;79:21 12:11 5:2;26:24;28:9;50:25;53:16; setback (1) River's (7) 25:19 somebody (1) 59:3;67:8;74:6 7:11;41:23;44:11,13;50:17; seven (1) 28:9 started (2) 53:4;60:12 24:7 somebody's (1) 5:3;62:23 Road (4) shaded (1) 34:25 starts (1) 50:8:51:20,20,22 14:13 somewhat (1) 41:23 shaking (1) 19:13 state (4) roads (1) 23:12 59:4 soon (2)12:3;18:20;60:1;76:8 Rock (2) shall (7) 52:24:55:15 stated (2) 22:23;30:22;31:9,16;32:2; 49:17;54:2 64:6,6 sorry (12) 33:6.18 12:16;19:25;29:5;30:19; statement (8) **room** (1) 8:25;9:4;10:3,24;27:9,11; share (2) 33:24,25;35:10;38:7;52:2;54:7; 28:16 10:8:49:21 38:13:68:9 rough (1) 72:13:75:15 shared (1) 24:12 source (1) statements (4) Roughly (1) 53:12 56:1 8:5,21;10:8;78:14 18:14 short (1) south (1) states (15) rovalties (2) 79:23 65:17 13:9,11;17:24;18:12,16,19, 68:3,11 showed (1) Southeast (2) 21;21:24;22:9;48:23;49:14; 5:21;49:17 50:18;54:10;70:2;76:2 royalty (10) 63:5 20:9;21:3;29:23;33:6;64:13; side (4) Southwest (3) stating (1) 65:1,4;76:15;79:2;80:4 6:15;41:8,8;54:13 5:21;49:16;50:6 70:11 Royce (4) spacing (16) statute (6) signed (8) 50:11,14;70:10;75:20;78:19; 47:24;48:1,2,10 41:1,5,16;43:1;45:1;46:21; 5:20;7:18;9:7,19;14:10;29:9; rules (2) 47:1:66:6 37:12;53:5;56:14;60:13;61:20; 80:6 36:7;75:7 silence (1) 64:12;67:15;70:12;72:21;78:24 statutes (1) ruling (2) 6:16 speak (11) 72:3 6:12,14;8:2;9:23;11:15;39:2, 78:15.17 silent (1) statutory (1) 15,16;61:3,5,9 28:13 19:17 S similar (16) speaking (5) stepping (1) 40:5 16:13;18:11;20:3,15;22:4,11, 5:8;6:18;15:24;18:14;19:1 13;29:10,18;31:3;39:20;69:12, safe (1) special (2) still (6) 15;76:10,12,12 22:17,20 11:14;30:20;33:16;37:23; 21:1 specific (5) same (15) Simplot (1) 66:14,21 7:2;17:6;19:4,8;20:15;25:19; 58:7 7:7;35:21;36:11,24;77:11 stipulate (4) 29:8,10,13;36:21;41:15;42:25; sit (3) specifically (7) 9:14,16,25;74:2 69:25;72:25,25 56:12,19;69:23 36:11,13;49:18;53:22;71:25; stop (1) site (13) save (1) 76:5,24 15:3 9:24 Specifics (1) 9:20;29:20,24;48:24,25;49:6, stopped (1)

81:4 strikeouts (4) 40:20:41:6.11.15 **SUA** (1) 58:6 subject (7) 14:11,15;29:13;52:13;60:13; 61:20;76:24 submit (4) 9:5:25:24:73:25:78:3 submitted (5) 6:25;9:5,10;13:23;16:4 submitting (1) 55:14 substantial (1) 80:12 suffer (2) 35:15,25 sufficient (4) 9:19,20;21:12;46:24 suggested (1) 66:13 suggestions (1) 76:21 summarize (1) 23:10 summary (1) 12:23 Sun (1) 12:7 supervision (3) 54:5.9.11 supply (1) 56:1 suppose (1) 75:10 sure (8) 5:12;6:14;37:2;54:25;61:9; 67:3:68:22:70:9 surface (22) 13:6;23:8,8,18;30:1,9,10; 31:16;32:2;47:16;49:1,6,10,12, 22;50:1;51:17,25;69:10;77:16, 18,19 surfaces (1) 23:8 surrounding (4) 37:12;47:5;76:14;80:7 Sustained (2) 35:20;36:5 swear (1) 11:4 sworn (2) 11:20;59:18 Т talk (1) 74:25 talked (1) 52:18 talking (3) 39:12,12;45:13

targets (1) 55:25 TD (3) 49:8;51:2;64:6 technical (3) 28:7,14;67:5 ten (3) 24:4;71:15:79:3 Tentatively (1) 74:23 term (16) 20:12,13,17,21,24;22:25; 25:21;32:9,10;45:5;52:7;65:2, 5;76:15,18;77:4 terms (21) 7:14;9:12;10:1;20:15,15; 22:13,17,21;29:13;39:3;52:13, 14;75:19;76:4,14,20;77:7;78:3, 18,20;79:4 testified (11) 11:21;39:21;43:4;59:19; 62:3;75:9,25;76:3,11;80:1,9 testify (1) 39:13 testimony (18) 7:10;9:8;11:1,5,8;43:8; 45:13;57:18;75:18;76:16,19; 77:2,6,12,14,17,22,24 Texas (2) 13:1,12 Thanks (1) 5:9 thinking (1) 50:21 Thomas (4) 5:25;36:8;71:18;78:13 thought (1) 67:4 thousand (2) 18:17:49:24 three (13) 18:5;20:19,20;29:16;32:11, 15,22;33:3;39:11;42:21;45:5; 49:25;65:6 three-year (5) 20:13,14,22;32:9,10 **Throughout (4)** 18:4;42:1,16;76:2 Thum (1) 21:9 Thursday (1) 5:16 timely (1) 9:15 times (3) 18:6;63:11;73:1 tiny (1) 13:13 title (1) 60:4 today (13) 6:3;8:17;10:18,23;11:11;

80:19;81:2 together (1) 15:23 tomorrow (1) 8:17 ton (1) 5:9 tonight (1) 80:20 took (1) 78:17 top (1) 24:12 topics (1) 15:21 Township (1) 5:23 track (1) 64:17 tracked (1) 48:12 tract (13) 14:17,21;29:20;38:14,18.24; 39:17;48:6;62:10;63:23;67:15, 23.24 tracts (3) 14:14;22:24;67:21 training (2) 12:15.23 transcribed (1) 61:4 traveling (1) 11:14 treated (1) 30:24 Trendwell (1) 43:16 true (4) 13:8;35:24;36:16;66:14 truly (1) 71:1 truth (5) 11:5,6,6,20;59:18 try (1) 68:5 trying (3) 29:11;38:4;67:13 Tuesday (1) 6:25 turn (6) 8:22;37:24;38:1,8,12;48:17 two (10) 20:23;24:23;25:25;39:11; 42:4,21;45:22;62:12,14;79:2 two- (1) 20:22 two-year (2) 20:18,24 type (3) 6:6;23:13,24 types (3) 30:25;33:19;34:14

6:5:47:15 typically (2) 15:18;46:20 typo (2) 45:8,19 U ultimate (1) 66:9 ultimately (2) 27:24:55:20 unable (1) 63:3 uncommitted (11) 7:17;8:6,11;10:22;14:14; 61:19,25;62:13;69:20;72:19; 77:16 under (10) 15:4;23:22,23;24:2;30:15; 52:7;67:21;74:24;75:22;81:1 underground (3) 50:20:51:4.9 unidentified (1) 56:25 unit (43) 5:20;7:18;8:7,11;9:7,19; 14:10;15:4;18:22;21:2,6;22:14; 29:9;37:13;43:19;49:25;52:22; 53:5;55:7,19,19,21;56:14; 60:13:61:20:62:1:63:20:64:5. 12,17;65:8;67:16;70:12;72:21; 76:9,10,13;77:11,14;78:24; 80:2,7,11 units (5) 16:24;17:14;24:13,15;43:17 University (1) 13:1unknowable (1) 57:6 unknown (1) 57:6 unleased (1) 51:10 unless (2) 5:8;37:3 unmuted (1) 59:4 unpaused (1) 59:7 up (8) 10:13;13:24;30:12;46:8; 50:2;66:10;70:6;74:4 update (1) 46:7 updated (1) 18:5 upon (2) 46:25:51:18 upwards (1) 24:7use (26) 7:1,12;8:18;13:6;18:2,11;

typical (2)

24:6;32:11,14,21;44:19;56:12;

On and Gas LEC to Integrate the	e opacing onten	T	August 12, 2021
20.2.22.16.25.25.20.2.20.1.0		worked (5)	12 (2)
20:3;23:16;25:25;29:8;30:1,9,			12 (3)
10;31:13,13;49:12,22;50:1;	W	13:3,11,12;39:10,15	33:11,11,12
51:17,25;53:15;57:25;74:12;		working (19)	12:00 (1)
76:6,7;77:16	Wade (14)	15:19,22;16:15,17,25;17:10,	8:20
used (10)		23;19:5,9;23:22;24:2;26:13;	120 (4)
16:14;17:7;18:8;21:23;22:8,	39:13,16;45:1;50:3,7;51:13,	41:1;43:7,17;44:5;48:7,13;60:9	24:25;25:9,11,14
	13;55:10,10;59:11,17;60:3;		
11;48:7,25;76:2;80:10	61:15;65:23	worth (5)	12th (1)
uses (2)	wait (1)	32:10,14,14,24;57:5	5:17
18:20;25:21	37:25	written (3)	14 (3)
using (1)		24:25;25:9;81:1	5:22;15:12;55:23
18:23	walk (2)	wrongful (3)	
	40:3;46:8		15 (2)
Utah (1)	wander (1)	33:21;34:8,11	5:23;14:23
13:14	28:17		15-minute (1)
utilities (2)	water (2)	Y	58:22
23:13;58:14			19 (1)
25.15,50.14	23:14,16		
<b>X</b> 7	waters (1)	year (2)	6:5
$\mathbf{V}$	6:1	52:22;65:6	1989 (1)
	way (8)	years (18)	17:19
Valley (1)	12:18;27:16,20;30:3;56:20,	13:3;16:22;22:6;24:4;32:11,	
12:7		15,22;33:3;39:11;45:5;47:8;	2
	23;68:2;77:18		<u> </u>
valuable (2)	website (3)	60:12;62:22;63:12;65:6;71:16;	
27:18,18	6:23;7:2,19	75:25;77:3	2 (3)
value (13)	Weiser-Brown (6)	Yep (3)	14:21;23:15;62:10
10:18;36:18;37:7,11;47:5,13;		25:20;34:4;55:24	20 (1)
68:3;76:24;77:1;78:18;79:9,25;	12:8,11;18:11,15;47:10,23	25.20,54.4,55.24	74:24
	welcome (2)	7	
80:13	56:8;80:22	Z	200 (2)
values (6)	wells (14)		25:21;79:3
31:24;35:1;36:12,13;37:16,	18:14;24:5,6;43:25;53:5,7,9,	zero (3)	<b>200-foot</b> (1)
16		10:11,16,17	51:22
	13,15;69:13;78:23;79:3,3,3		
various (1)	Wesley (1)	Zoom (5)	2014 (1)
23:18	12:5	5:6;6:7,9,17;7:4	43:10
vast (2)	West (1)	zooming (1)	2015 (1)
21:1;80:2	5:24	80:21	74:15
Vega (27)		00.21	2016 (1)
	what's (6)	•	
10:3,4;21:8;40:2,7,8;42:10,	13:22;20:6,9;54:1,4;61:7	0	43:11
12;46:2,5;47:22;57:12,14,16;	whole (3)		2020 (1)
58:17,18;68:17,19,21;73:21,23;	11:6;38:7;40:21	04.01.651 (1)	62:23
74:11,16,18;79:15,17,19		6:9	2021 (4)
	whose (1)	0.9	
venture (1)	29:24	-	5:17;7:9,11,18
43:2	wildcat (4)	1	<b>21st</b> (1)
version (3)	71:1,1,12,18		7:18
17:19;18:1,7	willing (6)	1 (23)	23rd (1)
versions (2)		13:23;15:11;20:2;22:20;	7:9
	35:4,8,16;36:2,19;37:13		
18:2;21:23	Willow (5)	23:6,17;24:22;25:6;27:10;	24th (1)
vertical (2)	30:13,16;58:7,7;69:14	30:18;31:7,8,15;37:24;38:7,8,8,	72:5
55:7;56:24	wishing (2)	9;60:20;74:1,19;75:5,14	250 (2)
via (3)		10 (2)	38:24;39:14
6:7;34:19;44:1	36:12,13		25th (2)
	within (8)	5:21;49:18	
view (1)	8:6,11;37:12;52:25;67:15;	10:43 (1)	71:25;72:5
53:4	76:10,13;77:13	58:21	26 (1)
virtual (1)	witness (28)	100 (6)	7:11
6:7		24:15;45:9,21;46:1;52:18;	28th (1)
	6:25;9:22;11:2;12:17,19;		
voluntarily (2)	26:7;35:9,12;37:8;40:2;42:10;	69:24	71:24
23:4;76:13	46:4,9;54:22;58:20;59:9,14,20;	10th (1)	
voluntary (12)	61:11;66:21;67:8;68:18,22,25;	6:25	3
20:16;21:21;23:1;24:13;		11 (3)	
	73:7,12,13,24		3 (2)
29:9,14;64:11;77:5,15;80:2,3,6	witnesses (7)	5:22;49:16;50:6	
volunteer (1)	7:6;11:3;73:17,18,21;74:21;	11:34 (2)	38:13,13
21:5	75:8	80:24;81:6	30 (1)
vote (1)	word (4)	110 (1)	30:17
42:4		58:4	300 (3)
12.1	25:12;29:17,17;74:1		
	work (2)	114(1)	19:16;25:22;70:25
	13:1;15:22	71:2	
	,		l

4	8
<b>40 (3)</b> 47:8;75:25;77:3 <b>40-plus (1)</b> 13:3 <b>45 (1)</b> 52:25 <b>47 310 (1)</b>	8 (4) 5:23;25:18;33:4;45:16 88 (1) 22:3 88s (1) 22:5
<b>47-310 (1)</b> 48:25	9
<b>47-320 (1)</b> 70:10 <b>47-321 (1)</b> 7:15 <b>47-328 (1)</b> 6:3 <b>47-3283 (1)</b> 5:20 <b>47-334 (1)</b> 77:21 <b>49 (2)</b> 41:18,23	<b>9 (6)</b> 14:6;30:22,22;33:16;34:1; 38:13 <b>9:20 (1)</b> 5:16 <b>9:21 (1)</b> 5:16 <b>90 (7)</b> 15:8,9;24:25;25:9;52:25; 64:16,22 <b>90-plus (1)</b> 15:6
5	15.0
<b>5 (2)</b> 5:24;42:2 <b>5:00 (1)</b> 7:1 <b>500 (1)</b> 19:16 <b>50-plus (1)</b> 22:6 <b>50s (1)</b> 18:5 <b>55 (2)</b> 41:19;42:17 <b>56 (1)</b> 72:1	
6	-
<b>6 (1)</b> 32:7 <b>6:00 (1)</b> 80:20 <b>60 (1)</b> 72:2 <b>610 (8)</b> 17:19,22;18:2,4,8,18,23;76:7 <b>69 (5)</b> 20:2;25:6;27:7,10;30:20	
<b>7</b> <b>7 (1)</b> 66:12 <b>72 (5)</b> 22:17,21;27:7;33:17;34:3 <b>73 (1)</b> 60:24	